## ON A SHEAF REPRESENTATION OF A CLASS OF NEAR-RINGS

## GEORGE SZETO

(Received 1 December 1975)

### Abstract

It is proved that R is a near-ring with identity in which every element is a power of itself if and only if it is isomorphic with a near-ring of sections of a sheaf of near-fields in which every element is a power of itself. We also obtain that the Boolean spectrum is homeomorphic with the space of all completely prime ideals of R with the Zariski topology.

## 1. Introduction

Grothendieck (1960) proved that a commutative ring is isomorphic with the ring of sections of a sheaf of local rings. Then Dauns and Hofmann (1966), Koh (1971), Lambek (1971) and Pierce (1967) obtained sheaf representations for different classes of rings (commutative and noncommutative) and modules. A lot of applications of sheaf representations of an algebraic structure have been found (Bergman (1971), DeMeyer (1972), Magid (1971), Pierce (1967), and Villamayor and Zelinsky (1969)). The purpose of the present paper is to show a sheaf representation for a class of near-rings in which every element is a power of itself. The main result is the following: R is a near-ring with identity in which every element is a power of itself if and only if it is isomorphic with a near-ring of sections of a sheaf of near-fields in which every element is a power of itself.

# 2. Preliminaries

Throughout, we assume that R is a near-ring with identity 1 such that 0r = 0 for all r in R, and that every nonzero element is a power of itself where the power is greater than 1. For such an R, Bell (1970) has found the following useful properties:

This work was supported by a National Science Foundation Grant during the author's sabbatical leave at the University of Chicago.

- (1) (R, +) is a commutative group (Bell (1970), Theorem 1).
- (2) All idempotents are central (Bell (1970), Lemma 2).
- (3) R contains a family of completely prime ideals with trivial intersection (Bell (1970), Lemma 3).

# 3. Ideals and topological spaces

Let  $\Pi$  be the set of completely prime ideals of R (it is not a void set from (3) in Section 2), and let  $\Gamma(I) = \{p \text{ in } \Pi/I \not\subset p\}$ . We shall show that  $\Pi$  is a topological space with the basic open set,  $\{\Gamma(I) \text{ for all ideals } I\}$ . From (1) and (2) in Section 2, the set of idempotents B(R) of R are central in R, so B(R) is a commutative ring with identity 1. Hence B(R) is a Boolean ring under the multiplication  $e \cdot e' = ee'$  and addition  $e \dotplus e' = e + e' - ee'$ , and, as usual, the set of maximal ideals of B(R) is a topological space Spec B(R) with kernel-hull topology. It is well known that Spec B(R) is totally disconnected, compact and Hausdorff. In this section, we shall show that the two topological spaces  $\Pi$  and Spec B(R) are homeomorphic. We begin with some properties of ideals of R. Recall that I is an ideal of the near-ring R if (1) (I, +) is a normal subgroup of (R, +), (2)  $RI \subset I$ , and (3) (x + a)y - xy is in I for all x, y in R and a in I.

LEMMA 3.1. (1) If I and I' are ideals of R then II' and  $I \cap I'$  are ideals of R.

(2) If  $I_{\alpha}$  are ideals for  $\alpha$  in an index set J, then  $\Sigma I\alpha$  for all  $\alpha$  in J is an ideal of R.

PROOF. Clearly,  $I \cap I'$  is an ideal. For II', let  $\sum a_ib_i$  and  $\sum a_i'b_i'$  be elements in II' with  $a_i$ ,  $a_i'$  in I and  $b_i$ ,  $b_i'$  in I', we have  $\sum a_ib_i - \sum a_i'b_i' = \sum a_ib_i + \sum a_i'(-b_i')$ , which is in II' (for (R, +) is commutative under addition). For an r in R,  $r(\sum a_ib_i) = \sum (ra_i)b_i$ , an element in II'. Moreover, for  $z = \sum a_ib_i$  in II', there exists an integer n such that  $z^n = z$ , so  $z^{n-1}$  is in  $B(R) \cap II'$ . Hence the Pierce decomposition theorem implies that  $R \cong z^{n-1}R + (1-z^{n-1})R$ . Denote  $z^{n-1}$  by e. Then for all x, y in R,

$$(1-e)((x+z)y-xy) = (1-e)((x+ez)y-xy) = (1-e)xy + (1-e)(-xy)$$
$$= (1-e)(xy+(-xy)) = 0.$$

This implies that ((x + z)y - xy) is in (eR), which is in H'.

Part (2) can be proved by the similar argument to part (1). Following Pierce (1967), an ideal I is called regular if  $I = (I \cap B(R))R$ .

LEMMA 3.2. Every ideal I of R is regular.

PROOF. For  $a \neq 0$  in I, there exists an integer n > 1 such that  $a^n = a$ , so  $a^{n-1}$  is an idempotent. Hence it is central. In this way, each element r in R induces an element e, in B(R). Clearly,  $I \cap B(R) = \{e,/r \text{ in } I\}$ . Now, for an  $r \neq 0$  in I with  $r^n = r$ , r = e,r which is in  $(I \cap B(R))R$ . Hence  $I \subset (I \cap B(R))R$ . The other inclusion is immediate.

Now we show that a topology can be imposed on the set of completely prime ideals.

THEOREM 3.3. Let  $\Pi$  be the set of completely prime ideals of R and  $\Gamma(I) = \{ p \in \Pi | I \text{ an ideal of } R \text{ with } I \not\subset p \}$ . Then  $\Pi$  is a topological space with a basic open set  $\Gamma(I)$  for all ideals I of R.

PROOF. Since each p in  $\Gamma(I)$  is completely prime, it is easy to see that  $\Gamma(I) \cap \Gamma(I') = \Gamma(II')$ , and that  $\cup \Gamma(I_{\alpha}) = \Gamma(\Sigma I_{\alpha})$ , where  $I_{\alpha}$  are ideals of R with  $\alpha$  in some index set J. From Lemma 3.1, II' and  $\Sigma I_{\alpha}$  are ideals, so the proof is complete.

Next we want to show that  $\Pi$  and Spec B(R) are homeomorphic.

THEOREM 3.4. The topological space  $\Pi$  is homeomorphic with the Boolean space  $\operatorname{Spec} B(R)$  of R.

PROOF. From Lemma 3.2, we have  $P = (P \cap B(R))R$  for each P in  $\Pi$ . Define a mapping F from  $\Pi$  to Spec B(R) by  $F(P) = P \cap B(R)$ . Since P is completely prime,  $P \cap B(R)$  is prime in B(R), and so it is a point in Spec B(R). Also, Lemma 3.2 implies that F is one to one. Let x be a point in Spec B(R). We claim that xR is a completely prime ideal in R. In fact, let rr' be in xR for any r, r' in R. If r and r' are not in xR, e, and  $e_r$  are not in x (note that xR is an ideal). But then  $e_re_r$  is not in x. Hence  $(e_re_r + x) = B(R)$ , so  $1 = e_re_r \cdot s + s'$  for some s and s' in B(R) with s' in x. Thus  $e_re_r \cdot R + xR = R$  contradicting to the fact that  $e_re_r \cdot R \subset rr'R \subset xR$ . This proves that xR is in  $\Pi$  such that F(xR) = x in Spec B(R). So F is onto. Moreover, noting that  $\Pi$  has a basic open set  $\Gamma(r)$  for r in R and that  $\Gamma(r) = \Gamma(e_r)$ , we conclude that  $F(\Gamma(e_r)) = \Gamma_0(e_r)$ , where  $\Gamma_0(e_r) = \{x \text{ in Spec } B(R)/e_r \not\in x\}$ . But  $\{\Gamma_0(e_r)\}$  is a basic open set for Spec B(R), then F is a required homeomorphism.

# 4. A sheaf representation

As defined for a sheaf of rings (Pierce (1967)), a sheaf  $\Sigma$  of near-rings  $R_x$  for x in a topological space X is a disjoint union of  $R_x$  such that (1) for each x in X, a near-ring  $R_x$  is given with identity  $1_x$ , (2)  $R_x \cap R_y = \emptyset$ , a void set for  $x \neq y$  in X, (3) the projection  $\pi$  from  $\Sigma$  to X maps r in  $R_x$  to x for each r, (4) a topology is imposed on  $\Sigma$  such that 1) if r is in  $\Sigma$ , there exists an open set U in

 $\Sigma$  with r in U and  $N \subset X$  such that  $\pi$  maps U homeomorphically on an open set N of X, 2) let  $\Sigma + \Sigma$  denote  $\{(r, s)/\pi(r) = \pi(s)\}$ , with the product topology in  $\Sigma \times \Sigma$ , then the inverse map  $r \to -r$ , the addition map  $(r, s) \to r + s$  and the product map  $(r, s) \to rs$  are continuous, and 3) the constant map  $x \to 1_x$  is continuous on X to  $\Sigma$ .

The near-rings  $R_x$  are called stalks of the sheaf  $\Sigma$ . For a subset U of X,  $\lambda(U, \Sigma)$  is the collection of all continuous functions from U to  $\Sigma$ , called sections from U to  $\Sigma$ . Now we take R/p as  $R_p$  for each p in  $\Pi$ . That is, R/p = R/xR by Lemma 3.2, where  $x = p \cap B(R)$ . Then we have:

THEOREM 4.1. The disjoint union  $\Sigma$  of R/p is a sheaf with a basic open set  $\hat{r}(\Gamma(e))$  for all e in B(R) and r in R, where  $\hat{r}$  is a section such that  $\hat{r}(p) = \bar{r}$  in R/p.

PROOF. For p in  $\Gamma(e') \cap \Gamma(e'')$ , let  $\hat{r}'(p) = \hat{r}''(p)$  with r' and r'' in R. Then  $\bar{r}' = \bar{r}''$  in R/p. So,  $(r'-r'') = e_{(r'-r'')}s$  for some s in R and  $e_{(r'-r'')}$  in p. Hence  $\bar{r}' = \bar{r}''$  in R/p' for all p' in  $\Gamma(1-e_{(r'-r'')})$ . Denote  $(1-e_{(r'-r'')})$  by e. We have  $\bar{r}' = \bar{r}''$  in R/p for all p in  $\Gamma(e'e''e)$ . Thus  $\hat{r}'(e'e''e) \subseteq \hat{r}'\Gamma(e') \cap \hat{r}''\Gamma(e'')$ . This proves that  $\{\hat{r}\Gamma(e)/r \text{ in } R \text{ and } e \text{ in } B(R)\}$  is a basic open set for a topology imposed on  $\Sigma$ . Now let p be a point in  $(\hat{r}^{-1})(\hat{r}'(\Gamma(e)))$  for r, r' in R and e in B(R). Then  $\hat{r}(p) = \hat{r}'(p)$  and p is in  $\Gamma(e)$ . The above result implies that  $\hat{r}(p') = \hat{r}'(p')$  in some open set  $\hat{r}(\Gamma(e'))$  contained in  $\hat{r}'(\Gamma(e))$ . Thus  $\hat{r}$  is a section for all r in R. Finally, it is routine to check that  $\Sigma$  is a sheaf of near-rings R/p with the topology having a basic open set  $\hat{r}(\Gamma(e))$  for all e in B(R) and r in R.

We say a near-ring has property P if every element is a power of itself.

THEOREM 4.2. The near-ring R has property P if and only if it is isomorphic with the near-ring of sections of a sheaf of near-fields, each having property P.

PROOF. Let R have property P. By Theorem 4.1, we know that  $\hat{r}$  is a section for each r in R. Now let  $\hat{r} = 0$ . Then  $\bar{r} = 0$  in R/p for all p in  $\Pi$ . Hence r = 0 by (3) in Section 2; and so the map:  $r \to \hat{r}$  is one-to-one. Moreover, since p is completely prime, R/p has no non-trivial zero divisors. But R has property P, so R/p is a near-field having property P. Next we claim that the map:  $r \to \hat{r}$  is onto from R to  $\Sigma$ . Let f be a section of  $\Sigma$ . Then f(p) is in R/p, and so  $f(p) = \bar{r}$  in R/p for some r in R. Hence  $f = \hat{r}$  in a basic open set  $\Gamma(e)$  for some e in R is covered by such  $\Gamma(e)$ 's. Thus by the partition property of Spec R in R here are a finite cover of R in R in R is R in R i

are orthogonal idempotents summing to 1 and  $f = \hat{r}_i$  at each p in  $\Gamma(e_i)$  for all i, where  $r_i$  are in R (see proposition 12–13 in Pierce (1967) for the partition property of B(R) and hence of  $\Pi$ ). Therefore  $f = \hat{r}$  with  $r = \sum r_i e_i$ .

Conversely, let r be a nonzero element in R. Then  $(\bar{r})^n = \bar{r}$  in R/p for some p in  $\Pi$  and an integer n > 1 depending on p. That is,  $(\bar{r}^n) = \bar{r}$ . Considering  $(r)^n$  and r as sections of  $\Sigma$ , we have a basic open set  $\Gamma(e)$  containing p such that  $(\hat{r})^n = \hat{r}$  over  $\Gamma(e)$ . Now let p vary over  $\Pi$ . Then  $\Pi$  is covered with such  $\Gamma(e)$ 's. By the partition property of  $\Pi$ , there is a finite cover  $\Gamma(e_i)$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$  which is a refinement of the  $\Gamma(e)$ 's such that  $e_i$  are orthogonal and summing to 1. Thus  $(e_i r)^{n_i} = e_i r$  for each i. Observing that  $(e_i r)^{n_i-1}$  is an idempotent for each i, we let  $m = (n_1 - 1)(n_2 - 1) \cdots (n_k - 1) + 1$ . Then

$$r^m = rr^{m-1} = r(r \cdot 1)^{m-1} = r(\Sigma(re_i))^{m-1}.$$

We next claim that  $(\Sigma(re_i))^{m-1} = \Sigma(re_i)^{m-1}$ . In fact,  $e_i$  are central orthogonal idempotents, so  $e_i Re_i = 0$  for  $i \neq j$ . Hence

$$(re_i + r'e_j)^2 = (re_i + r'e_j)re_i + (re_i + r'e_j)r'e_j$$

$$= e_i(re_i + r'e_j)re_i + e_j(re_i + r'e_j)r'e_j$$

$$= (re_i + 0)re_i + (0 + r'e_j)r'e_j$$

$$= (re_i)^2 + (r'e_i)^2.$$

By repeating this calculation, we have

$$r^{m} = r(r^{m-1}) = r(\Sigma(re_{i}))^{m-1} = r(\Sigma(re_{i})^{m-1}) = r(\Sigma(re_{i})^{n_{i}-1})$$

because  $m-1=(n_1-1)(n_2-1)\cdots(n_k-1)$  and  $(re_i)^{n_i-1}$  is an idempotent for each *i*. But then

$$r^m = \sum r(re_i)^{n_i-1} = \sum (re_i)^{n_i} = \sum (re_i) = r(\sum (e_i)) = r.$$

Thus the proof is complete.

#### References

Howard E. Bell (1970), 'Near-rings in which each element is a power of itself', Bull. Austral. Math. Soc. 2, 363-368.

George M. Bergman (1971), 'Hereditary commutative rings and centres of hereditary rings', *Proc. London Math. Soc.* (3) 23, 214-236.

John Dauns and Karl Heinrich Hofmann (1966), 'The representation of biregular rings by sheaves', Math. Z. 91, 103-123.

Frank DeMeyer (1972), 'Separable polynomials over a commutative ring', Rocky Mountain J. Math. 2, 299-310.

- A. Grothendieck (1960), Éléments de géométrie algébrique, I. Le langage des schémas (Rédigés avec la collaboration de J. Dieudonné. Inst. Hautes Etudes Sci. Publ. Math., 4. Paris, 1960).
- Kwangil Koh (1971), 'On functional representations of a ring without nilpotent elements', Canad. Math. Bull. 14, 349-352.
- J. Lambek (1971), 'On the representation of modules by sheaves of factor modules', Canad. Math. Bull. 14, 359-368.
- A. R. Magid (1971), 'Pierce's representation and separable algebras', *Illinois J. Math.* 15, 114-121.
- R. S. Pierce (1967), Modules over commutative regular rings (Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. 70. Providence, Rhode Island, 1967).
- O. E. Villamayor and D. Zelinsky (1969), 'Galois theory with infinitely many idempotents', Nagoya Math. J. 35, 83-98.

Department of Mathematics, Bradley University, Peoria, Illinois 61625.

The University of Chicago, Chicago, Illinois 60637, U.S.A.