

## ON ADDITIVE OPERATORS

N. A. FRIEDMAN AND A. E. TONG

**1. Introduction.** Representation theorems for additive functionals have been obtained in [2, 4; 6–8; 10–13]. Our aim in this paper is to study the representation of additive operators.

Let  $S$  be a compact Hausdorff space and let  $C(S)$  be the space of real-valued continuous functions defined on  $S$ . Let  $X$  be an arbitrary Banach space and let  $T$  be an additive operator (see § 2) mapping  $C(S)$  into  $X$ . We will show (see Lemma 3.4) that additive operators may be represented in terms of a family of “measures”  $\{\mu_h\}$  which take their values in  $X^{**}$ . If  $X$  is weakly sequentially complete, then  $\{\mu_h\}$  can be shown to take their values in  $X$  and are vector-valued measures (i.e., countably additive in the norm) (see Lemma 3.7). And, if  $X^*$  is separable in the weak-\* topology,  $T$  may be represented in terms of a kernel representation satisfying the Carathéodory conditions (see [9; 11; § 4]):

$$(x^*, T(f)) = \int_S K(x^*, f(s), s) \mu(ds) \quad \text{for each } x^* \in X^*.$$

While these results are proved by a procedure different from the bounded linear operator case, corresponding results for this case are included in the generalization, such as the following (reformulated from [5, pp 492–494]).

**THEOREM.** *Let  $X$  be a weakly sequentially complete Banach space and  $T: C(S) \rightarrow X$  a bounded linear operator. Then there is a vector-valued measure  $\mu$  (on the Borel sets) taking values in  $X$  so that:*

$$T(f) = \int_S f(s) \mu(ds) \quad \text{for each } f \in C(S).$$

**2. Preliminaries.** The dual of a Banach space  $X$  will be denoted by  $X^*$ . If  $x \in X$  and  $x^* \in X^*$ , then the evaluation of  $x^*$  at  $x$  will be denoted by  $(x, x^*)$ ,  $x^*(x)$ , or  $x(x^*)$  depending on the context. If two Banach spaces  $X_1$  and  $X_2$  are in duality, then the weak topology induced on  $X_1$  by  $X_2$  is denoted by  $\sigma(X_1, X_2)$ .

$\mathcal{B}$  denotes the class of Borel sets of a compact Hausdorff space  $S$ .  $M(S)$  denotes the Banach space of all regular real-valued measures defined on  $\mathcal{B}$

---

Received September 23, 1970. The research of the first-named author was partially supported by NSF Grant GP-12043; the research of the second-named author was partially supported by NSF Grant GP-12027.

with the norm of a measure given by  $\|\mu\| = |\mu|(S)$ , where  $|\mu|$  is the total variation of  $\mu$ . The Banach space of all bounded measurable functions on  $S$  under the sup norm,  $\|-\|_\infty$ , will be denoted by  $B(S)$ .

2.1. *Definition.* Let  $f \in C(S)$ . The carrier of  $f$  is the open set where  $f$  does not vanish and is denoted by  $c(f)$ . The support of  $f$  is the closure of  $c(f)$  and is denoted by  $s(f)$ . Given  $A \subset S$ , we say that  $f$  is carried (supported) in  $A$  if  $c(f) \subset A$  ( $s(f) \subset A$ ).

2.2. *Definition.* Let  $T: C(S) \rightarrow X$ .  $T$  is  $\beta$ -uniform if  $T$  is uniformly continuous on bounded sets. That is, for every bounded set  $D$  and  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that  $\|T(f) - T(g)\| < \epsilon$  when  $f, g \in D$  and  $\|f - g\| < \delta$ .  $T$  is additive if for each  $g \in C(S)$ , the mapping  $T_g: C(S) \rightarrow X$  defined by  $T_g(f) = T_g(f + g) - T(g)$  satisfies  $T_g(f_1 + f_2) = T_g(f_1) + T_g(f_2)$  when  $f_1 f_2 = 0$ . This condition is suggested by the measure-theoretic identity

$$\mu(F_1 \cup F_2 \cup G) = \mu(F_1 \cup G) + \mu(F_2 \cup G) - \mu(G),$$

where  $F_1$  and  $F_2$  are disjoint sets. If  $T$  is additive and  $T(0) = 0$ , then  $f_1 f_2 \equiv 0$  implies  $T(f_1 + f_2) = T(f_1) + T(f_2)$ .  $T$  is bounded if  $T$  maps bounded sets into bounded sets.

2.3. *Remark.* If  $T$  is  $\beta$ -uniform, then  $T$  is bounded. Let  $D$  be bounded, where  $\|f\| \leq b, f \in D$ . Choose  $\delta > 0$  so that  $f_1, f_2 \in D$  and  $\|f_1 - f_2\| < \delta b$  imply  $\|T(f_1) - T(f_2)\| < 1$ . Hence, for any  $f \in D$ , if  $n$  and  $r$  satisfy  $\delta/2 < r = 1/n < \delta$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} \|T(f) - T(0)\| &\leq \left\| \sum_{1 \leq k \leq n} T(krf) - T((k-1)rf) \right\| \\ &\leq \sum_{1 \leq k \leq n} \|T(krf) - T((k-1)rf)\| \leq n < 2/\delta. \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $f \in D$  implies  $\|T(f)\| < 2/\delta + \|T(0)\|$ .

2.4. *Definition.* Let  $T: C(S) \rightarrow X$ .  $T$  is an additive operator if  $T$  is  $\beta$ -uniform and additive. An additive functional is a real-valued additive operator.

Clearly, bounded linear operators are examples of additive operators. However, an additive operator is generally non-linear. For example,  $T(f) = f^2$  is an additive operator mapping  $C(S)$  into  $C(S)$ .

Given a closed set  $F$  and real  $h$ , let  $P(F, h)$  denote the class of continuous functions  $f$  satisfying  $0 \leq f \leq h$  (or  $h \leq f \leq 0$  if  $h \leq 0$ ) and  $f(G) = h$ , where  $G$  is an open set containing  $F$ . Briefly,  $P(F, h)$  is the class of peaks over  $F$  of height  $h$ . An ordering on  $P(F, h)$  is defined by  $f_2 \leq f_1$  if  $s(f_2) \subset s(f_1)$ . Thus  $f_2 \leq f_1$  if  $f_2$  is a better fit for  $F$ . A limit taken with respect to this ordering is denoted by  $\lim_r$ .

The following lemma is obtained in [8]. A proof for the case where  $T$  is an additive operator and  $\mu_h$  is a vector-valued measure is given in § 3.

2.5. LEMMA. Let  $T$  be an additive functional on  $C(S)$ . Then there is a regular Borel measure  $\mu_h$  for each real  $h$ , such that for each closed set  $F$ ,

$$\mu_h(F) = \lim_r T(f), \quad f \in P(F, h).$$

Utilizing the family of measures  $\{\mu_h\}$ , the following representation theorem is obtained [8].

2.6. THEOREM.  $T$  is an additive functional on  $C(S)$  if and only if there is a measure  $\mu$  and a kernel function  $K(\cdot, \cdot)$  such that

$$T(f) = \int_s K(f(s), s) \mu(ds),$$

where

- (i)  $\mu$  is a real-valued measure of finite variation,
- (ii)  $K(h, s)$  is a measurable function of  $s$  for each real  $h$ ,
- (iii)  $K(h, s)$  is a continuous function of  $h$  for all  $s \in S \setminus N$ , where  $\mu(N) = 0$  ( $\mu$ -a.e.  $s$ ),
- (iv) for each  $H > 0$  there exists  $M > 0$  such that  $|h| \leq H$  implies

$$|K(h, s)| \leq M \quad \text{for } \mu\text{-a.e. } s.$$

A proof of the following result is contained in [6, Lemma 18].

2.7. LEMMA. Let  $\Phi$  be an additive functional on  $C(S)$  with corresponding height measures  $\{\mu_h\}$ . If  $s_n$  is a sequence of simple functions

$$s_n = \sum_{i=1}^{k(n)} c_{n,i} \chi_{B_{n,i}}$$

and  $f \in C(S)$  such that  $\|s_n - f\|_\infty \rightarrow 0$ , then

$$\lim_n \sum_{i=1}^{k(n)} \mu_{c_{n,i}}(B_{n,i}) = \Phi(f).$$

The following result can be found in [3, p. 60]. The family of all finite subsets  $\sigma$  of the positive integers is denoted by  $\mathcal{F}$ .

2.8. THEOREM (Orlicz-Pettis). Let  $(x_k)$  be a sequence in a Banach space  $X$ . Then

(1)  $(x_k)$  is subseries Cauchy in the weak topology if and only if there exists  $M > 0$  such that

$$\sup \left\{ \left\| \sum_{k \in \sigma} x_k \right\| : \sigma \in \mathcal{F} \right\} < M.$$

(2) If  $X$  is weakly sequentially complete, then  $(x_k)$  is subseries Cauchy in the weak topology if and only if it is subseries Cauchy in the norm topology. Thus, if  $(x_k)$  is subseries Cauchy in the weak topology, then  $\lim_k \|x_k\| = 0$ .

**3. Height measures.** In this section we shall represent an additive operator in terms of a family of measures  $\{\mu_h\}$ . The proofs of Lemmas 3.1–3.3 are based on methods in [2; 6; 8].

**3.1. LEMMA.** *Let  $T: C(S) \rightarrow X$  be continuous. Fix  $g \in C(S)$  and an open set  $U$ . Let  $f$  be carried in  $U$  and  $\epsilon > 0$ . Then there exists  $f_\epsilon$  supported in  $U$  such that  $\|f_\epsilon\| \leq \|f\|$  and  $\|T(f + g) - T(f_\epsilon + g)\| < \epsilon$ .*

*Proof.* Choose  $\delta > 0$  such that  $\|f - f_\epsilon\| < \delta$  implies

$$\|T(f + g) - T(f_\epsilon + g)\| < \epsilon.$$

Let  $V = \{s: |f(s)| < \delta\}$ ; hence  $V^c$  (the complement of  $V$ ) is closed and disjoint from  $U^c$ . Choose disjoint open sets  $G$  and  $W$  such that  $V^c \subset G$  and  $U^c \subset W$ . By Urysohn's lemma there exists  $w \in C(S)$ ,  $0 \leq w \leq 1$ ,  $w(V^c) = 1$ , and  $w(G^c) = 0$ . Let  $f_\epsilon = wf$ ; hence  $f_\epsilon \in C(S)$ . Since  $G$  is disjoint from  $W$ ,  $f_\epsilon$  is supported in  $U$ . Also, by definition of  $V$ ,  $\|f - f_\epsilon\| = \|(1 - w)f\| < \delta$ .

**3.2. LEMMA.** *Let  $X$  be a weakly sequentially complete Banach space. Let  $T: C(S) \rightarrow X$  be an additive operator. Given  $g \in C(S)$ ,  $h > 0$ ,  $\epsilon > 0$ , and a closed set  $F \subset S$ , there exists an open set  $U \supset F$  such that if  $f$  is carried in  $U - F$  and  $\|f\| \leq h$ , then  $\|T(f + g) - T(g)\| \leq \epsilon$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose the contrary. Then given  $U_1 \supset F$ , there exists  $f_1^*$  carried in  $U_1 - F$  such that

$$(1) \|T(f_1^* + g) - T(g)\| > \epsilon \text{ and } \|f_1^*\| \leq h.$$

Thus Lemma 3.1 implies that  $f_1$  can be chosen so as to be supported in  $U_1 - F$  and so that

$$(2) \|T(f_1 + g) - T(g)\| > \epsilon \text{ and } \|f_1\| \leq h.$$

Let  $U_2 = [c(f_1)]^c \cap U_1$ ; hence  $U_2 \supset F$ . Choose  $f_2^*$  carried in  $U_2 - F$  such that (1) holds for  $f_2^*$ . Thus Lemma 3.1 implies that there exists  $f_2$  supported in  $U_2 - F$  and that (2) holds for  $f_2$ . Proceeding inductively, we obtain a sequence of disjointly supported functions  $(f_k)$  satisfying

$$(3) \|T(f_k + g) - T(g)\| > \epsilon, k = 1, 2, \dots, \text{ and } \|f_k\| \leq h.$$

However,  $T$  is additive; hence

$$(4) T_\sigma(\sum_{k \in \sigma} f_k) = \sum_{k \in \sigma} T_\sigma(f_k), \sigma \in \mathcal{F}.$$

The class  $\{\sum_{k \in \sigma} f_k: \sigma \in \mathcal{F}\}$  is bounded in  $C(S)$  because the functions  $(f_k)$  are disjointly supported and  $\|f_k\| \leq h$  for all  $k$ . By Remark 2.3, the class

$$\left\{ T_\sigma \left( \sum_{k \in \sigma} f_k \right) = \sum_{k \in \sigma} T_\sigma(f_k) : \sigma \in \mathcal{F} \right\}$$

is also bounded. By Theorem 2.8 (1), this class is subseries Cauchy in the weak topology. By Theorem 2.8 (2), we have  $\lim_k \|T_\sigma(f_k)\| = 0$ , which contradicts (3).

**3.3. LEMMA.** *Let  $X$  be a weakly sequentially complete Banach space. Let  $T: C(S) \rightarrow X$  be an additive operator and let  $F$  be closed. Then for each real  $h$ ,*

$\lim_f T(f)$  exists and is denoted by  $\lambda_h(F)$ . Moreover, if  $M_h > 0$  satisfies  $\|T(f)\| \leq M_h$  for all  $\|f\| \leq h$ , then  $\|\lambda_h(F)\| \leq M_h$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\epsilon > 0$ . By Lemma 3.2, we can choose an open set  $U \supset F$  such that if  $g$  is carried in  $U - F$ , then

$$(1) \|T(g)\| < \epsilon/6.$$

Let  $f_1$  and  $f_2$  be in  $P(F, h)$  and supported in  $U$ . It suffices to show that

$$\|T(f_1) - T(f_2)\| < \epsilon.$$

We have  $f_i = h$  on  $U_i \supset F, i = 1, 2$ . Let  $G_1 = U_1 \cap U_2$ . By Lemma 3.2 we can choose  $G_2 \supset F$  such that if  $v$  is carried in  $G_2 - F$ , then

$$(2) \|T(f_i - v) - T(f_i)\| < \epsilon/3, i = 1, 2.$$

Also assume that  $G_2 \subset G_1$ . Utilizing normality, choose open sets  $G_3$  and  $G_4$  such that

$$F \subset G_4 \subset \bar{G}_4 \subset G_3 \subset \bar{G}_3 \subset G_2,$$

where  $\bar{G}$  denotes the closure of  $G$ . By Urysohn's lemma we can choose  $u_1$  such that  $u_1(\bar{G}_4) = 1$  and  $u_1(G_3^c) = 0$ . Also choose  $u_2$  such that  $u_2(G_2^c) = 1$  and  $u_2(\bar{G}_3) = 0$ . Since  $G_2 \subset G_1$ , we have  $z = u_1 f_i = h u_1, i = 1, 2$ . Let  $g_i = u_2 f_i, i = 1, 2$ , and  $v_i = f_i - (z + g_i)$ . Since  $z$  and  $g_i$  have disjoint carriers,  $T(z + g_i) = T(z) + T(g_i)$ . Also  $g_i$  is carried in  $U - F$  and  $v_i$  is carried in  $G_2 - F$ . Thus (1) and (2) imply

$$\begin{aligned} \|T(f_1) - T(f_2)\| &\leq \|T(f_1) - T(f_1 - v_1)\| + \|T(z + g_1) - T(z + g_2)\| \\ &\quad + \|T(f_2 - v_2) - T(f_2)\| \\ &< \epsilon/3 + \|T(g_1)\| + \|T(g_2)\| + \epsilon/3 \\ &< \epsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, let  $M_h$  be as in the statement of the lemma. Then,

$$\|\lambda_h(F)\| \leq \sup\{\|T(f)\|: \|f\| \leq h\} \leq M_h.$$

We shall now assume that  $T(0) = 0$ ; hence  $T(f_1 + f_2) = T(f_1) + T(f_2)$  when  $f_1$  and  $f_2$  have disjoint supports. This is no loss of generality since  $T(f) - T(0)$  satisfies this property in the general case.

**3.4. LEMMA.** *Let  $X$  be an arbitrary Banach space. Let  $T$  be an additive operator mapping  $C(S)$  into  $X$ . For each  $h \in R$  ( $R$  the set of reals) there is a vector-valued function  $\mu_h: \mathcal{B} \rightarrow X^{**}$  such that:*

(1) *For each  $x^* \in X^*$ , the mapping  $(x^*, \mu_h(\cdot)): \mathcal{B} \rightarrow R$  is countably additive,*

(2) *If  $M_h > 0$  satisfies  $\|T(f)\| \leq M_h$  when  $\|f\| \leq h$ , then  $\|\mu_h\| \leq M_h$ ;*

(3) *Let  $\epsilon > 0$  and  $b > 0$ . Let  $D = \{f: \|f\| \leq b\}$  and let  $\delta$  be as in Definition 2.2. If  $B_i$  are disjoint Borel sets,  $h_i$  and  $k_i \in (-b, b), |h_i - k_i| < \delta, i = 1, 2, \dots$ , then*

$$\left\| \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mu_{h_i}(B_i) - \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mu_{k_i}(B_i) \right\| < \epsilon.$$

*(We will show that  $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mu_{h_i}(B_i)$  and  $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mu_{k_i}(B_i)$  are in  $X^{**}$ .)*

(4) Let  $f \in C(S)$  satisfy  $\|f\| \leq b$  and let  $\epsilon, \delta$  be as in (3). Let  $\{B_i\}$  be a finite sequence of disjoint Borel sets such that

$$\left\| f - \sum_{i=1}^n h_i \chi_{B_i} \right\| < \delta,$$

where  $\{h_i\}$  is a sequence in  $(-b, b)$ . Then

$$\left\| T(f) - \sum_{i=1}^n \mu_{h_i}(B_i) \right\| \leq \epsilon.$$

*Proof.* (1) Since  $T$  is an additive operator, setting  $x^*T(f) = (T(f), x^*)$  defines an additive functional for each  $x^* \in X^*$ . Hence, by Lemma 3.3, there exists a family of regular contents  $x^*\lambda_h$ , where

$$x^*\lambda_h(F) = \lim_f \{x^*T(f) : f \in P(F, h)\}.$$

As in [6], [1, p. 209, Theorem 3], can be utilized to extend  $x^*\lambda_h$  uniquely to a regular Borel measure  $x^*\mu_h$ . Given  $x^* \in X^*$ , we define  $\mu_h(B)$  by setting

$$(3.4.1) \quad (\mu_h(B), x^*) = (x^*\mu_h)(B).$$

If  $h$  and  $B$  are fixed, we verify that  $\mu_h(B)$  defines a bounded linear functional on  $X^*$ . Boundedness is immediate: if  $\|T(f)\| \leq M_h$  for all  $f$  of norm less than or equal to  $h$ , then

$$(3.4.2) \quad \begin{aligned} |(x^*\mu_h)(B)| &= \sup\{|(x^*\mu_h)(F)| : F \text{ is a closed subset of } B\} \\ &\leq \sup\{|(x^*T)(f)| : f \in P(F, h), \text{ where } F \text{ is a closed subset of } B\} \\ &\leq \|x^*\| M_h. \end{aligned}$$

To verify linearity, we have, for closed sets  $F$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_h(F)(c_1x_1^* + c_2x_2^*) &= \lim_f (c_1x_1^* + c_2x_2^*)T(f) \\ &= \lim_f ((c_1x_1^*)T + (c_2x_2^*)T)(f) \\ &= \lim_f (c_1x_1^*)T(f) + \lim_f (c_2x_2^*)T(f) \\ &= c_1(x_1^*\mu_h)(F) + c_2(x_2^*\mu_h)(F) \\ &= c_1\mu_h(F)(x_1^*) + c_2\mu_h(F)(x_2^*). \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$(c_1x_1^* + c_2x_2^*)\mu_h(F) = c_1\mu_h(F)(x_1^*) + c_2\mu_h(F)(x_2^*)$$

Since  $x^*\mu_h$  is regular, linearity holds also for all Borel sets.

(2) It is immediate from (3.4.2) that the total variation of  $x^*\mu_h$  is less than  $\|x^*\|M_h$ . Hence,  $\|\mu_h\| = \sup\{\|\mu_h(B)\| : B \in \mathcal{B}\} \leq M_h$ .

(3) We first show that  $\sum_i \mu_{h_i}(B_i) \in X^{**}$ . Let  $M > 0$  satisfy  $\|T(f)\| \leq M$  whenever  $\|f\| \leq 1$ . It suffices to show that:

$$\sum_i |(\mu_{h_i}(B_i), x^*)| \leq 2M\|x^*\|.$$

Clearly,  $\sum_i |(\mu_{h_i}(B_i), x^*)| = a + b$ , where

$$a = \sup \left\{ \left( \sum_{i \in \sigma} \mu_{h_i}(B_i), x^* \right) : \sigma \in \mathcal{F}, \text{ where } (\mu_{h_i}(B_i), x^*) > 0 \text{ if } i \in \sigma \right\},$$

$$b = \sup \left\{ \left( -\sum_{i \in \sigma} \mu_{h_i}(B_i), x^* \right) : \sigma \in \mathcal{F}, \text{ where } (\mu_{h_i}(B_i), x^*) < 0 \text{ if } i \in \sigma \right\}.$$

Without loss of generality, assume that  $\sigma$  satisfies  $(\mu_{h_i}(B_i), x^*) > 0$  for all  $i \in \sigma$ . We will show that

$$(3.4.3) \quad \sum_{i \in \sigma} (\mu_{h_i}(B_i), x^*) \leq M \|x^*\|.$$

For the fixed  $x^*$  and  $\sigma$ , choose closed subsets  $F_i$  of  $B_i$  so that

$$\sum_{i \in \sigma} |(\mu_{h_i}(B_i \setminus F_i), x^*)| < \epsilon/2$$

and so that  $(\mu_{h_i}(F_i), x^*) > 0$ . Choose disjointly supported functions

$$f_i \in P(F_i, h_i)$$

so that  $\sum_{i \in \sigma} |(\mu_{h_i}(F_i) - T(f_i), x^*)| < \epsilon/2$  and so that  $(T(f_i), x^*) \geq 0$  for all  $i \in \sigma$ . Let  $f = \sum_{i \in \sigma} f_i$ . Since  $T$  is additive,  $T(f) = \sum_{i \in \sigma} T(f_i)$ . We have:

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i \in \sigma} (\mu_{h_i}(B_i), x^*) &\leq \sum_{i \in \sigma} |(\mu_{h_i}(B_i \setminus F_i), x^*)| + \sum_{i \in \sigma} |(\mu_{h_i}(F_i), x^*)| \\ &\leq \epsilon/2 + \sum_{i \in \sigma} |(\mu_{h_i}(F_i) - T(f_i), x^*)| + \sum_{i \in \sigma} |(T(f_i), x^*)| \\ &\leq \epsilon + \left( \sum_{i \in \sigma} T(f_i), x^* \right) \\ &\leq \epsilon + T(f) \|x^*\| \\ &\leq \epsilon + M \|x^*\|. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\epsilon$  is arbitrary, this proves (3.4.3).

We now show that  $\|\sum_i \mu_{h_i}(B_i) - \mu_{k_i}(B_i)\| < \epsilon$ . It suffices to verify that if  $\sigma$  is a finite index set and  $x^* \in X^*$ , then

$$(3.4.4) \quad \left| \left( \sum_{i \in \sigma} \mu_{h_i}(B_i) - \mu_{k_i}(B_i), x^* \right) \right| < \epsilon \|x^*\|.$$

Let  $\epsilon' > 0$  be arbitrary. As before, we choose disjoint closed subsets  $F_i \subset B_i$  so that

$$\sum_{i \in \sigma} |(\mu_{h_i}(B_i \setminus F_i), x^*)| < \epsilon'/4 \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{i \in \sigma} |(\mu_{k_i}(B_i \setminus F_i), x^*)| < \epsilon'/4.$$

Choose disjointly supported functions  $f_i \in P(F_i, h_i)$  and  $g_i \in P(F_i, k_i)$  so that:

$$\begin{aligned} \|f_i - g_i\| &< \delta, \\ \sum_{i \in \sigma} |(\mu_{h_i}(F_i), x^*) - (T(f_i), x^*)| &< \epsilon'/4, \\ \sum_{i \in \sigma} |(\mu_{k_i}(F_i), x^*) - (T(g_i), x^*)| &< \epsilon'/4. \end{aligned}$$

By the triangle inequality, we have:

$$(3.4.5) \quad \left| \left( \sum_{i \in \sigma} \mu_{h_i}(B_i) - \mu_{k_i}(B_i), x^* \right) \right| < \epsilon' + \left| \left( \sum_{i \in \sigma} T(f_i) - T(g_i), x^* \right) \right|.$$

Write  $f = \sum_{i \in \sigma} f_i$  and  $g = \sum_{i \in \sigma} g_i$ . Then,  $\|f - g\| < \delta$  so that

$$\left\| \sum_{i \in \sigma} T(f_i) - \sum_{i \in \sigma} T(g_i) \right\| = \|T(f) - T(g)\| < \epsilon.$$

Thus,

$$\left| \left( \sum_{i \in \sigma} T(f_i) - T(g_i), x^* \right) \right| \leq \epsilon \|x^*\|.$$

Applying this to (3.4.5) and observing that  $\epsilon'$  is arbitrary, we obtain (3.4.4).

(4) Let  $f_n$  be a sequence of step functions converging in the uniform norm to  $f$ . For any  $x^* \in X^*$ , Theorem 2.6 yields  $\lim_n x^*T(f_n) = x^*T(f)$  so that  $T(f)$  is the limit of  $T(f_n)$  in the weak topology. By (3) above, the sequence  $T(f_n)$  is also Cauchy in the norm topology and so must converge to  $T(f)$  in the norm. And, if  $g$  is any step function such that  $\|f - g\| \leq \delta$ , then  $\lim_n \|f_n - g\| \leq \delta$  and so by (3) above,  $\lim_n \|T(f_n) - T(g)\| \leq \epsilon$ . Thus  $\|T(f) - T(g)\| \leq \epsilon$ , as required.

Lemma 3.4 suggests the following definition of a non-linear integral.

3.5. *Definition.* Let  $Y$  be a Banach space and  $Z \subset Y^*$ . Let  $\mu_h: \mathcal{B} \rightarrow Z$  such that  $(y, \mu_h(\cdot))$  is countably additive for each  $y \in Y$ . For each  $\epsilon > 0$  and  $b > 0$  there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that if  $B_i$  are disjoint,  $h_i, k_i \in (-b, b)$ ,  $|h_i - k_i| < \delta$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , then

$$(3.5.1) \quad \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n \mu_{h_i}(B_i) - \sum_{i=1}^n \mu_{k_i}(B_i) \right\| < \epsilon.$$

Given a simple function  $f = \sum_{i=1}^n h_i \chi_{B_i}$ , define

$$\int f d\mu = \sum_{i=1}^n \mu_{h_i}(B_i).$$

Given  $f \in B(S)$ , let  $f_n$  be a sequence of simple functions such that

$$\|f - f_n\| \rightarrow 0.$$

By (3.5.1) we may define

$$\int f d\mu = \lim_n \int f_n d\mu.$$

We may regard  $\int f d\mu$  as a non-linear integral with respect to the family of measures,  $\mu = \{\mu_h: h \in R\}$ .

3.6. THEOREM. Let  $T: C(S) \rightarrow X$ , where  $T$  is additive and  $X$  is an arbitrary Banach space. Then there exists  $\mu = \{\mu_h\}$  as in Definition 3.5 with  $Z = X^{**}$  such that

$$(3.6.1) \quad T(f) = \int f d\mu, \quad f \in C(S).$$

*Proof.* Let  $\mu$  be the family as in Lemma 3.4. Then (1)-(3) of Lemma 3.4 imply that  $\mu$  satisfies Definition 3.5 and (3.6.1) follows from (4).

**3.7. LEMMA.** *Let  $T: C(S) \rightarrow X$ , where  $T$  is additive and  $X$  is a weakly sequentially complete Banach space. Then  $\mu_h: \mathcal{B} \rightarrow X$  and  $\mu_h$  is countably additive in the norm of  $X$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $(x^*, \mu_h(F)) = (x^*, \lambda_h(F))$  for every  $x^* \in X^*$ , we have  $\mu_h(F) = \lambda_h(F)$ . By Lemma 3.3,  $\lambda_h(F) \in X$ , and so  $\mu_h(F) \in X$ . It remains to verify that  $\mu_h(B) \in X$  for every Borel set  $B$ . It is sufficient to show that

$$\mu_h(B) = \lim\{\mu_h(F): F \text{ is a closed subset of } B\}$$

in the norm topology (we order the net  $\{\mu_h(F)\}$  by setting  $\mu_h(F_1) < \mu_h(F_2)$  if and only if  $F_2 \subset F_1$ ).

Suppose the contrary. Then, there is an  $\epsilon > 0$  such that

$$(3.7.1) \quad \|\mu_h(B \setminus F)\| > \epsilon \text{ for any closed subset } F \subset B.$$

We construct, inductively, a sequence of disjoint closed sets  $\{F_i\}$  so that  $\|\mu(F_i)\| > \epsilon/2$  for all  $i$ .

Since (3.7.1) holds when  $F = \emptyset$ , we have  $\|\mu_h(B)\| > \epsilon$ . Choose a unit vector  $x^* \in X^*$  so that  $(x^*, \mu_h(B)) > \epsilon/2$ . Since  $(x^*, \mu_h(\cdot))$  is a regular Borel measure, we can find a closed subset  $F_1 \subset B$  so that  $(x^*, \mu_h(F_1)) > \epsilon/2$ . Thus

$$\|\mu_h(F_1)\| > \epsilon/2.$$

Assume now that disjoint closed subsets  $F_1, \dots, F_n$  of  $B$  have been chosen so that  $\|\mu_h(F_i)\| > \epsilon/2$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . Set

$$F = \bigcup_{1 \leq i \leq n} F_n.$$

Then  $F$  is closed and (3.7.1) applies, so that  $(x^*, \mu_h(B \setminus F)) > \epsilon/2$  for some unit vector  $x^*$ . Since  $(x^*, \mu_h(\cdot))$  is a regular Borel measure, choose a closed subset  $F_{n+1} \subset B \setminus F$  such that  $(x^*, \mu_h(F_{n+1})) > \epsilon/2$ . Thus,  $\|\mu_h(F_{n+1})\| > \epsilon/2$ . This completes the induction. However, the set

$$\left\{ \sum_{i \in \sigma} \mu_h(F_i) : \sigma \text{ is any finite set} \right\}$$

is bounded in the norm by  $\|\mu_h\|$ . Since  $\|\mu_h(F_i)\| > \epsilon/2$ , Theorem 2.8 is contradicted.

Finally, to show that  $\mu_h$  is countably additive in the norm, we observe that since  $\mu_h$  is  $X$ -valued, part (1) of Lemma 3.4 proves that whenever  $\{B_i\}$  is a sequence of disjoint Borel sets, then

$$\mu_h\left(\bigcup_{1 \leq i < \infty} B_i\right) = \sum_{1 \leq i < \infty} \mu_h(B_i),$$

where convergence is taken in the weak topology on  $X$ . By Theorem 2.8, the series  $\sum_{1 \leq i < \infty} \mu_h(B_i)$  converges in the norm.

3.8. THEOREM. Let  $T: C(S) \rightarrow X$  be an additive operator and  $X$  weakly sequentially complete. Then there exists  $\mu = \{\mu_n\}$  as in Definition 3.5 with  $Z = X$  such that

$$T(f) = \int f d\mu, \quad f \in C(S).$$

The theorem follows by combining Lemma 3.7 with Theorem 3.6.

We note that the measures  $\mu_n: B \rightarrow X^{**}$  determine linear operators  $T_n: B(S) \rightarrow X^{**}$  as follows. If  $f = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} c_i \chi_{B_i}$  is a step function, we set

$$T_n(f) = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} c_i \mu_n(B_i).$$

It is easy to check that  $T_n(f)$  is well-defined. Moreover,

$$\|T_n(f)\| \leq \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} |c_i| \|\mu_n(B_i)\| \leq \|f\|_\infty \|\mu_n\|.$$

Hence, we have defined  $T_n$  to be a bounded linear operator on the dense subspace of step functions. Since  $X^{**}$  is Banach, we may therefore uniquely extend  $T_n$  to the space  $B(S)$  so that  $\|T_n\| = \|\mu_n\|$ . It is also easy to check that  $(x^*, T_n(f)) = \int f(s)x^* \mu_n(ds)$  for  $f \in B(S)$ .

To summarize, we have the following.

3.9. THEOREM. Let  $T: C(S) \rightarrow X$  be an additive operator. Then there are bounded linear operators  $T_n: C(S) \rightarrow X^{**}$  so that

- (1) If  $M_n$  satisfies  $\|T(f)\| \leq M_n$  whenever  $\|f\| \leq n$ , then  $\|T_n\| \leq M_n$ ,
- (2) For each  $f \in C(S)$ ,  $x^* \in X^*$ ,

$$(x^*, T_n(f)) = \int f(s) x^* \mu_n(ds),$$

- (3) If  $X$  is weakly sequentially complete, then  $T_n$  is a weakly compact operator.

*Proof.* (1) and (2) have been proven above.

(3) If  $X$  is weakly sequentially complete, Lemma 3.7 shows that  $\mu_n: \mathcal{B} \rightarrow X$  is countably additive in the norm. Applying [5, p. 493, Theorem 3] yields the result.

We note that if  $T: C(S) \rightarrow X$  were a bounded linear operator, then it can be verified that

$$(x^*, T(f)) = \int f(s)x^* \mu_1(ds) \quad \text{for } f \in C(S).$$

Therefore, by (2) of Theorem 3.9,  $T = T_1$ . And, if  $X$  is weakly sequentially complete, then (3) of Theorem 3.9 yields the well-known result (see [5, p. 494, Theorem 6]) that  $T$  is weakly compact.

**4. Kernel representation.** Let  $T: C(S) \rightarrow X$  be an additive operator. We shall extend Theorem 2.6 by constructing a kernel representation for  $T$

for the case where  $X^*$  is separable in the  $\sigma(X, X^*)$  topology and the family of measures  $\{\mu_h\}$  corresponding to  $T$  is  $X$ -valued.

4.1. LEMMA. *There exists a finite positive measure  $m$  and a family of measurable functions  $\{K(x^*, h, s)\}$  such that*

$$x^*\mu_h(B) = \int_B K(x^*, h, s) m(ds), \quad B \in \mathcal{B}.$$

*Proof.* Let  $\{x_n^*\}$  be a countable dense net in  $X^*$  under the  $\sigma(X, X^*)$  topology. Given  $x^* \in X^*$ , there exists a subsequence  $x_{n_i}^*$  such that for each  $h$ ,

$$(1) \lim_i x_{n_i}^*\mu_h(B) = x^*\mu_h(B), \quad B \in \mathcal{B}.$$

Let  $|x_n^*\mu_h|$  denote the variation of  $x_n^*\mu_h$  and  $\|x_n^*\mu_h\| = |x_n^*\mu_h|(S)$ . Define a finite measure  $m_h$  by setting

$$(2) m_h(B) = \sum_{n=1}^\infty |x_n^*\mu_h|(B)/2^n \|x_n^*\mu_h\|.$$

Choose a countable dense set of reals  $\{h_k\}$  and define

$$(3) m(B) = \sum_{k=1}^\infty m_{h_k}(B)/2^k.$$

Thus  $m$  is a finite positive measure defined on  $\mathcal{B}$ . Suppose that  $m(B) = 0$ ; hence  $m_{h_k}(B) = 0$  for each  $k$ . Thus (2) implies that  $|x_n^*\mu_{h_k}|(B) = 0$  for each  $k$  and  $n$ . By (1), we have  $x^*\mu_{h_k}(B) = 0$  for each  $k$ . As in [4, Lemma 16], it can be shown that  $x^*\mu_h(B)$  is a continuous function of  $h$ . Hence  $\{h_k\}$  dense in  $R$  implies that  $x^*\mu_h(B) = 0$  for each  $h$  and  $x^*$ .

Thus each measure  $x^*\mu_h$  is absolutely continuous with respect to  $m$ ; hence the conclusion follows by the Radon-Nikodym theorem.

We shall now show that the kernels can be chosen as to be continuous in  $h$ . The proof in [2, Lemma 11] only verified convergence in measure.

4.2. LEMMA. *There exist kernels  $K_1(x^*, h, s)$  which are continuous in  $h$  for  $m$ -a.e.  $s$  such that*

$$x^*\mu_h(B) = \int_B K_1(x^*, h, s) dm.$$

*Proof.* Fix  $a < b$  and  $x^*$ . We shall verify that  $K(x^*, h, s)$  is uniformly continuous for rational  $h \in [a, b]$  for a.e.  $s$ . Suppose the contrary. Then the set where  $K(x^*, h, s)$  is not uniformly continuous may be written as

$$A = \bigcup_{n=1}^\infty \bigcap_{t=1}^\infty A_{n,t},$$

where

$$A_{n,t} = \bigcup_{\substack{0 < h-k < 1/t, \\ h,k \text{ rational}}} \{s: |K(x^*, h, s) - K(x^*, k, s)| > 1/n\}.$$

Now  $m(A) > 0$  implies that there exists  $n$  such that  $A_n = \bigcap_{t=1}^\infty A_{n,t}$  has positive measure. Let  $r = m(A_n)$  and  $\epsilon = r/2n$ . Choose  $\delta > 0$  such that  $\|f - g\| < \delta$  implies  $|x^*T(f) - x^*T(g)| < \epsilon$ . Choose  $t$  such that  $1/t < \delta$ . Now  $A_{n,t} \supset A_n$ ; hence  $m(A_{n,t}) \geq r$ .

$A_{n,t}$  can be expressed as a disjoint union of countably many sets  $B_j$ , where  $s \in B_j$  implies that there exists rational  $h_j$  and  $k_j$  such that  $0 < h_j - k_j < \delta$  and

$$(1) |K(x^*, h_j, s) - K(x^*, k_j, s)| > 1/n.$$

We may remove the absolute value sign in (1) by interchanging  $h_j$  and  $k_j$ , still having  $0 < |h_j - k_j| < \delta$ . Choose  $J$  so large that

$$(2) m(\cup_{j=1}^J B_j) > r/2.$$

Thus (1) and (2) imply that

$$(3) \sum_{j=1}^J \{x^* \mu_{h_j}(B_j) - x^* \mu_{k_j}(B_j)\} = \sum_{j=1}^J \int_{B_j} (K(x^*, h_j, s) - K(x^*, k_j, s)) dm > 1/n \cdot r/2 = \epsilon.$$

Now we can approximate  $B_j$  by a closed subset  $F_j$  with respect to  $x^* \mu_{h_j}$  and  $x^* \mu_{k_j}$ . We can then choose a peak  $f_j \in P(F_j, 1)$  so that  $x^* T(h_j f_j)$  and  $x^* T(k_j f_j)$  approximate  $x^* \mu_{h_j}(F_j)$  and  $x^* \mu_{k_j}(F_j)$ . Since  $F_j \subset B_j$  and the  $B_j$  are disjoint, it is possible to choose  $f_j$  with disjoint supports. Let

$$f = \sum_{j=1}^J h_j f_j \quad \text{and} \quad g = \sum_{j=1}^J k_j f_j.$$

Then  $\|f - g\| < 1/t < \delta$  and the left side of (3) is approximated by

$$x^* T(f) - x^* T(g).$$

This contradicts the choice of  $\delta$ . Thus  $K(x^*, h, s)$  is uniformly continuous for rational  $h \in [a, b]$  for a.e.  $s$ .

Proceeding as in [2], we consider  $a = n, b = n + 1, n = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots$  to conclude that  $K(x^*, h, s)$  is uniformly continuous for rational  $h \in [n, n + 1]$  for all  $n$  for a.e.  $s$ . We now define  $K_1(x^*, h, s) = K(x^*, h, s)$  for rational  $h$ . If  $h$  is irrational, then we choose rational  $h_i \rightarrow h$  and define  $K_1(x^*, h, s) = \lim_i K(x^*, h_i, s)$ . An argument similar to the above implies that  $K(x^*, h, s) = K_1(x^*, h, s)$  for a.e.  $s$ , when  $x^*$  and  $h$  are fixed.

4.3. THEOREM. Let  $T: C(S) \rightarrow X$  be an additive operator. Assume that  $X^*$  is separable in the  $\sigma(X, X^*)$  topology and the family of measures  $\{\mu_h\}$  corresponding to  $T$  are  $X$ -valued. Then for each  $x^*$ ,

- (1)  $x^* T(f) = \int K(x^*, f(s), s) H(x^*, s) m(ds)$ , where
- (2)  $m$  is a measure of finite variation defined on  $\mathcal{B}$ ;
- (3)  $K(x^*, h, s)$  is a measurable function of  $s$  for each  $h$ ;
- (4)  $K(x^*, h, s)$  is a continuous function of  $h$  for  $m$ -a.a.  $s$ ;
- (5) For each  $b > 0$  there exists  $B > 0$  such that  $|h| \leq b$  implies that

$$|K(x^*, h, s)| \leq B \quad \text{for } m\text{-a.a. } s;$$

(6)  $H(x^*, s)$  is a measurable function of  $s$  and  $d\mu = H(x^*, s)m(ds)$  defines a measure  $\mu$  with finite variation;

(7) For each  $f \in C(S)$ , the right side of (1) defines a continuous linear functional on  $X^*$  in  $X$ .

Conversely, if (2)–(7) hold, then there exists an additive operator  $T$  satisfying (1).

*Proof.* As in [2], it follows from Lemma 4.2 that  $K_1 = KH$ , where  $K$  and  $H$  satisfy (3)–(6). As in [2; 4], it is verified that (1) holds.

Conversely, fix  $f \in C(S)$ . By (7) there exists  $T(f) \in X$  such that (1) holds for each  $x^*$ . It remains to verify that  $T$  is an additive operator from  $C(S)$  into  $X$ . Let us fix  $x^*$ . Then (2)–(6) imply that  $x^*T(f)$  is an additive functional on  $C(S)$ . This follows as in [2]. The Hahn-Banach theorem now implies that  $T$  is additive on functions with disjoint support. We now verify that  $T$  is  $\beta$ -uniform. Let  $\epsilon > 0$ ,  $b > 0$ , and consider  $\|f\| \leq b$  and  $\|g\| \leq b$ . By the Hahn-Banach theorem it suffices to show that there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that

$$(8) \quad \|f - g\| < \delta \text{ implies } |x^*(T(f) - T(g))| < \epsilon, \|x^*\| = 1.$$

Let  $B_n = \{x^*: (8) \text{ holds for } \delta = 1/n\}$ . Then  $B_n$  is convex and (7) implies that  $B_n$  is closed. Since  $x^*T(f)$  defines an additive functional, we have

$$\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} B_n = X^*.$$

The Baire category theorem now implies that some  $B_n$  has non-empty interior. The existence of  $\delta$  follows by a standard argument.

#### REFERENCES

1. S. K. Berberian, *Measure and integration* (Macmillan, New York, 1965).
2. R. V. Chacon and N. A. Friedman, *Additive functionals*, Arch. Rational Mech. Anal. **18** (1965), 230–240.
3. M. M. Day, *Normed linear spaces*, second printing, corrected, Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete, N. F., Heft 21 (Academic Press, New York; Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Göttingen-Heidelberg, 1962).
4. L. Drewnowski and W. Orlicz, *On orthogonally additive functionals*, Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci. **16** (1968), 883–888.
5. N. Dunford and J. T. Schwartz, *Linear operators*, Part I: *General theory*, Interscience, (New York, 1958). Pure and Applied Mathematics, Vol. 7.
6. N. A. Friedman and M. Katz, *A representation theorem for additive functionals*, Arch. Rational Mech. Anal. **21** (1966), 49–57.
7. ——— *Additive functionals on  $L_p$  spaces*, Can. J. Math. **18** (1966), 1264–1271.
8. ——— *On additive functionals*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **21** (1969), 557–561.
9. M. A. Krasnosel'skii, *Topological methods in the theory of nonlinear integral equations*, translated by J. Burlak (Macmillan, New York, 1964).
10. A. D. Martin, and V. J. Mizel, *A representation theorem for certain nonlinear functionals*, Arch. Rational Mech. Anal. **15** (1964), 353–367.
11. V. J. Mizel, *Representation of nonlinear transformations on  $L_p$  spaces*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **75** (1969), 164–168.
12. V. J. Mizel and K. Sundaresan, *Representation of additive and biadditive functionals*, Arch. Rational Mech. Anal. **30** (1968), 102–126.
13. K. Sundaresan, *Additive functionals on Orlicz spaces*, Studia Math. **32** (1969), 270–276.

State University of New York,  
Albany, New York