

## ON PURE-HIGH SUBGROUPS OF ABELIAN GROUPS

BY  
K. BENABDALLAH

**Introduction.** L. Fuchs, in [3], problem 14, proposes the study of pure-high subgroups of an abelian group. In this paper we show that in abelian torsion groups, pure-high subgroups are also high. A natural problem arises, that of characterizing the pure-absolute summands. We show that this concept is the same as absolute summands in torsion groups, but that it is more general in mixed abelian groups. There is a definite connection between the existence of pure  $N$ -high subgroups and the splitting of mixed groups. The notation is that of [3].

**1. Pure-high Subgroups.** Let  $N$  be a subgroup of a group  $G$ . We say that a subgroup  $H$  of  $G$  is  $N$ -pure-high if it is maximal among the pure subgroups disjoint from  $N$ . Zorn's Lemma guarantees the existence of  $N$ -pure-high subgroups. The following theorem establishes the connection between  $N$ -pure-high and  $N$ -high subgroups of a torsion group.

**THEOREM 1.**  *$N$ -pure-high subgroups are  $N$ -high subgroups in torsion groups.*

**Proof.** Clearly, it is sufficient to establish the result for primary groups. We need the following fact: If  $N$  is a subgroup of a  $p$ -group  $G$  such that  $N[p] \neq G[p]$  then there exists a non-zero pure subgroup of  $G$  disjoint from  $N$ . Indeed, two cases may occur: all elements of  $G[p]$  are of infinite  $p$ -height or there exists  $x \in G[p]$  such that  $h(x) < \infty$ . In the first case,  $G$  must be a divisible group (see [5], lemma 8) and any  $N$ -high subgroup of  $G$  is pure and non-zero. In the second case, there exists an element of  $G[p]$  which is not in  $N[p]$  and which is of finite height, for if  $y \in G[p] \setminus N[p]$  and  $h_p(y) = \infty$  then  $y + x \in G[p] \setminus N[p]$  and  $h_p(x + y) = h_p(x) < \infty$ . This element generates the socle of a pure subgroup which will clearly be non-zero and disjoint from  $N$  (see [5], proof of theorem 9). Now we are ready to prove the theorem. Let  $K$  be an  $N$ -pure-high subgroup of  $G$ . To see that  $K$  is  $N$ -high we need only show that  $K[p] \oplus N[p] = G[p]$  (see [4]). In  $G/K$ , we have

$$(1) \quad ((N \oplus K)/K)[p] = (G/K)[p].$$

Otherwise, by the result established above, there exists a subgroup  $H/K$  which is non-zero, pure and disjoint from  $(N \oplus K)/K$ . It follows from ([5], lemma 2) that  $H$  is pure in  $G$ , which contradicts the maximality of  $K$ .

From (i) we conclude  $N[p] \oplus K[p] = G[p]$ , since  $K$  is pure. Therefore  $K$  is  $N$ -high.

---

Received by the editors September 22, 1972 and, in revised form, May 14, 1973.

**COROLLARY.** *Every pure subgroup disjoint from a subgroup  $N$  of a torsion group  $G$  can be extended to a pure  $N$ -high subgroup of  $G$ .*

The result in theorem 1 is trivially true in torsion free groups because all  $N$ -high subgroups are pure. However, in mixed groups  $G$  which do not split over their torsion subgroups  $G_t$ , all  $G_t$ -high subgroups are not pure and thus  $G_t$ -pure-high subgroups are not  $G_t$ -high.

For abelian groups in general we have the following partial result:

**THEOREM 2.** *Let  $N$  be a subgroup of a group  $G$ . If one  $N$ -high subgroup is torsion, all  $N$ -high subgroups are torsion and  $N$ -pure-high subgroups are  $N$ -high.*

**2. Pure-Absolute Summands.** In this section we ask the following question. Which subgroups  $A$  of a group  $G$  have the property that  $G=A\oplus H$  for every pure  $A$ -high subgroup  $H$  of  $G$ ? We call such subgroups pure-absolute summands. Clearly absolute summands are pure-absolute summands but the converse is not true. Indeed, it is easy to show that the torsion subgroup of a splitting group is a pure-absolute summand which is not in general an absolute summand. Absolute summands were characterized for the first time by L. Fuchs and are also described in [1].

**THEOREM 3.** *In torsion groups, pure-absolute summands are absolute summands.*

**Proof.** It is sufficient to show that the statement is true for  $p$ -groups. Let  $A$  be a pure-absolute summand of a  $p$ -group  $G$ . Then by theorem 1,  $A$  is a summand of  $G$ . If  $A[p] \subset G^1$ , then  $A$  is divisible and therefore it is an absolute summand. If  $A[p] \not\subset G^1$  then we must show (see [1], theorem 4.4, p. 343) that there exists  $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ , such that

$$(p^{n+1}G)[p] \subset A[p] \subset (p^nG)[p].$$

Let  $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ , such that  $A[p] \subset (p^nG)[p]$  but  $A[p] \not\subset (p^{n+1}G)[p]$ . Such an  $n$  exists since  $A[p] \not\subset G^1$ . Thus there exists  $a \in A[p]$  such that  $h_p(a)=n$ . Let  $x \in (p^{n+1}G)[p]$  and suppose  $x \notin A[p]$ . Then  $x+a \notin A[p]$  and  $h_p(x+a)=n$ . Therefore there exists a pure subgroup  $K$  containing  $x+a$  and  $A$ -high. We have  $G=A\oplus K$ .

Since  $x+a \in K$  and  $a \in A$  and  $h_p(x+a)=h_p(a)=n$ , we have  $h_p(x+a-a)=n$ , but this is a contradiction because  $h_p(x) \geq n+1$ . Therefore  $x \in A[p]$  and

$$(p^{n+1}G)[p] \subset A[p] \subset (p^nG)[p].$$

Therefore  $A$  is an absolute summand.

The next result exhibits a family of subgroups of a mixed group which are pure-absolute summands, but not in general absolute summands.

**THEOREM 4.** *Let  $G$  be a mixed group and let  $P$  be the set of positive prime numbers. Then the subgroups  $G_S = \bigoplus_{p \in S} G_p$  are pure absolute summands of  $G$  for every  $S \subset P$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $A = G_S$  and  $B = G_{P \setminus S}$ . If there are no pure  $A$ -high subgroups then  $A$  is pure-absolute vacuously. Suppose then that  $H$  is a pure  $A$ -high subgroup of  $G$ . We know that  $G_t = A \oplus B$ . We show first that  $H$  contains  $B$ . We do this by showing that  $G_p \subset H, \forall p \in P \setminus S$ . Indeed, let  $b \in G_p$ . Then if  $b \notin H$ , we have  $\langle H, b \rangle \cap A$  is not zero so there exists  $m \in Z^+, h \in H$ , and  $a \in A$  such that  $a \neq 0$  and  $mb + h = a$ . Clearly  $mb \neq 0$ . Let the order of  $mb$  be  $p^\alpha, \alpha \geq 1$ . Then we have  $p^\alpha mb + p^\alpha h = p^\alpha a \in A \cap H = 0$ . Therefore  $p^\alpha a = 0$  and so  $a = 0$ , a contradiction. Hence we have  $b \in H$  and  $G_p \subseteq H$  for all  $p \in P \setminus S$ .

Now suppose  $g \in G$  and  $g \notin H$ . Then  $\langle g, H \rangle \cap A \neq 0$  and as before there exists  $n \in Z^+, h' \in H$  and  $a' \in A$ , so that  $ng + h' = a' \neq 0$ . Let the order of  $a'$  be  $r$ . Then  $rng + rh' = ra' = 0$ , so  $rng = -rh' \in H$ . Since  $H$  is pure there exists  $h'' \in H$  such that  $rn(g - h'') = 0$ . Hence  $g - h''$  is torsion and thus  $g - h'' = a'' + b''$  where  $a'' \in A$  and  $b'' \in B$ . Thus we have  $g = (h'' + b'') + a'' \in H \oplus A$ , and  $A$  is a pure-absolute summand.

**COROLLARY.** *A mixed group  $G$  splits over its torsion subgroups  $G_t$  if and only if there exists a pure  $G_t$ -high subgroup of  $G$ .*

**3. Some related results.** We give next a necessary and sufficient condition for a group to contain a subgroup  $N$  for which no  $N$ -high subgroup is pure.

**THEOREM 5.** *Let  $G$  be a group. There exists a subgroup  $N$  of  $G$  for which no  $N$ -high subgroup is pure if and only if  $G$  does not split over its torsion subgroup  $G_t$ .*

**Proof.** If  $G$  does not split over  $G_t$ , take  $N = G_t$  and use the corollary to theorem 4. Suppose now that  $N$  is a subgroup of  $G$  for which no  $N$ -high subgroup is pure. Let  $R/N_t$  be  $N/N_t$ -high in  $G/N_t$ . Then  $R$  is a pure subgroup of  $G$  containing  $G_t$  (see [1], lemma 3.2). Clearly if  $G = G_t \oplus K$  then  $R = G_t \oplus (K \cap R)$  and if we show that  $R$  does not split it will follow that  $G$  does not either. If  $R = G_t \oplus H$  then  $H$  is  $G_t$ -high in  $R$  and pure in  $G$ . Now by the corollary to theorem 1, there exists a pure  $N_t$ -high subgroup  $M$  in  $G_t$ , and the subgroup  $M \oplus H$  is a pure subgroup of  $G$ . It is easy to verify that  $M \oplus H$  is an  $N$ -high subgroup of  $G$  and we are led to a contradiction. Therefore  $R$  does not split and thus  $G$  does not either.

**COROLLARY.** *If  $K$  is an  $N$ -pure-high subgroup of a group  $G$  and  $G/K$  splits over its torsion subgroup then  $K$  is  $N$ -high.*

Finally, the subgroups introduced in theorem 4 of the preceding section have a curious property embodied in the next result. The notation is the same as in theorem 4.

**THEOREM 6.** *Let  $G$  be a group,  $N$  a subgroup of  $G$ . Then there exists a unique  $N$ -high subgroup if and only if  $N = 0$  or  $N$  is an essential subgroup of a  $(\bigoplus_{p \in S} G_p)$ -high subgroup of  $G$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $A = \bigoplus_{p \in S} G_p$  and let  $H$  be an  $A$ -high subgroup of  $G$ . If  $K$  is an essential subgroup of  $H$  then  $K$ -high subgroups are precisely  $H$ -high subgroups. We

will therefore show that if  $M$  is  $H$ -high then  $M=A$ . Note first that since  $A$  is  $H$ -high (see ex. 41 p. 95 in [2]) it suffices to show that  $A \subset M$ . We show this by showing that  $G_p \subset M$  for each  $p \in S$ . Let  $x \in G_p$  and suppose  $x \notin M$ , then there would exist  $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ ,  $m \in M$ ,  $h \in H$  such that  $nx + m = h \neq 0$ ; but if  $0(nx) = p^\alpha$  then  $p^\alpha m = p^\alpha h = 0$ . However  $(0(h), p) = 1$  which implies  $h = 0$ . This is a contradiction. Therefore  $M = A$ . Suppose now that  $N$  has a unique  $N$ -high subgroup  $K$  and  $N \neq 0$  and  $N$  is not essential in  $G$ . We know that for each  $p$ ,  $N[p] \oplus K[p] = G[p]$ . We show that for each  $p$ , either  $N[p] = 0$  or  $K[p] = 0$ . Indeed if  $N[p]$  and  $K[p] \neq 0$  then there exists  $x \in N[p]$  and  $y \in K[p]$  and since  $\langle y \rangle \cap N = 0 = N \cap \langle x + y \rangle$ ,  $y$  and  $x + y$  are both in  $K$  which is a contradiction. We show now that  $K[p] \neq 0$  implies  $G_p \subset K$ . Note that  $K$  is necessarily a torsion subgroup of  $G$  and from theorem 2,  $K$  is a pure subgroup of  $G$ . Let  $K[p] = G[p]$  and let  $g \in G_p$ . We use induction on the order of  $g$ . Suppose  $0(g) = p^n$  then  $p^{n-1}g \in G[p] = K[p]$  and by the purity of  $K$  there exists  $k \in K$  such that  $p^{n-1}g = p^{n-1}k$ . Therefore  $g - k \in K$ , by induction, and  $g \in K$ . Now let  $S = \{p \mid K[p] = G[p]\}$ , then  $K \supset \bigoplus_{p \in S} G_p = A$  and since  $K$  is torsion  $K = A$ . If we let  $H$  be a  $K$ -high subgroup of  $G$  containing  $N$  we see that  $N$  is an essential subgroup of  $H$ .

## REFERENCES

1. K. Benabdallah and J. M. Irwin, *On  $N$ -high subgroups of abelian groups*, Bull. Soc. Math. France **96**, 1968, 337–346.
2. L. Fuchs, *Abelian groups*, Budapest, Hungarian academy of science 1958.
3. L. Fuchs, *Infinite Abelian groups*, Academic press Mathematics 36-1 New York 1970.
4. I. M. Irwin and E. Walker, *On  $N$ -high subgroups of abelian groups*, Pacific J. Math. Vol. **11**, 1961, 1363–1374.
5. I. Kaplansky, *Infinite Abelian groups*, Ann Arbor, University Michigan Press 1954.

UNIVERSITÉ DE MONTRÉAL,  
MONTRÉAL, QUÉBEC.