

# Iterated extensions

ROBERT ELLIS AND SHMUEL GLASNER

Minnesota 55455 and  
Department of Mathematics, Tel Aviv University, Tel Aviv, Israel

(Received 21 May 1981 and revised 22 March 1982)

*Abstract.* The notion of an iterated extension of a flow is introduced and studied. In particular it is shown how eigenfunctions occur in a natural way. This is then exploited to produce an example of a weakly mixing minimal set with a non-weakly mixing quasi-factor.

## Introduction

The flow  $(Y, T)$  is an extension of the flow  $(X, T)$  if there exists an epimorphism of  $(Y, T)$  onto  $(X, T)$ . One way of producing extensions of  $(X, T)$  is by means of cocycles of  $(X, T)$  into a compact group  $K$ . Thus let  $\sigma$  be a cocycle on  $(X, T)$  to  $K$ . Then one forms the skew product flow  $(K \times_{\sigma} X, T)$  where the action of  $T$  on  $K \times X$  is given by the map

$$(k, x, t) \mapsto (k\sigma(x, t), xt): K \times X \times T \rightarrow K \times X.$$

When the phase group  $T$  is isomorphic to the integers, the set of cocycles on  $(X, T)$  to  $K$  may be identified with the set of continuous functions on  $X$  to  $K$  and this may be exploited to iterate the extension.

In order to illustrate the basic definitions and facilitate the reading of the paper we describe this construction informally.

A flow in this paper is a pair  $(X, t)$  consisting of a compact Hausdorff space  $X$  and a homeomorphism  $t$  of  $X$  onto itself. (We use the same letter,  $t$ , for every flow considered.)  $K$  will stand for a compact abelian topological group,  $e$  the identity element of  $K$ , and  $Z(X; K)$  the set of 1-cocycles from  $X \times \mathbb{Z}$  into  $K$ .

Now start with a minimal pointed flow  $(X, x_0)$  and a cocycle  $\sigma \in Z(X; K)$ . Let  $(Y, y_0)$  be the pointed flow  $\text{ext}(X, \sigma)$ . Thus  $Y \subset K \times X$  is the orbit closure of  $y_0 = (e, x_0)$  in the flow on  $K \times X$  given by

$$(k, x)t = (k\sigma(x, t)x, t).$$

We let  $F_{\sigma}: X \rightarrow K$  be the function on  $X$  defined by  $F_{\sigma}(x) = \sigma(x, t)$ . Next we consider the function

$$\delta\sigma(k, x)^{-1}\delta\sigma((k, x)t^n) = \sigma(x, t^n),$$

$\delta\sigma$  is the function on  $Y$  which 'co-bounds'  $\sigma$ . Our next step is to define a cocycle on  $Y$  by means of the function  $\delta\sigma$ . Namely let  $\sigma_1 \in Z(Y, K)$  be given by

$$\sigma_1(y, t) = F_{\sigma_1}(y) = \delta\sigma(y).$$

Let  $Y_1 = \text{ext}(Y, \sigma_1) \subset K \times K \times X$ ; i.e.  $Y_1$  is the orbit closure of  $z_0 = (e, e, x_0)$  in the flow on  $K \times K \times X$  given by

$$(l, k, x)t = (lF_{\sigma_1}(k, x), kF_{\sigma}(x), xt).$$

(Writing down the orbit of  $z_0$  in  $Y_1$  we have

$$(e, e, x_0)t^n = (\sigma_1(y_0, t^n), \sigma(x_0, t^n), x_0t^n) = (\delta\sigma_1(z_0t^n), \delta\sigma(y_0t^n), x_0t^n).$$

The function  $\delta\sigma_1: Y_1 \rightarrow K$ ,  $\delta\sigma_1(l, k, x) = l$  can now be used to define  $\sigma_2 \in Z(Y_1; K)$  namely

$$\sigma_2(z, t) = F_{\sigma_2}(z) = \delta\sigma_1(z) \quad \text{etc.}$$

We were motivated to study the structure of these extensions by our attempts to understand why our construction of eigenfunctions from cocycles in [4] ‘worked’. This is explained in proposition 1.3 which states that for a proper choice of  $\alpha$  an eigenfunction will appear in the supremum of the flows  $\text{ext}(x, \sigma)$  and  $\text{ext}(x, \sigma)\alpha$ .

As an added bonus our analysis allowed us to construct a weakly mixing flow with a non-weakly mixing quasi-factor. (Recall that a quasi-factor of a flow  $Z$  is a minimal subset of the flow induced on  $2^Z$ , the space of closed subsets of  $Z$ .) To see how this is done suppose that in the procedure described above we take  $X$  to be weakly mixing,  $K = \mathbb{K}$  the circle group and choose  $\sigma$  such that  $Y = \mathbb{K} \times X$  and  $Y$  is also weakly mixing (this is possible by [6]). We then show that necessarily  $Y_1 = \mathbb{K} \times \mathbb{K} \times X$  (proposition 1.7) and that  $Y_1$  is weakly mixing (corollary 1.11). Take  $\mathcal{U}$  to be the orbit closure of say

$$\{(1, -1, x_0), (1, 1, x_0)\},$$

in  $2^{Y_1}$ , the flow of closed subsets of  $Y_1$ . It is easy to see that the minimal flow  $\mathcal{U}$  has  $-1$  as an eigenvalue, in particular it is not weakly mixing. We then show that  $\mathcal{U} = \alpha(A, Y)$  for a certain  $\tau$ -closed subgroup  $A$  of  $G$ .

These subjects together with the analysis of flows of the form

$$(Y, y_0) \vee (Y, y_1),$$

where  $y_0$  and  $y_1$  project onto the same point in  $X$ , on which our results rest, are the content of § 1. In § 2 we consider the higher order cocycles  $\sigma_n$  ( $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ) and generalize some of the results of § 1.

We now formalize the above definitions. Each flow  $(X, t)$  will be assumed provided with a base point  $x_0$  such that  $x_0u = x_0$ , where  $u$  is a fixed idempotent in some minimal subset  $M$  of  $\beta Z$ . This allows us to pass back and forth between minimal sets and  $Z$ -subalgebras of  $C(M)$ . The algebra corresponding to  $X$  will be denoted by  $\text{al}(X)$  and the flow corresponding to the algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  by  $|\mathcal{A}|$ . (See [1] for details.)

Let  $K$  be a compact abelian topological group. Then  $Z(M, K)$  will denote the set of cocycles on  $M$  to  $K$  and

$$Z(X, K) = \{\sigma \in Z(M, K) : \text{al}(\sigma) \subset \text{al}(X)\}.$$

There is a bijective correspondence

$$\sigma \leftrightarrow F_{\sigma} : Z(X, K) \leftrightarrow C(X, K),$$

the set of continuous functions from  $X$  to  $K$ , given by

$$F_{\sigma}(x) = \sigma(x, t).$$

On the other hand there is a bijective correspondence

$$\sigma \rightarrow \delta\sigma : Z(M, K) \rightarrow C_0(M, K) = \{f \in C(M, K) : f(u) = e\}$$

( $e$ , the identity element of  $K$ ). Consequently given  $\sigma \in Z(M, K)$  there exists  $\partial_\sigma \in Z(M, K)$  such that

$$\delta\partial_\sigma(m) = F_\sigma(u)^{-1}F_\sigma(m) \quad (m \in M).$$

(For a detailed discussion of the correspondence  $\sigma \rightarrow \delta\sigma$  see [2]. In the latter  $\delta\sigma$  is denoted by  $f_\sigma$ .)

We write  $\mathbb{R}$  for the real numbers,  $\mathbb{Z}$  for the integers, and  $\mathbb{K}$  for the multiplicative circle group.

### Section 1

The first result of this section codifies the relationship among the various operations on a cocycle described above. Since the proof follows directly from the definitions, it will be omitted.

(1.1) PROPOSITION. Let  $\sigma \in Z(M, K)$ . Then :

- (1)  $(\delta\partial_\sigma)(m) = F_\sigma(u)^{-1}F_\sigma(m)$ .
- (2)  $\sigma(m, t) = F_\sigma(u)(\delta\partial_\sigma)(m)$ .
- (3)  $F_\sigma(u)^{-1}\delta\sigma(m, t) = \delta\partial_\sigma(m)\delta\sigma(m)$ .
- (4)  $(\delta\partial_\sigma)(m)(\delta\partial_\sigma)(n)^{-1} = F_\sigma(m)F_\sigma(n)^{-1} \quad (m, n \in M)$ .

Notice that (2) implies that if  $\sigma$  is a cocycle on  $X$  to  $K$ , then  $\partial_\sigma$  is a coboundary on  $X$  to  $K$ .

(1.2) PROPOSITION. Let  $\sigma \in Z(M, K)$ ,  $m \in M$ , and  $\omega$  be that element of  $Z(M, K)$  with

$$\delta\omega(x) = \delta\sigma(x)^{-1}\delta\sigma(m)^{-1}\delta\sigma(mx) \quad (x \in M).$$

Then  $F_\omega(x) = \delta\partial_\sigma(mx)\delta\partial_\sigma(x)^{-1} \quad (x \in M)$ .

*Proof.*

$$\begin{aligned} F_\omega(x) &= \omega(x, t) = \delta\omega(x)^{-1}\delta\omega(xt) \\ &= \delta\sigma(mx)^{-1}\delta\sigma(m)\delta\sigma(x)\delta\sigma(xt)^{-1}\delta\sigma(m)^{-1}\delta\sigma(mxt) \\ &= \delta\sigma(mx)^{-1}\delta\sigma(x)\delta\sigma(xt)^{-1}\delta\sigma(mxt) \\ &= \sigma(x, t)^{-1}\sigma(mx, t) = F_\sigma(x)^{-1}F_\sigma(mx) \\ &= \delta\partial_\sigma(x)^{-1}\delta\partial_\sigma(mx). \end{aligned}$$

□

(1.3) PROPOSITION. Let  $\sigma \in Z(\mathcal{A}, K)$ ,  $\alpha \in \mathfrak{g}(\partial_\sigma)$  and  $F_\omega(m) = \delta\partial_\sigma(\alpha) \quad (m \in M)$ . Then

- (1)  $\text{al}(\delta\omega) \subset \text{ext}(\mathcal{A}, \sigma) \vee \text{ext}(\mathcal{A}, \sigma)\alpha$ .
- (2) If  $\mathcal{A}\alpha = \mathcal{A}$ , then

$$\text{ext}(\mathcal{A}, \sigma) \vee \text{ext}(\mathcal{A}, \sigma)\alpha = \text{ext}(\mathcal{A}, \sigma) \vee \text{ext}(\mathcal{A}, \omega).$$

*Proof.* (1). Set  $m = \dot{\alpha}$  in (1.2). Then

$$F_\omega(x) = \delta\partial_\sigma(\alpha x)\delta\partial_\sigma(x)^{-1} = \delta\partial_\sigma(\alpha)\delta\partial_\sigma(x)\delta\partial_\sigma(x)^{-1} = \delta\partial_\sigma(\alpha)$$

since  $\alpha \in \mathfrak{g}(\partial_\sigma)$  (see [2]).

Since  $\text{al}(\delta\sigma) \subset \text{ext}(\mathcal{A}, \sigma)$ ,  $\delta\sigma$  defines a continuous function on  $|\text{ext}(\mathcal{A}, \sigma)|$ , the expression for  $\delta\omega$  given in (1.2) shows that  $\delta\omega$  defines a continuous function on

$$|\text{ext}(\mathcal{A}, \sigma) \vee \text{ext}(\mathcal{A}, \sigma)\alpha|.$$

(2). By (1),  $\mathcal{N} = \text{ext}(\mathcal{A}, \sigma) \vee \text{ext}(\mathcal{A}, \omega) \subset \text{ext}(\mathcal{A}, \sigma) \vee \text{ext}(\mathcal{A}, \sigma)\alpha = \mathcal{S}$ . Since  $\mathcal{A}\alpha = \mathcal{A}$ ,  $\mathcal{S}$  is a distal extension of  $\mathcal{A}$  and so the proof may be completed by showing that

$$N = g(\mathcal{N}) \subset S = g(\mathcal{S}).$$

To this end let

$$\beta \in N = g(\text{ext}(\mathcal{A}, \sigma)) \cap g(\text{ext}(\mathcal{A}, \omega)).$$

Then

$$\delta\sigma(\beta) = e = \delta\omega(\beta)$$

whence by (1.2)

$$\begin{aligned} e = \delta\omega(\beta) &= \delta\sigma(\beta)^{-1} \delta\sigma(\alpha)^{-1} \delta\sigma(\alpha\beta) \\ &= \delta\sigma(\alpha)^{-1} \delta\sigma(\alpha\beta). \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\delta\sigma(\alpha) = \delta\sigma(\alpha\beta) = \delta\alpha(\alpha\beta\alpha^{-1}) = \delta\sigma(\alpha\beta\alpha^{-1})\delta\sigma(\alpha)$$

(recall that  $\mathcal{A}\alpha = \mathcal{A}$  implies that  $\alpha A \alpha^{-1} = A$  whence  $\alpha\beta\alpha^{-1} \in A \subset g(\sigma)$ ). This implies that

$$\alpha\beta\alpha^{-1} \in \ker \delta\sigma$$

and so

$$\beta \in \alpha^{-1} \ker(\delta\sigma)\alpha^{-1} \cap A = g(\text{ext}(\mathcal{A}, \sigma)\alpha).$$

Consequently

$$\beta \in S = g(\text{ext}(\mathcal{A}, \sigma)) \cap g(\text{ext}(\mathcal{A}, \sigma)\alpha). \quad \square$$

(1.4) COROLLARY. Let

$$\sigma \in Z(\mathcal{A}, K)$$

and

$$\mathcal{A}\alpha = \mathcal{A} \quad (\alpha \in g(\partial_\sigma)).$$

Then

$$\bigvee \{ \text{ext}(\mathcal{A}, \sigma)\alpha \mid \alpha \in g(\partial_\sigma) \} \subset \text{ext}(\mathcal{A}, \sigma) \bigvee \mathcal{E}.$$

(Here  $\mathcal{E}$  is the set of all almost periodic functions on  $\mathbb{Z}$ .)

(1.5) Remarks. (1). Let  $F$  be a  $\tau$ -closed subgroup of  $G$  and  $\mathcal{A}$  a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -sub-algebra of  $\alpha(u)$ . Then it is natural to define  $\mathcal{A}$  to be  $F$ -regular if  $\mathcal{A}\alpha = \mathcal{A}$  ( $\alpha \in F$ ) and  $r_F(\mathcal{A})$ , the  $F$ -regularizer of  $\mathcal{A}$ , as the supremum of  $\{\mathcal{A}\alpha \mid \alpha \in F\}$ . Then (1.4) states that

$$r_{g(\partial_\sigma)}(\text{ext}(\mathcal{A}, \sigma)) \subset \text{ext}(\mathcal{A}, \sigma) \vee \mathcal{E}$$

if  $\mathcal{A}$  is  $g(\partial_\sigma)$ -regular.

(2). Let  $S = g(\text{ext}(\mathcal{A}, \sigma))$ . Then (1.4) implies that

$$S \cap E \subset \bigcap \{ \alpha S \alpha^{-1} \mid \alpha \in g(\partial_\sigma) \}$$

when  $\mathcal{A}$  is  $g(\partial_\sigma)$ -regular. (Here  $E = g(\mathcal{E})$ .)

(1.6) PROPOSITION. Let  $\sigma \in Z(\mathcal{A}, K)$  and  $\omega \in Z(M, K)$  with  $F_\omega = \delta\sigma$ . Then

- (1)  $\partial_\omega = \sigma$ .
- (2)  $\delta\omega(t^k) = \prod_{j=0}^{k-1} \delta\sigma(t^j)$ .
- (3)  $\delta\omega(pt) = \delta\omega(p)\delta\sigma(p)$  ( $p \in \beta\mathbb{Z}$ ).
- (4)  $\delta\omega(pt^k) = \delta\omega(p) \prod_{j=0}^{k-1} \delta\sigma(pt^j)$  ( $p \in \beta\mathbb{Z}$ ).
- (5)  $\delta\omega(\beta t^k) = \delta\omega(\beta)\delta\sigma(\beta)^k \delta\omega(t^k)$  ( $\beta \in A = \mathfrak{g}(\mathcal{A})$ ).

Proof. (1)

$$(\delta\sigma)(m) = F_\omega(u)^{-1}F_\omega(m) = (\delta\partial_\omega)(u)^{-1}(\delta\partial_\omega)(m) = (\delta\partial_\omega)(m) \quad (m \in M).$$

Hence  $\sigma = \partial_\omega$ .

(2)  $\delta\omega(t) = \omega(u, t) = F_\omega(u) = \delta\sigma(u) = e$  shows that

$$\delta\omega(t^k) = \prod_{j=0}^{k-1} \delta\sigma(t^j) \quad \text{for } k = 1.$$

Now assume that it holds for  $1 \leq k \leq r$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \delta\omega(t^{r+1}) &= \omega(u, t^{r+1}) = \omega(u, t^r)\omega(t^r, t) \\ &= \delta\omega(t^r)F_\omega(t^r) = \left(\prod_{j=0}^{r-1} \delta\omega(t^j)\right)\delta\omega(t^r) \\ &= \prod_{j=0}^r \delta\omega(t^j). \end{aligned}$$

(3) Let  $p \in \beta\mathbb{Z}$  and  $t^{k_i} \rightarrow p$ . Then  $t^{k_i+1} \rightarrow pt$  and

$$\begin{aligned} \delta\omega(pt) &= \lim_i \delta\omega(t^{k_i+1}) = \left(\lim_i \prod_{j=0}^{k_i-1} \delta\sigma(t^j)\right) \lim_i \delta\sigma(t^{k_i}) \\ &= \lim_i \delta\omega(t^{k_i}) \lim_i \delta\sigma(t^{k_i}) = \delta\omega(p)\delta\sigma(p). \end{aligned}$$

(4) This follows from (3) by induction on  $k$ .

(5) If  $\beta \in A$  then  $\delta\sigma(\beta x) = \delta\sigma(\beta)\delta\sigma(x)$  ( $x \in \beta\mathbb{Z}$ ). Hence

$$\delta\omega(\beta t^k) = \delta\omega(\beta)\delta\sigma(\beta)^k \prod_{j=0}^{k-1} \delta\sigma(t^j) = \delta\omega(\beta)\delta\sigma(\beta)^k \delta\omega(t^k)$$

(by (4) and (2)). □

(1.7) PROPOSITION. Let  $\sigma \in Z(\mathcal{A}, \mathbb{K})$  be such that  $\mathcal{S} = \text{ext}(\mathcal{A}, \sigma)$  is weak-mixing and  $\delta\sigma(A) = \mathbb{K}$ . Then  $\delta\omega(S) = \mathbb{K}$  where  $\omega \in Z(M, \mathbb{K})$  with  $F_\omega = \delta\sigma$  and  $S = \mathfrak{g}(\mathcal{S})$ .

Proof.  $\delta\omega(S)$  is a closed subgroup of  $\mathbb{K}$  whence  $\delta\omega(S)$  is finite or all of  $\mathbb{K}$ . If  $\delta\omega(S)$  is finite then  $\delta\omega^n(S) = e$  for some integer  $n$ . Since  $\delta\sigma^n(A) = \mathbb{K}$  and  $F_{\omega^n} = \delta\sigma^n$ , it suffices to rule out the possibility that  $\delta\omega(S) = e$ .

Let  $\mathcal{B} = \text{ext}(\mathcal{S}, \omega)$ , then  $\delta\omega(S) = e$  implies that  $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{A}$  whence  $\mathcal{B}\alpha = \mathcal{A}\alpha = \mathcal{A} = \mathcal{B}$  ( $\alpha \in A$ ). This is impossible since  $\mathcal{B} \vee \mathcal{B}\alpha$  contains the eigenfunction  $\delta p$  where  $F_p(m) = \delta\sigma(\alpha)$  ( $m \in M$ ) by (1.3). (Recall that  $\partial_\omega = \sigma$  and  $A \subset \mathfrak{g}(\sigma)$ .) □

(1.8) Remarks. (1) The assumption  $\delta\sigma(A) = \mathbb{K}$  implies that  $|\mathcal{S}| \approx \mathbb{K} \times |\mathcal{A}|$ , and the conclusion  $\delta\omega(S) = \mathbb{K}$  implies that

$$|\text{ext}(\mathcal{S}, \omega)| \approx \mathbb{K} \times \mathbb{K} \times |\mathcal{A}|.$$

We shall see later (corollary 1.11) that  $\text{ext}(\mathcal{S}, \omega)$  is also weak-mixing.

(2) With the assumptions and notation of (1.7) let  $\mathcal{B} = \text{ext}(\mathcal{S}, \omega)$ . (Observe that  $F_\omega = \delta\sigma$  implies that  $\omega \in Z(\mathcal{S}, \mathbb{K})$ .) Then  $r_A(\mathcal{B}) = \mathcal{B} \vee \mathcal{E}$ . To see this first observe that  $S$  is a normal subgroup of  $A$ . This implies that  $\mathcal{S}^\alpha = \mathcal{S}$  ( $\alpha \in A$ ). Moreover  $\partial_\omega = \sigma$  implies that  $A \subset \mathfrak{g}(\partial_\omega)$ . Hence by (1.4)  $r_A(\mathcal{B}) \subset \mathcal{B} \vee \mathcal{E}$ .

Now let  $f$  be a character on  $\mathbb{Z}$ . Then  $f = \delta p$  where  $F_p(m) = k$  for some  $k \in \mathbb{K}$  and all  $m \in M$ . There exists  $\alpha \in A$  with

$$\delta \partial_\omega(\alpha) = \delta\sigma(\alpha) = k$$

whence  $f = \delta p \in r_A(\mathcal{S})$  by (1.3.). Since the characters generate

$$\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{B} \vee \mathcal{E} \subset r_A(\mathcal{B}).$$

(3) Proposition 1.7 as well as theorem 1.10 below are true when  $\mathbb{K}$  is replaced by a finite group of prime order.

(1.8) LEMMA. Let  $\sigma \in Z^1(\mathcal{A}, \mathbb{K})$  with  $\delta\sigma(A) = \mathbb{K}$ ,  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $V$  a neighbourhood of  $u$ . Then there exists  $p \in V \cap \bar{A} \subset M$  such that

$$|\delta\sigma(p) - 1| \leq \varepsilon \quad \text{and} \quad (\delta\sigma(pu))^n \neq 1 \quad \text{if } n \neq 0.$$

(Notice that  $p \in \bar{A}$  implies that  $pu \in A$ .)

*Proof.* Since  $\delta\sigma(u) = 1$  there exists a neighbourhood  $W$  of  $u$  with  $\bar{W} \subset V$  and

$$|\delta\sigma(x) - 1| \leq \varepsilon \quad (x \in W).$$

By a now standard argument we may assume that

$$\text{int}_\tau \text{cls}_\tau(W \cap A) \neq \emptyset.$$

(See [3: 4.4].) Since  $\delta\sigma: (A, \tau) \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$  is onto and open there exists

$$\alpha \in \text{cls}_\tau(W \cap A) \quad \text{with } \delta\sigma(\alpha)^n \neq 1 \text{ if } n \neq 0.$$

Let  $(\alpha_n)$  be a net on  $W \cap A$  with  $\alpha_n \xrightarrow{\tau} \alpha$  and  $\alpha_n \rightarrow p \in \beta\mathbb{Z}$ . Then

$$p \in \bar{W} \cap \bar{A} \subset V \cap \bar{A}, \quad |\delta\sigma(p) - 1| \leq \varepsilon \quad \text{and} \quad \alpha_n \xrightarrow{\tau} pu.$$

Hence  $\delta\alpha(\alpha) = \delta\sigma(pu)$ . The proof is completed.  $\square$

(1.9) PROPOSITION. Let  $\sigma \in Z(\mathcal{A}, \mathbb{K})$  with  $\delta\sigma(A) = \mathbb{K}$ ,  $\omega \in Z(M, \mathbb{K})$  with  $F_\omega = \delta\sigma$ , and  $\mathcal{S} = \text{ext}(\mathcal{A}, \sigma)$ . Then  $\mathcal{B} = \text{ext}(\mathcal{S}, \omega)$  is not an almost periodic extension of  $\mathcal{A}$ .

*Proof.* It will be convenient to identify  $|\mathcal{B}|$  with a subset of  $\mathbb{K} \times \mathbb{K} \times |\mathcal{A}|$ . When this is done

$$x|\mathcal{B} = (\delta\omega(x), \delta\sigma(x), x|\mathcal{A}) \quad (x \in M).$$

Let  $\varepsilon > 0$ . We shall find  $p, g \in \beta\mathbb{Z}$  such that

$$p|\mathcal{A} = u|\mathcal{A}, \quad |\delta\omega(p) - 1| \leq \varepsilon, \quad |\delta\sigma(p) - 1| \leq \varepsilon \quad \text{and} \quad |\delta\omega(pg)\delta\omega(g)^{-1} - 1| \geq \frac{1}{2}.$$

Thus  $p|\mathcal{B}$  is close to  $u|\mathcal{B}$ ,  $p|\mathcal{A} = u|\mathcal{A}$ , but  $pg|\mathcal{B}$  is not close to  $ug|\mathcal{B}$ . Consequently  $\mathcal{B}$  is not an almost periodic extension of  $\mathcal{A}$ .

To this end let  $V$  be a neighbourhood of  $u$  such that

$$|\delta\omega(r) - 1| \leq \varepsilon \quad (r \in V).$$

By (1.8) there exists  $p \in V \cap \bar{A}$  with  $|\delta\sigma(p) - 1| \leq \varepsilon$  and  $\delta\sigma(pu)^n \neq 1$  if  $n \neq 0$ . Since  $p \in \bar{A}$ ,  $p|_{\mathcal{A}} = u|_{\mathcal{A}}$ .

Now choose  $\lambda \in \mathbb{K}$  with  $|\lambda\delta\omega(pu) - 1| > \frac{1}{2}$ . There exists a sequence of integers  $k_i$  with  $\delta\sigma(pu)^{k_i} \rightarrow \lambda$ . Let  $r \in \beta\mathbb{Z}$  be adherent to the sequence  $t^{k_i}$ . Then by (5) of (1.6)

$$\delta\omega(pur) = \delta\omega(pu)\lambda\delta\omega(r),$$

whence  $|\delta\omega(pur)\delta\omega(r)^{-1} - 1| > \frac{1}{2}$ . Now set  $ur = g$  and recall that  $\delta\omega(r) = \delta\omega(ur)$ . The proof is completed.  $\square$

The following result is valid for any abelian group  $T$ .

(1.10) THEOREM. Let  $\mathcal{S}$  be an almost periodic extension of  $\mathcal{A}$  such that  $S \triangleleft A$  and  $A/S$  is a Lie group, and let  $\mathcal{B}$  be an almost periodic extension of  $\mathcal{S}$  such that  $B \triangleleft S$  and  $S/B \cong \mathbb{K}$ . Then either

- (i)  $\mathcal{B}$  is an almost periodic extension of  $\mathcal{A}$  or
- (ii)  $B \in S^{\perp\perp}$ .

(Recall that

$$\mathcal{R}^\perp = \{C \mid C \text{ is a } \tau\text{-closed subgroup of } G \text{ with } CR = G \text{ (} R \in \mathcal{R} \text{)}\}$$

where  $\mathcal{R}$  is a collection of  $\tau$ -closed subgroups of  $G$ .)

*Proof.* Assume that (i) does not hold and let  $C \in S^\perp$ ; i.e.  $C$  is a  $\tau$ -closed subgroup of  $G$  with  $CS = G$ . Then

$$CB \supset CS' \supset G' = E.$$

Since  $G/E$  is abelian,  $CB$  is a normal subgroup of  $G$ .

Let  $L = CB \cap S \supset E \cap S \supset E \cap A^\# = A^\#$ . Hence  $\mathcal{L} = \alpha(L) \cap \mathcal{A}^\#$  is an almost periodic extension of  $\mathcal{A}$ . The exact sequences

$$1 \rightarrow S/L \rightarrow A/L \rightarrow A/S \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{and} \quad S/B \rightarrow S/L \rightarrow 0$$

show that  $S/L$  is a circle or a point and that in either case  $A/L$  is a Lie group.

Thus  $\mathcal{A} \triangleleft \mathcal{L} \triangleleft \mathcal{B}$  and  $L/B$  is a subgroup of the circle group  $S/B$ . Hence  $L/B$  is finite or  $L/B = S/B$ . If  $L/B$  were finite,  $\mathcal{B}$  would be an almost periodic extension of  $\mathcal{A}$  [7: 5.7], a possibility which has been ruled out. Therefore  $L/B = S/B$  and so  $L = S$ . Consequently  $S \subset CB$  and  $G = CS \subset CB$ . The proof is completed.  $\square$

(1.11) COROLLARY. Let  $\sigma \in Z(\mathcal{A}, \mathbb{K})$  with  $\delta\sigma(A) = \mathbb{K}$ ,  $\mathcal{S} = \text{ext}(\mathcal{A}, \sigma)$  weak-mixing and  $\omega \in Z(M, \mathbb{K})$  with  $F_\omega = \delta\sigma$ . Then  $\mathcal{B} = \text{ext}(\mathcal{S}, \omega)$  is weak-mixing and  $\delta\omega(S) = \mathbb{K}$ .

*Proof.* Recall that when  $T$  is abelian, a flow  $(X, T)$  is weak-mixing if and only if  $g(X)E = G$  (see [5: 3.7 and 4]). By (1.9) and (1.10)  $B \in S^{\perp\perp}$ . Since  $\mathcal{S}$  is weak-mixing,  $SE = G$ ; i.e.  $E \in S^\perp$ . Hence  $BE = G$  and  $\mathcal{B}$  is weak-mixing. That  $\delta\omega(S) = \mathbb{K}$  follows from (1.7).  $\square$

We shall now use the results obtained to produce a weak-mixing flow with a non-weak-mixing quasi-factor.

(1.12) Notation. The following notation will be used for the remainder of this section:  $\sigma \in Z(\mathcal{A}, \mathbb{K})$ ,  $\mathcal{S} = \text{ext}(\mathcal{A}, \sigma)$ ,  $\omega \in Z(M, \mathbb{K})$  with  $F_\omega = \delta\sigma$ ,  $\mathcal{B} = \text{ext}(\mathcal{S}, \omega)$ ,  $\alpha \in A$  with  $\delta\sigma(\alpha) \neq 1$ ,  $\langle \alpha \rangle$  the  $\tau$ -closed subgroup of  $G$  generated by  $\alpha$  and  $\rho \in Z(M, \mathbb{K})$  with  $F_\rho(m) = \delta\sigma(\alpha)$  ( $m \in M$ ).

(1.13) PROPOSITION. Let  $\delta\omega(\beta) = 1$  ( $\beta \in \langle\alpha\rangle$ ). Then

- (1)  $g(\mathfrak{a}(\langle\alpha\rangle, \mathcal{B})) = \langle\alpha\rangle(\ker \delta\rho \cap B)$  and
- (2)  $\mathfrak{al}(\delta\rho) \subset \mathfrak{a}(\langle\alpha\rangle, \mathcal{B})$ .

*Proof.* (1) Set  $\mathcal{L} = \mathfrak{a}(\langle\alpha\rangle, \mathcal{B})$  and  $L = g(\mathcal{L})$ , and let  $b \in \ker \delta\rho \cap B$ . Then

$$1 = \delta\rho(b) = \delta\omega(b)^{-1}\delta\omega(\alpha)^{-1}\delta\omega(\alpha b) = \delta\omega(\alpha)^{-1}\delta\omega(\alpha b)$$

(by (1.2)). Thus

$$\delta\omega(\alpha) = \delta\omega(\alpha b) = \delta\omega(\alpha b\alpha^{-1}\alpha) = \delta\omega(\alpha b\alpha^{-1})\delta\omega(\alpha) \quad \text{since } \alpha b\alpha^{-1} \in S.$$

Consequently  $1 = \delta\omega(\alpha b\alpha^{-1})$  and  $\alpha b\alpha^{-1} \in B = \ker(\delta\omega|S)$ . Thus

$$\alpha(\ker \delta\rho \cap B)\alpha^{-1} \subset \ker \delta\rho \cap B.$$

Let  $H = \{a \in \langle\alpha\rangle \mid a(\ker \delta\rho \cap B) \subset (\ker \delta\rho \cap B)\langle\alpha\rangle\}$ . Then  $H$  is a closed sub-semi-group of  $\langle\alpha\rangle$ . Hence  $H$  is a closed subgroup of  $\langle\alpha\rangle$  [1: 2.11]. Since  $\alpha \in H$ ,  $H = \langle\alpha\rangle$ . Consequently

$$\langle\alpha\rangle(\ker \delta\rho \cap B) \subset (\ker \delta\rho \cap B)\langle\alpha\rangle$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} (\ker \delta\rho \cap B)\langle\alpha\rangle &= (\langle\alpha\rangle \ker \delta\rho \cap B)^{-1} \subset ((\ker \delta\rho \cap B)\langle\alpha\rangle)^{-1} \\ &= \langle\alpha\rangle(\ker \delta\rho \cap B). \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $\langle\alpha\rangle(\ker \delta\rho \cap B)$  is a  $\tau$ -closed subgroup of  $G$ .

Now  $L$  is the largest  $\tau$ -closed subgroup of  $G$  which contains  $\langle\alpha\rangle$  and is contained in  $\langle\alpha\rangle B$  [5: 3.1]. Hence  $\langle\alpha\rangle(\ker \delta\rho \cap B) \subset L$ .

Let  $b \in L \cap B$ . Then  $\alpha b \in L = L^{-1} \subset (\langle\alpha\rangle B)^{-1} = B\langle\alpha\rangle$ . Hence  $\alpha b = r\beta$  for some  $r \in B$  and  $\beta \in \langle\alpha\rangle$ . Then

$$\delta\omega(\alpha b) = \delta\omega(r\beta) = \delta\omega(r)\delta\omega(\beta) = \delta\omega(\beta) = 1.$$

Thus  $\delta\rho(b) = \delta\omega(b)^{-1}\delta\omega(\alpha)^{-1}\delta\omega(\alpha b) = 1$  and so  $b \in \ker \delta\rho \cap B$ .

Let  $l \in L$ . Then  $l = kb$  for some  $k \in \langle\alpha\rangle$ ,  $b \in B$ . Then

$$b \in L \cap B \subset \ker \delta\rho \cap B$$

and so  $l \in \langle\alpha\rangle(\ker \delta\rho \cap B)$ .

(2)  $\delta\rho(\alpha) = \delta\omega(\alpha)^{-1}\delta\omega(\alpha)^{-1}\delta\omega(\alpha^2) = 1$  shows that  $\langle\alpha\rangle \subset \ker \delta\rho$ . Hence

$$L = \langle\alpha\rangle(\ker \delta\rho \cap B) \subset \ker \delta\rho = g(\mathfrak{al}(\delta\rho)).$$

Now  $\mathfrak{al}(\delta\rho) \subset \mathcal{E}$ , the algebra of almost periodic functions, implies that

$$\mathfrak{a}(\langle\alpha\rangle, \mathcal{B}) \vee \mathfrak{al}(\delta\rho)$$

is an almost periodic extension of  $\mathfrak{a}(\langle\alpha\rangle, \mathcal{B})$ . Since the groups of these flows are the same, the flows are equal. The proof is completed.  $\square$

(1.14) LEMMA. Let  $\delta\omega(\alpha) = 1 = \delta\omega(\alpha^2)$ . Then  $\delta\omega(\alpha^n) = 1$  for all integers  $n$ .

*Proof.* The formula

$$\delta\rho(x) = \delta\omega(x)^{-1}\delta\omega(\alpha)^{-1}\delta\omega(\alpha x) = \delta\omega(x)^{-1}\delta\omega(\alpha x) \quad (1.2) \quad (*)$$

shows that  $\delta\rho(\alpha) = 1$ . Hence  $\delta\rho(\langle\alpha\rangle) = 1$  since  $\delta\rho$  is a continuous homomorphism of  $(G, \tau)$  into  $\mathbb{K}$ . Lemma 1.14 now follows from (\*) by induction.  $\square$

(1.15) LEMMA.  $\delta\omega(\langle\alpha\rangle) = 1$  if and only if  $H(\langle\alpha\rangle, \tau) \subset B$  and  $\delta\omega(\alpha) = 1 = \delta\omega(\alpha^2)$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\delta\omega(\langle\alpha\rangle) = 1$ . Then of course  $\delta\omega(\alpha) = 1 = \delta\omega(\alpha^2)$ . Moreover  $\alpha \in A$  implies that  $\langle\alpha\rangle \subset A$ . Hence

$$H(\langle\alpha, \tau\rangle) \subset H(A, \tau) \subset A^\# \subset S$$

whence  $H(\langle\alpha\rangle, \tau) \subset B = \ker(\delta\omega|S)$  since  $\delta\omega(H(\langle\alpha\rangle, \tau)) \subset \delta\omega(\langle\alpha\rangle) = 1$ .

Now let  $\delta\omega(\alpha) = 1 = \delta\omega(\alpha^2)$  and  $H(\langle\alpha\rangle, \tau) \subset B$ . Let  $\beta \in \langle\alpha\rangle$ . Choose a net  $(\alpha^{N_i})$  in  $\langle\alpha\rangle$  with  $\alpha^{N_i} \rightarrow_\tau \beta$  and let  $\alpha^{N_i} \rightarrow p \in M$ . Then

$$\delta\omega(p) = \lim \delta\omega(\alpha^{N_i}) = 1$$

by (1.14).

Moreover  $(\alpha^{N_i}) \subset \langle\alpha\rangle \subset A$  implies that  $p|_{\mathcal{A}} = u|_{\mathcal{A}}$  and  $pu \in \langle\alpha\rangle$ . Hence  $p = pu$  on  $\mathcal{B}$  ( $\mathcal{B}$  is a distal extension of  $\mathcal{A}$ ) and  $\delta\omega(pu) = \delta\omega(p) = 1$ . Also  $\alpha^{N_i} \rightarrow_\tau pu$  shows that

$$\beta(pu)^{-1} \in H(\langle\alpha\rangle, \tau) \subset B.$$

Consequently  $\delta\omega(\beta) = \delta\omega(\beta(pu)^{-1}pu) = \delta\omega(\beta(pu)^{-1})\delta\omega(pu) = 1$ . □

(1.16) *A construction.* Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a weak-mixing metric flow and  $\sigma \in Z(\mathcal{A}, \mathbb{K})$  such that  $\mathcal{S} = \text{ext}(\mathcal{A}, \sigma)$  is weak-mixing and  $\delta\sigma(A) = \mathbb{K}$ . (Such exist, see [6].) Set  $\mathcal{B} = \text{ext}(\mathcal{S}, \omega)$  where  $F_\omega = \delta\sigma$ . Then by (1.11)  $\mathcal{B}$  is weak-mixing and  $\delta\omega(B) = \mathbb{K}$ . Hence  $|\mathcal{B}|$  may be identified with the flow  $(\mathbb{K} \times \mathbb{K} \times |\mathcal{A}|, t)$  where

$$(k, l, x)t = (\delta\sigma(mt), \delta\omega(pt), xt),$$

$$(k, l \in \mathbb{K}, x \in |\mathcal{A}| \text{ and } m, p \in M \text{ with } \delta\sigma(m) = k, \delta\omega(p) = l).$$

Let  $\lambda \in \mathbb{K}$ . Then the flow  $(\mathbb{K}, R_\lambda)$  is equicontinuous and so is disjoint from  $\mathcal{B}$ . Hence there exists a sequence  $(N_i)$  such that

$$(1, 1, x_0)t^{N_i} \rightarrow (1, \lambda, x_0) \text{ and } \lambda^{N_i} \rightarrow 1.$$

(Here  $x_0 = u|_{\mathcal{A}}$ .)

Let  $p \in \beta Z$  be a limit point of the sequence  $(t^{N_i})$  and set  $\alpha = upu \in G$ .

(1.16.1)  $\delta\omega(\alpha^k) = 1$  for all integers  $k$ .

*Proof.* Since  $(1, 1, x_0)t^{N_i} \rightarrow (1, \lambda, x_0)$ ,  $(1, 1, x_0)p = (1, \lambda, x_0)$ . Also

$$(1, 1, x_0)p = (1, 1, x_0)up = (\delta\omega(up), \delta\sigma(up), x_0up).$$

Hence  $\delta\omega(up) = 1$ ,  $\delta\sigma(up) = \lambda$  and  $up = u$  on  $\mathcal{A}$ . Thus  $\alpha = upu = u$  on  $\mathcal{A}$ ; i.e.  $\alpha \in A$ . Since  $\mathcal{S}$  and  $\mathcal{B}$  are both distal extensions of  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $up = upu$  on  $\mathcal{A}$ ,  $\alpha = upu = up$  on  $\mathcal{S}$  and  $\mathcal{B}$ . Consequently  $\delta\omega(\alpha) = \delta\omega(up) = 1$  and  $\delta\sigma(\alpha) = \lambda$ .

Moreover by (5) of (1.6)

$$\delta\omega(\alpha t^{N_i}) = \delta\omega(\alpha)\delta\sigma(\alpha)^{N_i}\delta\omega(t^{N_i}) = \lambda^{N_i}\delta\omega(t^{N_i})$$

from which it follows that

$$\delta\omega(\alpha p) = \delta\omega(p) = \delta\omega(\alpha) = 1.$$

Since  $\alpha pu = pu = p = \alpha p$  on  $\mathcal{A}$ ,  $\alpha^2 = \alpha pu = \alpha p$  on  $\mathcal{B}$ . Consequently  $\delta\omega(\alpha^2) = \delta\omega(\alpha p) = 1$  and (1.16.1) follows from (1.14). □

(1.16.2) Let  $\lambda^k = 1$ . Then  $H(\langle\alpha\rangle, \tau) \subset B$ .

*Proof.*  $\delta\sigma(\alpha^k) = \delta\sigma(\alpha)^k = \lambda^k = 1$  implies that  $\alpha^k \in S$ . By (1.16.1),  $\delta\omega(\alpha^k) = 1$ . Hence  $\alpha^k \in B = \ker(\delta\omega|_S)$ .

Consequently  $\langle\alpha\rangle \cap B$  has finite index in  $\langle\alpha\rangle$  whence

$$H(\langle\alpha\rangle, \tau) \subset \langle\alpha\rangle \cap B \subset B. \quad \square$$

(1.16.3) *Let  $\lambda^k = 1$  with  $\lambda \neq 1, k \neq 0$ . Then  $\alpha(\langle\alpha\rangle, \mathcal{B})$  is a non-weak-mixing quasi-factor of the weak-mixing flow,  $\mathcal{B}$ .*

*Proof.* This follows from (1.16.2), (1.15), and (1.13). □

### Section 2

(2.1) *Definition.* Let  $\sigma \in Z(\mathcal{A}, K)$ . Then the sequence built on  $(\mathcal{A}, \sigma)$  is the sequence  $(\mathcal{A}_n, \sigma_n)$  ( $n \geq 0$ ) defined inductively as follows:  $\mathcal{A}_0 = \mathcal{A}, \sigma_0 = \sigma, \mathcal{A}_{n+1} = \text{ext}(\mathcal{A}_n, \sigma_n)$  and  $\sigma_{n+1} \in Z(\mathcal{A}_n, K)$  with  $F_{\sigma_{n+1}} = \delta\sigma_n$ . Thus  $\partial_{\sigma_{n+1}} = \sigma_n$ .

In § 1 we were concerned with the first two or three terms of the sequence built on  $(\mathcal{A}, \sigma)$ . In particular (1.3) dealt with  $\mathcal{A}_1 \vee \mathcal{A}_1\alpha$  for  $\alpha \in \mathfrak{g}(\partial_\sigma)$ . Here we shall consider  $\mathcal{A}_n \vee \mathcal{A}_n\alpha$  for  $\alpha \in A = \mathfrak{g}(\mathcal{A})$ .

(2.2) *Notation.* For most of this section we shall be dealing with a fixed flow  $\mathcal{A}, \sigma \in Z(\mathcal{A}, K)$ , and  $\alpha \in A$ . Let  $(\mathcal{A}_n, \sigma_n)$  be the sequence built on  $(\mathcal{A}, \sigma)$  and

$$k_n = \delta\sigma_n(\alpha) \in K \quad (n \geq 0).$$

Then  $(\mathcal{P}_i^n, \rho_i^n)_{i \geq 0}$  will denote the sequence built on  $(\mathbb{R}, \rho^n)$  ( $n \geq 0$ ) where

$$\rho^n \in Z(M, K) \text{ with } F_{\rho^n}(m) = k_n \quad (m \in M).$$

The various flows and cocycles depend of course on  $\alpha$  but this dependence has been suppressed for ‘notational convenience’.

(2.3) **PROPOSITION.** *For all positive integers  $n$ ,*

$$\prod_{i=0}^n \delta\rho_{n-i}^i(x) = \delta\sigma_{n+1}(x)^{-1} \delta\sigma_{n+1}(\alpha)^{-1} \delta\sigma_{n+1}(\alpha x) \quad (x \in M).$$

*Proof.* The case  $n = 0$  is just proposition 1.2. Now assume that

$$\prod_{i=0}^{n-1} \delta\rho_{n-1-i}^i(x) = \delta\sigma_n(x)^{-1} \delta\sigma_n(\alpha)^{-1} \delta\sigma_n(\alpha x) \quad (x \in M).$$

Then  $\mathcal{A}_{n+1} = \text{ext}(\mathcal{A}_n, \sigma_n)$  and  $\partial_{\sigma_{n+1}} = \sigma_n$ . By (1.2) if  $\gamma \in Z(M, K)$  with

$$\delta\gamma(x) = \delta\sigma_{n+1}(x)^{-1} \delta\sigma_{n+1}(\alpha)^{-1} \delta\sigma_{n+1}(\alpha x)$$

then  $F_\gamma(x) = \delta\sigma_n(\alpha x) \delta\sigma_n(x)^{-1} \quad (x \in M)$ . Thus

$$\begin{aligned} F_\gamma(x) &= \delta\sigma_n(\alpha) \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} \delta\rho_{n-1-i}^i(x) \\ &= F_{\rho_0^n}(x) \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} F_{\rho_{n-i}^i}(x) = \prod_{i=0}^n F_{\rho_{n-i}^i}(x). \end{aligned}$$

Consequently  $\gamma = \prod_{i=0}^n \rho_{n-i}^i$  and  $\delta\gamma = \prod_{i=0}^n \delta\rho_{n-i}^i$  (recall that  $K$  is abelian). The proof is completed. □

(2.4) *Remarks.* We should now like to use the cocycles  $\gamma_n = \prod_{i=0}^n \rho_{n-i}^i$  to build a sequence of flows  $(\mathcal{R}_n)$ . To this end observe that

$$\delta\gamma_n = \prod_{i=0}^n \delta\rho_{n-i}^i = \prod_{i=0}^n F_{\rho_{n+i-i}^i} \quad \text{and} \quad F_{\gamma_{n+1}} = \prod_{i=0}^{n+1} F_{\rho_{n+1-i}^i}$$

whence

$$F_{\gamma_{n+1}} = (\delta\gamma_n)F_{\rho_0^{n+1}}.$$

Now set  $\mathcal{R}_0 = \mathbb{R}$ . Since  $\gamma_0$  is the constant cocycle  $\rho_0^0$ ,  $\mathcal{R}_1 = \text{ext}(\mathcal{R}_0, \gamma_0)$  is an almost periodic extension of  $\mathcal{R}_0$ .

Assume that  $\gamma_n$  is a cocycle on  $\mathcal{R}_n$  to  $K$  and set  $\mathcal{R}_{n+1} = \text{ext}(\mathcal{R}_n, \gamma_n)$ . Then  $\delta\gamma_n$  defines a continuous function on  $|\mathcal{R}_{n+1}|$  to  $K$ . Since  $F_{\rho_0^{n+1}}$  is constant,  $F_{\gamma_{n+1}} = (\delta\gamma_n)F_{\rho_0^{n+1}}$  defines a continuous function on  $|\mathcal{R}_{n+1}|$  to  $K$ . Hence  $\gamma_{n+1}$  is a cocycle on  $\mathcal{R}_{n+1}$  to  $K$  and the sequence  $(\mathcal{R}_n)$  is well defined, where  $\mathcal{R}_{k+1} = \text{ext}(\mathcal{R}_k, \gamma_k)$ .

(2.5) PROPOSITION. For all integers  $n \geq 1$ ,  $\mathcal{A}_n \vee \mathcal{A}_n\alpha = \mathcal{A}_n \vee \mathcal{R}_{n-1}$ .

*Proof.* When  $n = 1$ ,  $\mathcal{R}_0 = \mathbb{R}$  and  $\mathcal{A}_1 \vee \mathcal{R}_0 = \mathcal{A}_1$ . Moreover  $\mathcal{A}_1 \vee \mathcal{A}_1\alpha = \mathcal{A}_1$  since  $\alpha \in A = A_0$  and  $A_1 \triangleleft A_0$ .

The case  $n = 2$  is just (1.3).

Assume that  $\mathcal{A}_n \vee \mathcal{A}_n\alpha = \mathcal{A}_n \vee \mathcal{R}_{n-1}$ . Since  $\mathcal{A}_{n+1}$  and  $\mathcal{R}_n$  are distal extensions of  $\mathcal{A}_n$  and  $\mathcal{R}_{n-1}$  respectively  $\mathcal{A}_{n+1} \vee \mathcal{A}_{n+1}\alpha$  and  $\mathcal{A}_{n+1} \vee \mathcal{R}_n$  are both distal extensions of the flow

$$\mathcal{A}_n \vee \mathcal{A}_n\alpha = \mathcal{A}_n \vee \mathcal{R}_{n-1}.$$

It thus suffices to show that their groups  $A_{n+1} \cap \alpha^{-1}A_{n+1}\alpha$  and  $A_{n+1} \cap R_n$  are equal.

Let  $\beta \in A_{n+1} \cap \alpha^{-1}A_{n+1}\alpha \subset A_n \cap \alpha^{-1}A_n\alpha = A_n \cap R_{n-1}$ . Then

$$\delta\gamma_{n-1}(\beta) = \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} \delta\rho_{n-1-i}^i(\beta) = \delta\sigma_n(\beta)^{-1} \delta\sigma_n(\alpha)^{-1} \delta\sigma_n(\alpha\beta)$$

and  $\beta \in A_{n+1} \cap \alpha^{-1}A_{n+1}\alpha$  implies that  $\delta\sigma_n(\beta) = e$  and

$$\delta\sigma_n(\alpha\beta) = \delta\sigma_n(\alpha\beta\alpha^{-1}\alpha) = \delta\sigma_n(\alpha\beta\alpha^{-1})\delta\sigma_n(\alpha).$$

Thus  $\delta\gamma_{n-1}(\beta) = e$ ; which together with the fact that  $\beta \in R_{n-1}$  implies that  $\beta \in R_n$ . Consequently

$$A_{n+1} \cap \alpha^{-1}A_{n+1}\alpha \subset A_{n+1} \cap R_n.$$

Now let  $\beta \in A_{n+1} \cap R_n$ . Then  $e = \delta\gamma_{n-1}(\beta) = \delta\sigma_n(\beta)^{-1} \delta\sigma_n(\alpha)^{-1} \delta\sigma_n(\alpha\beta)$

whence  $\delta\sigma_n(\alpha\beta) = \delta\sigma_n(\alpha)\delta\sigma_n(\beta)$ . On the other hand

$$\delta\sigma_n(\alpha\beta) = \delta\sigma_n(\alpha\beta\alpha^{-1}\alpha) = \delta\sigma_n(\alpha\beta\alpha^{-1})\delta\sigma_n(\alpha).$$

(Recall  $\beta \in A_{n+1} \cap R_n \subset A_n \cap R_{n-1} = A_n \cap \alpha^{-1}A_n\alpha$ .) Hence  $\delta\sigma_n(\alpha\beta\alpha^{-1}) = e$  and so  $\beta \in \alpha^{-1}A_{n+1}\alpha$ . The proof is completed.  $\square$

(2.6) *Remarks.* We should now like to consider the  $A$ -regularizer,  $r_A(\mathcal{A}_n)$  of  $\mathcal{A}_n$ . To this end we introduce the following notation. Let  $\kappa \in K$ . Then  $(\mathcal{Y}_n^\kappa | n = 0, \dots)$  will denote the sequence built on  $(\mathbb{R}, \eta)$  where  $\eta$  is the cocycle on  $M$  to  $K$  with  $F_\eta(m) = \kappa$  ( $m \in M$ ). We shall also denote by  $\mathcal{R}_n(\alpha)$  the flow previously denoted by  $\mathcal{R}_n$  in order to indicate its dependence on  $\alpha \in A$ .

Let  $\mathcal{E}_n = \bigvee_{\kappa \in K} \mathcal{S}_n^\kappa$ . Then we shall show that  $\delta\sigma_n(A_n) = K$  for all  $n$  implies that  $r_A(\mathcal{A}_n) = \mathcal{A}_n \vee \mathcal{E}_{n-1}$  for all  $n$ .

(2.7) LEMMA. Let  $\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{H}$ , and  $\mathcal{N}$  be minimal flows such that  $\mathcal{H}$  is a distal extension of  $\mathcal{L}$ ,  $\mathcal{L} \subset \mathcal{N}$ , and  $N \subset K$ . Then  $\mathcal{H} \subset \mathcal{N}$ .

*Proof.* By [1: 13.11],  $g(\mathcal{L}^* \cap \mathcal{N}) = L^*N$  and  $g(\mathcal{L}^* \cap \mathcal{H}) = L^*K \supset L^*N$ . Consequently

$$\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{L}^* \cap \mathcal{H} \subset \mathcal{L}^* \cap \mathcal{N} \subset \mathcal{N}. \quad \square$$

(2.8) LEMMA. For all integers  $n \geq 1$  and all  $\alpha \in A$ ,  $\mathcal{R}_n(\alpha) \subset \mathcal{E}_n$ .

*Proof.* Since  $\mathcal{R}_1(\alpha) = \mathcal{S}_1^{F\gamma_0(\alpha)}$ ,  $\mathcal{R}_1(\alpha) \subset \mathcal{E}_1$ . Assume  $\mathcal{R}_n(\alpha) \subset \mathcal{E}_n$ . Then

$$\mathcal{R}_{n+1}(\alpha) = \text{ext}(\mathcal{R}_n(\alpha), \gamma_n) \quad \text{with } F_{\gamma_n} = \prod_{i=0}^n F_{\rho_{n-i}^!}.$$

Since

$$\mathcal{S}_0^{\kappa_{n+1}} \vee \dots \vee \mathcal{S}_{n+1}^{\kappa_0} \subset \mathcal{E}_{n+1} \quad (\kappa_i = F_{\rho_i^!}(\alpha)), \quad \delta\gamma_n(\beta) = e \quad (\beta \in E_{n+1}).$$

Lemma 2.8 now follows from (2.7). □

(2.9) LEMMA. Let  $\delta\sigma_i(A_i) = K$ ,  $\kappa_i \in K$  ( $1 \leq i \leq n$ ). Then there exists  $\alpha \in A$  with

$$\delta\sigma_i(\alpha) = \kappa_i \quad (1 \leq i \leq n).$$

*Proof.* The hypothesis implies that  $\mathcal{A}_{n+2}$  may be identified with a flow whose underlying phase space is  $K^n \times |\mathcal{A}|$  and such that

$$(e, \dots, e, x_0)p = (\delta\sigma_n(p), \dots, \delta\sigma_1(p), x_0p) \quad (p \in M).$$

Hence there exists  $p \in M$  with

$$\delta\sigma_i(p) = \kappa_i \quad (1 \leq i \leq n) \quad \text{and} \quad x_0p = x_0;$$

i.e.  $p = u$  on  $\mathcal{A}$ . Then

$$\alpha = upu \in A \quad \text{and} \quad \delta\sigma_i(\alpha) = \kappa_i \quad (1 \leq i \leq n). \quad \square$$

(2.10) LEMMA. Let  $\delta\sigma_i(A_i) = K$  for all  $i$ . Then, for all  $n$ ,

$$\mathcal{E}_n \subset \vee \{\mathcal{R}_n(\alpha) | \alpha \in A\}.$$

*Proof.* Since  $\mathcal{S}_1^{\delta\sigma_0(\alpha)} = \mathcal{R}_1(\alpha)$  and  $\delta\sigma_0(A) = K$ ,

$$\mathcal{E}_1 \subset \vee \{\mathcal{R}_1(\alpha) | \alpha \in A\}.$$

Now assume that

$$\mathcal{E}_n \subset \vee \{\mathcal{R}_n(\alpha) | \alpha \in A\}$$

and let  $\kappa \in K$ . Choose  $\alpha \in A$  with  $\delta\sigma_0(\alpha) = \kappa$  and  $\delta\sigma_i(\alpha) = e$  ( $1 \leq i \leq n$ ).

In this case the cocycles  $\rho^k$  are trivial for  $1 \leq k \leq n$  and  $\rho^0$  is just the cocycle with  $F_{\rho^0} \equiv \kappa$ . Consequently  $\gamma_n = \rho_n^0$ . Since

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{R}_{n+1}(\alpha) &= \text{ext}(\mathcal{R}_n(\alpha), \gamma_n) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{S}_{n+1}^\kappa = \text{ext}(\mathcal{S}_n^\kappa, \rho_n^0), \\ \delta\rho_n^0(\beta) &= e \quad (\beta \in \mathcal{R}_{n+1}(\alpha) = g(\mathcal{R}_{n+1}(\alpha))). \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $g(\vee \{\mathcal{R}_{n+1}(\beta) | \beta \in A\}) \subset g(\mathcal{S}_{n+1}^\kappa)$  and so

$$\mathcal{S}_{n+1}^\kappa \subset \vee \{\mathcal{R}_{n+1}(\beta) | \beta \in A\}$$

by (2.7). Since  $\kappa$  was arbitrary,  $\mathcal{E}_{n+1} \subset \vee \{\mathcal{R}_{n+1}(\beta) | \beta \in A\}$ . □

(2.11) PROPOSITION. Let  $\delta\sigma_n(A_n) = K$  for all  $n$ . Then  $r_A(\mathcal{A}_n) = \mathcal{A}_n \vee \mathcal{E}_{n-1}$  for all  $n$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\alpha \in A$ . Then  $\mathcal{A}_n \vee \mathcal{A}_n\alpha = \mathcal{A}_n \vee \mathcal{R}_{n-1}(\alpha) \subset \mathcal{A}_n \vee \mathcal{E}_{n-1}$  by (2.5) and (2.8). Hence

$$r_A(\mathcal{A}_n) = \vee \{\mathcal{A}_n\alpha \mid \alpha \in A\} \subset \mathcal{A}_n \vee \mathcal{E}_{n-1}.$$

On the other hand

$$\mathcal{R}_{n-1}(\alpha) \subset \mathcal{A}_n \vee \mathcal{A}_n\alpha \subset r_A(\mathcal{A}_n) \quad (\alpha \in A)$$

implies that  $\mathcal{A}_n \vee \mathcal{E}_{n-1} \subset r_A(\mathcal{A}_n)$  by (2.10). □

(2.12) Remark. Corollary 1.11 shows that the condition that  $\delta\sigma_n(A_n) = K$  for all  $n$  is satisfied in the case when  $\mathcal{A}$  is weak-mixing,  $K = \mathbb{K}$ , and  $\delta\sigma(A) = \mathbb{K}$ .

R.E.'s research was supported by NSF Grant no. 7800403 A02.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] R. Ellis. *Lectures in Topological Dynamics*. Benjamin: New York, 1969.
- [2] R. Ellis. Cocycles in topological dynamics. *Topology* **17** (1978), 111–130.
- [3] R. Ellis. The Veech structure theorem, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **186** (1973), 203–218.
- [4] R. Ellis & S. Glasner. Pure weak mixing. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **243** (1978), 135–146.
- [5] R. Ellis, S. Glasner & L. Shapiro. Algebraic equivalents of flow disjointness. *Illinois J. Math.* **20** (1976), 354–360.
- [6] R. Peleg. Some extensions of weakly mixing flows. *Israel J. Math.* **9** (1971), 330–336.
- [7] M. Rees. On the structure of minimal distal transformation groups with topological manifolds as phase spaces, Maths. Inst. Univ. of Warwick, Coventry (1977).