

## NOT EVERY $K_1$ -EMBEDDED SUBSPACE IS $K_0$ -EMBEDDED

JAN van MILL

**0. Introduction.** All topological spaces under discussion are assumed to be Tychonoff.

For any topological space  $X$  let  $\tau(X)$  denote the topology of  $X$ . If  $X \subset Y$  then a function  $\kappa : \tau(X) \rightarrow \tau(Y)$  is called an *extender* provided that  $\kappa(U) \cap X = U$  for all  $U \in \tau(X)$ . In addition,  $X$  is said to be  $K_n$ -embedded in  $Y$  (cf. [3]) provided there is an extender  $\kappa : \tau(X) \rightarrow \tau(Y)$  such that

- if  $n = 0$  then  $\kappa(\emptyset) = \emptyset$  and  $\kappa(V) \cap \kappa(W) = \kappa(V \cap W)$  for all  $V, W \in \tau(X)$ ;
- if  $n > 0$  then  $\kappa(V_0) \cap \dots \cap \kappa(V_n) = \emptyset$  whenever  $V_i \cap V_j = \emptyset$  for  $0 < i < j \leq n$  and  $V_0, \dots, V_n \in \tau(X)$ .

The extender  $\kappa$  is called a  $K_n$ -function (cf. [3]).

Eric van Douwen has asked whether there is a space  $X$  with a subspace  $Z$  which is  $K_1$ -embedded but not  $K_0$ -embedded. The aim of this note is to answer this question.

*Example 0.1.* There is a separable first countable compact space  $X$  which has a closed subspace  $Z$  which is  $K_1$ -embedded but not  $K_0$ -embedded.

Let  $n$  be a positive integer and let  $X \subset Y$ . An extender  $\kappa : \tau(X) \rightarrow \tau(Y)$  is called an  $M_n$ -function (cf. [2]) if  $\bigcap_{i=0}^n \kappa(U_i) = \emptyset$  for all  $U_i \in \tau(X)$  ( $i \leq n$ ) satisfying  $\bigcap_{i=0}^n U_i = \emptyset$ . The subspace  $X$  is said to be  $M_n$ -embedded in  $Y$ .

The following example answers another natural question.

*Example 0.2.* For every  $n \geq 1$  there is a compact space  $X_n$  which has a closed subspace  $Z_n$  which is  $M_n$ -embedded in  $X_n$  but which is not  $M_i$ -embedded in  $X_n$  for all  $i > n$ .

The spaces  $X_n$  in Example 0.2 unfortunately are not first countable.

**1. Hyperspace-like extensions.** If  $A$  is a set and  $\kappa$  is any cardinal, define (as usual)

$$\begin{aligned} [A]^\kappa &:= \{B \subset A \mid |B| = \kappa\} \\ [A]^{\leq \kappa} &:= \{B \subset A \mid |B| \leq \kappa\} \\ [A]^{< \kappa} &:= \{B \subset A \mid |B| < \kappa\}. \end{aligned}$$

---

Received January 6, 1978 and in revised form August 25, 1978. This research was supported by the Netherlands Organization for the Advancement of Pure Research (Z.W.O.); Juliana van Stolberglaan 148's—Gravenhage, The Netherlands.

Let  $X$  be a topological space and let  $n \geq 3$  be fixed. Define

$$M_n(X) := [X]^{\leq n} - [X]^2.$$

In addition, for all  $A \subset X$  define

$$\langle A \rangle_n := \{F \in M_n(X) \mid |F - A| \leq 1\} - \{\{x\} \mid x \in X - A\}$$

and

$$(A)_n := \{F \in M_n(X) \mid |F \cap A| \geq 2\} \cup \{\{x\} \mid x \in A\}$$

respectively.

LEMMA 1.1. *Let  $X$  be a topological space and let  $n \geq 3$  be fixed. Then*

- (a)  $\langle A \rangle_n \subset (A)_n$  for all  $A \subset X$ ;
- (b) for any two  $A, B \subset X$ , if  $A \subset B$  then  $\langle A \rangle_n \subset \langle B \rangle_n$  and  $(A)_n \subset (B)_n$ ;
- (c) if  $A \cup B = X$  then  $\langle A \rangle_n \cup \langle B \rangle_n = M_n(X)$ ;
- (d) if  $A, B \subset X$  and  $A \cap B = \emptyset$  then  $\langle A \rangle_n \cap \langle B \rangle_n = \emptyset$ .

The simple proof of this lemma is left to the reader.

We now take the collection

$$\{\langle U \rangle_n \mid U \in \tau(X)\} \cup \{(U)_n \mid U \in \tau(X)\}$$

as an open subbase for a topology on  $M_n(X)$ . By Lemma 1.1 the collection

$$\{\langle Z \rangle_n \mid Z \text{ is a zero-set of } X\} \cup \{(Z)_n \mid Z \text{ is a zero-set of } X\}$$

is a closed subbase for  $M_n(X)$  which satisfies the conditions of subbase normality and subbase regularity (in the sense of [5]). This implies that  $M_n(X)$  is Tychonoff, cf. [5].

It is easily seen that the function  $i : X \rightarrow M_n(X)$  defined by  $i(x) := \{x\}$  is a topological embedding. We will identify  $X$  and  $i[X]$ .

LEMMA 1.2. *Let  $X$  be a topological space and let  $n \geq 3$  be fixed. Then*

- (a)  $X$  is closed in  $M_n(X)$ ;
- (b)  $X$  is first countable if and only if  $M_n(X)$  is first countable;
- (c)  $X$  is separable if and only if  $M_n(X)$  is separable;
- (d)  $X$  is compact if and only if  $M_n(X)$  is compact.

*Proof.* The easy proofs of (a), (b) and (c) are left to the reader. To prove (d) first notice that if  $M_n(X)$  is compact then by (a)  $X$  is compact. Now assume that  $X$  is compact. Define  $M_2(X) = X$ . By induction on  $n$  ( $n \geq 2$ ) we will show that  $M_n(X)$  is compact. Clearly  $M_2(X)$  is compact. Now assume that  $M_{n-1}(X)$  is compact. By the lemma of Alexander we need only show that a cover of type

$$(*) \quad \{\langle U_i \rangle_n \mid U_i \in \tau(X) \ (i \in I)\} \cup \{(V_j)_n \mid V_j \in \tau(X) \ (j \in J)\}$$

has a finite subcover. Since  $M_{n-1}(X) \subset M_n(X)$  and since by induction hypothesis  $M_{n-1}(X)$  is compact, we may choose a finite  $F \subset I$  and a finite  $G \subset J$  such that

$$M_{n-1}(X) \subset \bigcup_{i \in F} \langle U_i \rangle_n \cup \bigcup_{j \in G} (V_j)_n.$$

Define

$$Z = \{x = \langle x_1, \dots, x_n \rangle \in X^n \mid \forall i \in F : |\{x_1, \dots, x_n\} - U_i| > 1\} \\ \cap \{x \in X^n \mid \forall j \in G : |\{x_1, \dots, x_n\} - V_j| > 1\}.$$

It is clear that  $Z$  is a closed subspace of the compact space  $X^n$ . Suppose that there is an  $x = \langle x_1, \dots, x_n \rangle \in Z$  such that  $H = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$  has cardinality less than or equal to 2. Then

$$H \cap (\bigcup_{i \in F} U_i \cup \bigcup_{j \in G} V_j) = \emptyset$$

and since

$$\bigcup_{i \in F} U_i \cup \bigcup_{j \in G} V_j = X$$

this is a contradiction. We conclude that the function  $f : Z \rightarrow M_n(X)$  defined by

$$f(\langle x_1, \dots, x_n \rangle) := \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$$

is well-defined. An easy check shows that  $f$  is continuous. Hence  $f[Z]$  is compact. Obviously

$$M_n(X) - (\bigcup_{i \in F} \langle U_i \rangle_n \cup \bigcup_{j \in G} (V_j)_n) \subset f[Z].$$

We conclude that  $(*)$  has a finite subcovering.

**2. The examples.** We first fix some notation. If  $A$  and  $B$  are sets,  ${}^A B$  is the set of functions from  $A$  to  $B$ . We are interested in  ${}^\omega 2$ , for ordinals  $\alpha \leq \omega$ . An element of  ${}^\alpha 2$  can be seen as an  $\alpha$ -sequence of 0's and 1's. As usual we denote  $\bigcup_{n < \omega} {}^n 2$  by  $\omega 2$ . For each  $f \in {}^\omega 2$  let

$$I(f) = \{f \upharpoonright n \mid n \in \omega\},$$

the set of initial sequences of  $f$ . It is clear that

(1) if  $f, g \in {}^\omega 2$  are distinct, then  $I(f) \cap I(g)$  is finite.

Hence,  $\{I(f) \mid f \in {}^\omega 2\}$  is an almost disjoint collection of subsets of the countable set  $\omega 2$ .

The collection  $\{I(f) \mid f \in {}^\omega 2\}$  has an important property:

(\*) for every uncountable subset  $G$  of  ${}^\omega 2$  there is a  $g \in G$  and an infinite  $H \subset G - \{g\}$  such that  $I(h) \cap I(h') \subset I(g)$  for any two distinct,  $h, h' \in H$ .

This was shown in [4].

The set  $T = \omega 2 \cup {}^\omega 2$  is a tree, partially ordered by inclusion, the so-called Cantor tree, cf. [6]. The tree  $T$  is topologized in the following way: points of

$\omega_2$  are isolated, and a basic neighborhood of  $f \in \omega_2$  contains  $f$  and all but finitely many points of  $I(f)$ .

We can now construct Example 0.1.

2.1. *Construction of Example 0.1.* Let  $\gamma T$  be a first countable compactification of  $T$ . Such a compactification is described in [4]. Let  $X = M_3(\gamma T)$  (cf. Section 1) and let  $Z = \gamma T$ . Then  $X$  is separable and first countable (cf. Lemma 1.2).

That  $Z$  is  $K_1$ -embedded in  $X$  is trivial; it is easily seen that  $\kappa : \tau(Z) \rightarrow \tau(X)$  defined by  $\kappa(U) = \langle U \rangle_3$  is a  $K_1$ -function.

Let us now show that  $Z$  is not  $K_0$ -embedded in  $X$ . The proof is an adaptation of a proof in [4].

To the contrary, assume that  $\kappa : \tau(Z) \rightarrow \tau(X)$  is a  $K_0$ -function. For each  $f \in \omega_2$  let  $U(f) = \kappa(I(f) \cup \{f\})$ . Then  $U(f)$  is a neighborhood of  $f$  in  $X$ . Since

$$\{\langle V \rangle_3 \mid f \in V \in \tau(Z)\}$$

is a neighborhood base of  $f$  in  $X$  (the reader should verify this) we can take  $V(f) \in \tau(Z)$  such that

$$f \in V(f) \subset \langle V(f) \rangle_3 \subset U(f) = \kappa(I(f) \cup \{f\}).$$

Since  $\{V(f) \cap \omega_2 \mid f \in \omega_2\}$  has cardinality  $2^\omega$  there is an uncountable  $G \subset \omega_2$  and a point  $p \in \omega_2$  such that

$$p \in \bigcap_{g \in G} V(g) \cap \omega_2.$$

By (\*) above there is a  $g \in G$  and an infinite  $H \subset G - \{g\}$  such that  $I(h) \cap I(h') \subset I(g)$  for any two distinct  $h, h' \in H$ . Since  $V(h) \cap \omega_2$  is infinite for all  $h \in H$  we conclude that

$$\{V(h) - (I(g) \cup \{g\}) \mid h \in H\}$$

is a disjoint collection of nonempty subsets of  $Z$ .

Since  $I(g) \cup \{g\}$  is clopen in  $Z$  so is  $W = Z - (I(g) \cup \{g\})$ . For every  $w \in W$  let  $O(w) \subset W$  be open such that

$$w \in O(w) \subset \langle O(w) \rangle_3 \subset \kappa(W).$$

By the compactness of  $W$  there is a finite  $F \subset W$  such that

$$W \subset \bigcup_{x \in F} O(x) \subset \bigcup_{x \in F} \langle O(x) \rangle_3 \subset \kappa(W).$$

Since  $F$  is finite there is an  $x \in F$  and there are distinct  $h, h' \in H$  such that  $O(x)$  intersects both  $V(h)$  and  $V(h')$ . Take  $p(h) \in O(x) \cap V(h)$  and  $p(h') \in O(x) \cap V(h')$ . Notice that  $p(h) \neq p(h')$ . Define  $B = \{p, p(h), p(h')\}$ . Then

$$B \in \langle O(x) \rangle_3 \cap \langle V(h) \rangle_3 \cap \langle V(h') \rangle_3 \subset \kappa(W) \cap \kappa(I(h) \cup \{h\}) \cap \kappa(I(h') \cup \{h'\}).$$

Now, since

$$\begin{aligned} \kappa(W) \cap \kappa(I(h) \cup \{h\}) \cap \kappa(I(h') \cup \{h'\}) &\subset \kappa(W \cap (I(h) \cup \{h\}) \\ &\cap (I(h') \cup \{h'\})) = \kappa(\emptyset) = \emptyset, \end{aligned}$$

this is a contradiction.

For the construction of Example 0.2 we need a theorem in [1]. Let  $N$  denote the set of natural numbers.

**THEOREM 2.2.** (cf. [1]). *Let  $n \geq 2$ . Let  $\mathcal{J} \subset \mathcal{P}(N)$  and let  $g : \mathcal{P}(N) \rightarrow [\mathcal{J}]^{<\omega}$  such that for all  $A \in \mathcal{P}(N)$  we have  $A = \cup g(A)$ . Then there is a collection  $\mathcal{H} \in [\mathcal{P}(N)]^n$  and for each  $H \in \mathcal{H}$  there is a  $G_H \in g(H)$  such that*

- (i)  $\cap \mathcal{H} = \emptyset$ ;
- (ii) for all  $\mathcal{B} \in [\{G_H \mid H \in \mathcal{H}\}]^{n-1}$  we have that  $\cap \mathcal{B} \neq \emptyset$ .

This gives us Example 0.2.

**2.3. Construction of Example 0.2.** Let  $\beta N$  be the Čech–Stone compactification of  $N$ . Let  $n \geq 1$  be fixed. Let  $Y = \beta N \cup [\beta N]^{n+2}$ , regarded as a subspace of  $M_{n+2}(\beta N)$ . Let  $X = \beta Y$  and  $Z = \beta N$ .

We first show that  $\beta N$  is  $M_n$ -embedded in  $X$ . Indeed, define

$$\kappa : \tau(\beta N) \rightarrow \tau(X)$$

by

$$\kappa(U) := X - \text{cl}_X(Y - (\langle U \rangle_{n+2} \cap Y)).$$

We claim that  $\kappa$  defined in this way is an  $M_n$ -function. Indeed, take open sets  $U_0, \dots, U_n \in \tau(\beta N)$  such that  $\cap_{i=0}^n U_i = \emptyset$ . We claim that

$$\cap_{i=0}^n \langle U_i \rangle_{n+2} \cap Y = \emptyset.$$

Indeed, to the contrary, assume there is an  $F \in \cap_{i=0}^n \langle U_i \rangle_{n+2} \cap Y$ . For each  $i \in \{0, 1, \dots, n\}$  let  $F_i := F \cap U_i$ . Then  $|F_i| \geq n+1$  and since  $|F| = n+2$  there is a point  $x \in \cap_{i=0}^n F_i$ . Then  $x \in \cap_{i=0}^n U_i$  which is a contradiction. Hence

$$\cap_{i=0}^n \langle U_i \rangle_{n+2} \cap Y = \emptyset.$$

However, since  $Y$  is dense in  $X$ , this implies that  $\cap_{i=0}^n \kappa(U_i) = \emptyset$ .

We now show that  $\beta N$  is not  $M_{n+1}$ -embedded in  $X$ . It can easily be seen that this implies that  $\beta N$  is not  $M_i$ -embedded in  $X$  for all  $i \geq n+1$ . The proof is inspired by a construction in [1].

Let  $\rho : \tau(\beta N) \rightarrow \tau(X)$  be any extender. For all  $A \subset N$  we have that

$$A \subset \text{cl}_{\beta N}(A) \subset \rho(\text{cl}_{\beta N}(A)).$$

Since  $\text{cl}_{\beta N}(A)$  is compact, with the same technique as used in 2.1, there is a finite  $\mathfrak{F}(A) \subset \tau(\beta N)$  such that

$$\text{cl}_{\beta N}(A) \subset \cup_{F \in \mathfrak{F}(A)} \langle F \rangle_{n+2} \subset \rho(\text{cl}_{\beta N}(A)).$$

Define a function  $g : \mathcal{P}(N) \rightarrow [\mathcal{P}(N)]^{<\omega}$  by

$$g(A) = \{F \cap N \mid F \in \mathfrak{F}(A)\}.$$

Notice that  $A = \cup g(A)$  for all  $A \subset N$ . By Theorem 2.2 there are  $A_0, \dots, A_{n+1} \subset N$  and for each  $0 \leq i \leq n + 1$  there is a  $G_i \in g(A_i)$  such that

- (a)  $\bigcap_{i=0}^{n+1} A_i = \emptyset$ ;
- (b)  $\bigcap_{i=0}^{m-1} G_i \cap \bigcap_{i=m+1}^{n+1} G_i \neq \emptyset$  for all  $0 \leq m \leq n + 1$ .

For all  $0 \leq m \leq n + 1$  take

$$x_m \in \bigcap_{i=0}^{m-1} G_i \cap \bigcap_{i=m+1}^{n+1} G_i.$$

Since  $\bigcap_{i=0}^{n+1} A_i = \emptyset$  we have that  $H = \{x_i \mid 0 \leq i \leq n + 1\}$  has cardinality  $n + 2$  and hence is a point of  $Y$ . For all  $0 \leq i \leq n + 1$  take  $F_i \in \mathfrak{F}(A_i)$  such that  $F_i \cap N = G_i$ . Then

$$H \in \bigcap_{i=0}^{n+1} \langle F_i \rangle_{n+2} \subset \bigcap_{i=0}^{n+1} \rho(\text{cl}_{\beta N}(A_i)).$$

Since  $\bigcap_{i=0}^{n+1} \text{cl}_{\beta N}(A_i) = \emptyset$  we find that  $\rho$  is not an  $M_{n+1}$ -function.

REFERENCES

1. M. G. Bell and J. van Mill, *The compactness number of a compact topological space* (to appear in Fund. Math.).
2. E. K. van Douwen, *Simultaneous extension of continuous functions*, Thesis, Vrije Universiteit, Amsterdam (1975).
3. ——— *Simultaneous linear extension of continuous functions*, Gen. Top. Appl. 5 (1975), 297–319.
4. E. K. van Douwen and J. van Mill, *Supercompact spaces* (to appear in Gen. Top. Appl.).
5. J. de Groot and J. M. Aarts, *Complete regularity as a separation axiom*, Can. J. Math. 21 (1969), 96–105.
6. M. E. Rudin, *Lectures on set theoretic topology*, Regional Conf. Ser. in Math. No. 23, Am. Math. Soc. (Providence, RI, 1975).

*University of Wisconsin,  
Madison, Wisconsin*