

# CONVOLUTION TRANSFORMS RELATED TO NON-HARMONIC FOURIER SERIES

D. B. SUMNER

**1. Introduction.** Widder has pointed out (2, p. 219) in connection with Wiener's fundamental work on the operational calculus (1, pp. 557-584), that the convolution transform

$$(1.1) \quad f(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} G(x-t) \phi(t) dt$$

will be inverted by the operator  $DE(D)$ , where  $D = d/dx$ , and

$$1/wE(w) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \exp(-xw) G(x) dx,$$

where a suitable interpretation must be found for  $E(D)$ . Cases where  $E(w)$  is entire have been considered by Widder (2, pp. 217-249; 3, pp. 7-60), Hirschman and Widder (4, pp. 659-696; 8, pp. 135-201), and the author (5).

The most general method of interpreting  $E(D)$  is as  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P_n(D)$ , where  $P_n(w)$  is a polynomial of degree  $n$ , the method requiring a knowledge of  $f(x)$  only for real values of its argument. However in cases where more is known about  $E(w)$  (4, p. 692; 5, pp. 174-183; 6, p. 219), it is possible to represent  $E(w)$  as an integral, when the computations are simpler, but it is necessary to have  $f(x)$  defined for complex arguments.

The purpose of this article is to consider convolution transforms for which the invertor function  $E(w)$  is entire, is not necessarily even, and can be represented by a Fourier-Lebesgue integral. The real numbers which are taken to be the zeros of  $E(w)$  are a generalization of the non-harmonic Fourier exponents discussed by Levinson (7, pp. 47-57). The classical Stieltjes transform (3), and the generalized form of it (5), are particular cases. The assumptions made about the zeros of  $E(w)$  are sufficient to establish all properties needed, and no integrability condition is postulated for  $E(u)$ .

**2. Definitions.** We suppose throughout that

$$(2.1) \quad \lambda_n = \rho + n - \delta + 2\delta\alpha_n, \quad \mu_n = n - \delta + 2\delta\beta_n \quad (n = 1, 2, \dots),$$

$$0 \leq \alpha_n, \beta_n \leq 1, \quad 0 \leq \delta < \frac{1}{4}, \quad 0 \leq \rho < 1 - 2\delta;$$

$$(2.2) \quad E(w) = \prod_1^{\infty} (1 - w/\lambda_n)(1 + w/\mu_n);$$

$$(2.3) \quad G(z) = \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} (2\pi i)^{-1} \int_{c-iR}^{c+iR} \exp(zw) dw/wE(w), \quad 0 < c < \lambda_1.$$

The symbols  $A, A_k$  denote absolute constants throughout.

Received September 12, 1952; in revised form July 15, 1954.

**3. Some properties of  $E(w)$ .** The numbers  $\lambda_n, \mu_n$  are those used by Levinson (7, pp. 47–57) in his work on non-harmonic Fourier series. With the notation  $w = u + iv = r \exp(i\phi)$ , Levinson’s methods may be used to establish the following inequalities:

$$(3.1) \quad |E(w)| \leq A \exp(\pi|v|)/r^{\rho+1-4\delta}, \quad (r \geq 1);$$

$$(3.2) \quad |E(w)| \geq A \exp(\pi|v|)/r^{\rho+1-4\delta}, \text{ provided that}$$

$$(3.21) \quad r \geq 1, \quad |w - r_n| \geq \Delta > 0, \quad r_n = \lambda_n \text{ or } -\mu_n;$$

$$(3.3) \quad |E'(r_n)|^{-1} \leq Ar^{\rho+1+4\delta};$$

(3.4) *there exists a constant  $q, 1 < q \leq 2$ , such that  $E(u) \in L^q(-\infty, \infty)$ .*

For the behaviour of  $E(w)$  along the imaginary axis, we establish the more precise inequalities

$$(3.5) \quad |E(iv)| \leq A \exp(\pi|v|)/|v|^{\rho+1-2\delta}, \quad |E(iv)| \geq A \exp(\pi|v|)/|v|^{\rho+1+2\delta};$$

$$(3.6) \quad |\text{amp } E(iv\theta)/E(iv)| < A(1 - \theta),$$

where  $0 < \theta \leq 1$ , and the constant is independent of  $v$ ;

$$(3.7) \quad |E(iv\theta)/E(iv)| \text{ is a decreasing function of } |v|, \quad 0 < \theta < 1.$$

*Proof of (3.1).* Let  $\Re(w) > 0$ , and  $N$  be the integer defined by

$$(3.8) \quad (\rho + N - \frac{1}{2}) \cos \phi \leq r < (\rho + N + \frac{1}{2}) \cos \phi.$$

On considering separately the factors in (2.2) for which  $1 \leq n < N, n = N$  and  $n \geq N + 1$ , as Levinson does, we get

$$(3.9) \quad |E(w)| \leq \left| \frac{\Gamma(\rho + N + 1 + \delta) \Gamma(\rho + N + 1 - \delta - w)}{\Gamma(\rho + N + 1 - \delta) \Gamma(\rho + N + 1 + \delta - w)} \right| \cdot \left| \frac{\Gamma(\rho + 1 - \delta) \Gamma(1 - \delta)(\lambda_N - w)}{\Gamma(\rho + 1 - \delta - w) \Gamma(1 - \delta + w)(\rho + N - \delta - w)} \right|.$$

By Stirling’s theorem the first factor in (3.9) does not exceed

$$(3.10) \quad A_1(\rho + N - \frac{1}{2})^{2\delta}/|\rho + N + 1 - \delta - w|^{2\delta};$$

while the second factor does not exceed

$$(3.11) \quad \frac{A_2}{r^{\rho+1-2\delta}} \left| \frac{(\lambda_N - w) \sin \pi(w + \delta - \rho)}{\pi(w + \delta - N - \rho)} \right|.$$

Now when  $|w + \delta - N - \rho| < \frac{1}{2}, |\sin \pi(w + \delta - \rho)/\pi(w + \delta - N - \rho)| < A_3$ ; and by (2.1),  $|\lambda_N - w| < 1$ . When  $|w + \delta - N - \rho| \geq \frac{1}{2}, |\sin \pi(w + \delta - \rho)| \leq A_4 \exp(\pi|v|)$ , and  $|(\lambda_N - w)/(w + \delta - N - \rho)| \leq 1 + 2\delta\alpha_N/|w + \delta - N - \rho| < 2$ . Thus in all cases the second factor in (3.9) does not exceed

$$(3.12) \quad A_5 \exp(\pi|v|)/r^{\rho+1-2\delta}.$$

We prove now that

$$(3.13) \quad bd\{|\rho + N + 1 - \delta - w| \cos \phi\} > 0.$$

For by (3.21), (3.8), when  $0 \leq |\phi| \leq \pi/4$ ,

$$|\rho + N + 1 - \delta - w| \cos \phi \geq 2^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\rho + N + 1 - \delta - u) > 2^{-\frac{3}{2}}[(\rho + N + \frac{1}{2}) \sin^2 \phi + \frac{1}{2} - \delta] \geq 2^{-\frac{3}{2}}(\frac{1}{2} - \delta);$$

and when  $\pi/4 < |\phi| < \pi/2$ ,

$$|\rho + N + 1 - \delta - w| \cos \phi \geq (\rho + N + 1 - \delta - u)/(\rho + N + \frac{1}{2}) \geq \sin^2 \phi + (\frac{1}{2} - \delta)/(\rho + N + \frac{1}{2}) \geq \frac{1}{2},$$

by (3.8) and  $r \geq 1$ .

From (3.9), (3.10), and (3.12),

$$\begin{aligned} |E(w)| &\leq A_6(\rho + N - \frac{1}{2})^{2\delta} \exp(\pi|v|/r^{\rho+1-2\delta}) |\rho + N + 1 - \delta - w|^{2\delta}, \\ &\leq A_7 r^{2\delta} \exp(\pi|v|/r^{\rho+1-2\delta}) \{|\rho + N + 1 - \delta - w| \cos \phi\}^{2\delta}, \\ &\leq A_8 \exp(\pi|v|/r^{\rho+1-4\delta}) \end{aligned}$$

by (3.13). This proves (3.1) for  $\Re(w) > 0$ ; and the assertion is seen to be true for  $\Re(w) < 0$  by applying the same argument to  $E(-w)$ .

*Remark on the proof of (3.4).* Levinson's method may be used to show that when  $\rho + N \leq u \leq \rho + 2N$ ,

$$(3.14) \quad |E(u)| \leq \frac{A_9 N^{4\delta-\rho-1}}{(\rho + 2N + 1 - u)^{2\delta}} \prod_N^{2N} \left| \frac{\rho + n - \delta + \alpha_n - u - 2i}{\rho + n - \delta - u - 2i} \right|^{2\delta},$$

$$(3.15) \quad \int_{\rho+N}^{\rho+2N} |E(u)|^q du \leq A_{10} / N^{q(\rho+1)-1-2q\delta},$$

provided that

$$(3.16) \quad 0 \leq 2q\delta < 1.$$

If in addition

$$(3.17) \quad q(\rho + 1 - 2\delta) > 1,$$

it follows from (3.15) that  $E(u) \in L(0, \infty)$ . The final conclusion follows by considering  $E(-u)$  in the same way.

It is evident that there always exists a number  $q$ ,  $1 < q \leq 2$ , satisfying (3.16) and (3.17), for example  $q = (1 - \Delta)^{-1}$ , where  $2\delta < \Delta < 1 - 2\delta$ .

*Proof of (3.5).* Since

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \left(1 - \frac{iv}{\rho + n + \delta}\right) \left(1 + \frac{iv}{n + \delta}\right) \right| &\leq \left| \left(1 - \frac{iv}{\lambda_n}\right) \left(1 + \frac{iv}{\mu_n}\right) \right| \\ &\leq \left| \left(1 - \frac{iv}{\rho + n - \delta}\right) \left(1 + \frac{iv}{n - \delta}\right) \right|, \end{aligned}$$

it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{\Gamma(\rho + 1 + \delta) \Gamma(1 + \delta)}{\Gamma(\rho + 1 + \delta - iv) \Gamma(1 + \delta + iv)} \right| &\leq |E(iv)| \\ &\leq \left| \frac{\Gamma(\rho + 1 - \delta) \Gamma(1 - \delta)}{\Gamma(\rho + 1 - \delta - iv) \Gamma(1 - \delta + iv)} \right|, \end{aligned}$$

and (3.5) then follows from a classical property (9, p. 259) of the  $\Gamma$ -function.

*Proof of (3.6).* We give details for the case  $v > 0$ . Writing

$$\phi_n = \text{amp}\{(1 - iv\theta/\lambda_n)(1 + iv\theta/\mu_n)/(1 - iv/\lambda_n)(1 + iv/\mu_n)\},$$

and using the inequalities for  $\lambda_n, \mu_n$  and  $\rho$  in (2.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_n &= \arctan\left(\frac{v(1 - \theta)\lambda_n}{v^2\theta + \lambda_n^2}\right) - \arctan\left(\frac{v(1 - \theta)\mu_n}{v^2\theta + \mu_n^2}\right), \\ &< \arctan\left(\frac{v(1 - \theta)(\rho + n + \delta)}{v^2\theta + (\rho + n - \delta)^2}\right) - \arctan\left(\frac{v(1 - \theta)(n - \delta)}{v^2\theta + (n + \delta)^2}\right), \\ &< \arctan\left(\frac{v(1 - \theta)(n + 1 - \delta)}{v^2\theta + (n - \delta)^2}\right) - \arctan\left(\frac{v(1 - \theta)(n - \delta)}{v^2\theta + (n + \delta)^2}\right), \\ &= \arctan \frac{v(1 - \theta)\{v^2\theta + n^2(1 + 4\delta) + 2n\delta(1 - 2\delta) + \delta^2\}}{[v^2\theta + (n - \delta)^2][v^2\theta + (n + \delta)^2] + v^2(1 - \theta)^2(n + 1 - \delta)(n - \delta)}. \end{aligned}$$

On observing that

$$0 < v^2\theta + n^2(1 + 4\delta) + 2n\delta(1 - 2\delta) + \delta^2 < 2[v^2\theta + (n + \delta)^2],$$

we see that  $\phi_n < \arctan\{2v(1 - \theta)/[v^2\theta + (n - \delta)^2]\}$ . A similar argument applied to  $-\phi_n$  gives

$$-\phi_n < \arctan\{3v(1 - \theta)/[v^2\theta + (n - \delta)^2]\};$$

and thus

$$|\phi_n| < \arctan\{3v(1 - \theta)/[v^2\theta + (n - \delta)^2]\}.$$

It then follows easily that  $|\text{amp}[E(iv\theta)/E(iv)]| < A(1 - \theta)$ , the constant being independent of  $v$ .

*Proof of (3.7).* Let  $\Lambda$  be the region consisting of the  $w$ -plane from which the points  $v = 0, |u| \geq 1 - \delta$  have been removed. Then the series

$$\sum_1^\infty \left( \frac{w}{\lambda_n - w} - \frac{\theta w}{\lambda_n - \theta w} \right), \quad \sum_1^\infty \left( \frac{w}{\mu_n - w} - \frac{\theta w}{\mu_n - \theta w} \right)$$

converge absolutely and uniformly in any compact subset of  $\Lambda$ , and

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dv} \log \left[ \frac{E(iv\theta)}{E(iv)} \right] &= i \sum_1^\infty \left( \frac{\lambda_n + iv}{\lambda_n^2 + v^2} - \frac{\theta(\lambda_n + iv\theta)}{\lambda_n^2 + v^2\theta^2} \right) \\ &\quad - i \sum_1^\infty \left( \frac{\mu_n - iv}{\mu_n^2 + v^2} - \frac{\theta(\mu_n - iv\theta)}{\mu_n^2 + v^2\theta^2} \right), \end{aligned}$$

$$\Re \left\{ \frac{d}{dv} \log \frac{E(iv\theta)}{E(iv)} \right\} = - \sum_1^\infty \left[ \frac{v\lambda_n^2(1 - \theta^2)}{(\lambda_n^2 + v^2)(\lambda_n^2 + v^2\theta^2)} + \frac{v\mu_n^2(1 - \theta^2)}{(\mu_n^2 + v^2)(\mu_n^2 + v^2\theta^2)} \right] < 0.$$

It follows that  $|E(iv\theta)/E(iv)|$  is a decreasing function of  $|v|$ .

**4. Representation of the operator.** Let  $p$  be the index conjugate to  $q$ , so that  $p \geq 2$ . By (3.4), the function

$$k(y) = \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty}^{(p)} (2\pi)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \int_{-R}^R E(u) \exp(-iuy) du$$

exists and belongs to  $L^p(-\infty, \infty)$ . On considering the contour integral  $\int E(w) \exp(-iyw) dw$  taken round the boundary of the semi-circular disc  $|w| \leq R, v \geq 0$ , we see that the part of the integral taken round the arc of the semi-circle is  $O(R^{-\beta})$ , ( $R \rightarrow \infty$ ) when  $|y| > \pi$ , where  $\beta = \rho + 1 - 4\delta > 0$  in (3.1). Thus

$$(4.1) \quad k(y) = 0 \quad \text{p.p. in } |y| > \pi.$$

Since  $E(u)$  is continuous

$$(4.2) \quad \begin{aligned} E(u) &= \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} (2\pi)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \int_{-R}^R k(y) [1 - |y|/R] \exp(iuy) dy, \\ &= (2\pi)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} k(y) \exp(iuy) dy, \end{aligned}$$

and  $k(y) \in L^2(-\pi, \pi)$  by (4.1) and  $p \geq 2$ .

It is easily seen that for complex  $w$ , the integral

$$(2\pi)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} k(y) \exp(iyw) dy$$

defines an entire function, and by (4.2) we may write

$$(4.3) \quad E(w) = (2\pi)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} k(y) \exp(iyw) dy.$$

In proving the inversion theorem we shall make use of the function  $E(\theta w)$ ,  $0 < \theta \leq 1$ , for which we prove

$$(4.4) \quad |E(\theta r_n)| \leq A(1 - \theta)r_n,$$

where  $r_n$  stands for  $\lambda_n$  or  $-\mu_n$  and the constant is independent of  $n$ .

Let  $m(y, \alpha) = \exp(-iy\alpha\theta) - \exp(-iy\alpha)$ . Then for  $|y| < \pi$ , and  $0 < \theta \leq 1$ ,

$$|m(y, \alpha)| = |\alpha| \left| \int_{\theta y}^y \exp(-it\alpha) dt \right| \leq \pi|\alpha|(1 - \theta);$$

$$|E(-\theta\mu_k) - E(-\mu_k)| = (2\pi)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \left| \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} k(y) m(y, \mu_k) dy \right| \leq A(1 - \theta) \mu_k,$$

by the Schwarz inequality.

With the usual interpretation of  $\exp(aD)$  as a shift operator, we have formally

$$\begin{aligned} DE(D).f(x) &= \lim_{\theta \rightarrow 1} DE(\theta D).f(x), \\ &= \lim_{\theta \rightarrow 1} (2\pi)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} k(y) \exp(iy\theta D) dy.f'(x), \\ &= \lim_{\theta \rightarrow 1} (2\pi)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} k(y) f'(x + iy\theta) dy. \end{aligned}$$

We therefore define the operation  $DE(D).f(x)$  by

$$(4.5) \quad DE(D).f(x) = \lim_{\theta \rightarrow 1} (2\pi)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} k(y) f'(x + iy\theta) dy.$$

**5. Properties of the nucleus.** Denoting the strip  $|y| < \pi$  of the  $z$ -plane by  $B$ , we prove the following propositions:

(5.1) the integral (2.3) defining  $G(z)$  converges absolutely when  $z \in B$ , converges uniformly when  $z$  belongs to a compact subset of  $B$ , and therefore defines a function analytic in  $B$ ;

$$(5.2) \quad G(z) = \begin{cases} 1 + O[\exp(-x\mu_1)], & (x \rightarrow \infty \text{ in } B), \\ O[\exp(x\lambda_1)], & (x \rightarrow -\infty \text{ in } B); \end{cases}$$

$$(5.3) \quad G'(z) = \begin{cases} O[\exp(-x\mu_1)], & (x \rightarrow \infty \text{ in } B), \\ O[\exp(x\lambda_1)], & (x \rightarrow -\infty \text{ in } B); \end{cases}$$

(5.4) when  $z_0 \in B$ , there is a constant  $R_0$  such that the integrals

$$\int_{-\infty}^{-R_0} \left| \frac{d}{dt} \frac{G(z-t)}{G(z_0-t)} \right| dt, \quad \int_{R_0}^{\infty} \left| \frac{d}{dt} \frac{G(z-t)}{G(z_0-t)} \right| dt$$

converge uniformly when  $z$  belongs to any compact subset of  $B$ .

*Proof of (5.1).* By (2.3), (3.2),

$$\left| \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{c-i\infty}^{c+i\infty} \frac{\exp(zw)}{wE(w)} dw \right| \leq A_1 \exp(cx) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \exp[-yv - (\pi - \epsilon)|v|] dv \leq A_2 \exp(cx),$$

since  $|y| < \pi$  in  $B$ . This inequality is sufficient to establish the assertions of (5.1).

*Proof of (5.2), (5.3).* On account of the classical properties of Dirichlet series it is sufficient to show that

$$(5.5) \quad G(z) = \begin{cases} 1 - \sum_1^{\infty} \exp(-z\mu_n)/\mu_n E'(-\mu_n), & (x > 0, |y| < \pi), \\ - \sum_1^{\infty} \exp(z\lambda_n)/\lambda_n E'(\lambda_n), & (x < 0, |y| < \pi), \end{cases}$$

the Dirichlet series converging absolutely in the indicated regions.

Details are given for the case  $x < 0$ . Designating the points  $c - iR$ ,  $c + R \cot \beta - iR$ ,  $c + R \cot \beta + iR$  and  $c + iR$  by  $A$ ,  $B$ ,  $C$  and  $D$  respectively, where  $0 < \beta < \frac{1}{2}\pi$ , let  $L$  be the contour formed by the linear segments  $AB$  and  $CD$  and the circular arc  $|w - c| = R$  joining to  $B$  to  $C$ . Consider

$$I = \int_L \exp(zw) dw/wE(w).$$

By using (3.2) and  $x < 0$ ,  $0 < \beta < \frac{1}{2}\pi$ ,  $|y| < \pi$ , and estimating the integrals along  $AB$ ,  $BC$  and  $CD$  separately, we see that  $I = o(1)$  as  $R \rightarrow \infty$ . The second equation in (5.5) then follows from the definition of  $G(z)$  and the calculus of residues.

*Proof of (5.4).* When  $x \neq 0$ ,  $G(z)$  is represented by the absolutely convergent Dirichlet series (5.5), and it is well known that functions so defined can have but a finite number of zeros. Since  $z_0$  is given, and  $X_1 \leq x \leq X_2$

in the compact subset of  $B$ , we may choose  $R_0$  so that  $G(z_0 - t)$  does not vanish for  $|t| \geq R$ . It then follows easily that

$$\left| \frac{d}{dt} \frac{G(z - t)}{G(z_0 - t)} \right| = \begin{cases} O[\exp(t\mu_1)] & (t \rightarrow -\infty), \\ O\{\exp[-t(\lambda_2 - \lambda_1)]\} & (t \rightarrow \infty), \end{cases}$$

where the constants are independent of  $z$ . These estimates are sufficient for the proof.

**6. Properties of the transform.** The following theorem gives properties of the functions  $f(x)$  and  $\phi(t)$  in (1.1) which will be used later.

**THEOREM I.** *Let  $\phi(t) \in L(0, R)$  for any  $R$  and be such that the integral (1.1) converges for at least one  $z$  in  $B$ , and let  $\Phi(t) = \int_0^t \phi(u) du$ ; then (6.1) the integral (1.1) converges for all  $z$  in  $B$ , and defines a function analytic in  $B$ ;*

$$(6.2) \quad \Phi(t) = \begin{cases} o(\exp t\lambda_1) & (t \rightarrow \infty), \\ o(\exp -t\Delta) & (t \rightarrow -\infty), \end{cases}$$

for any positive  $\Delta$ .

*Proof of (6.1).* On account of (5.4), the method of Widder-Hirschmann may be used (4, pp. 691-692).

*Proof of (6.2).* It follows from the representation (5.5) of  $G(z)$  for  $x \neq 0$  that  $G(z)$  and  $G'(z)$  have at most a finite number of zeros. Let  $A$  be a positive number such that neither  $G(z)$  nor  $G'(z)$  vanishes for  $|x| \geq A$ . To prove the first assertion, define  $\psi(t) = \int_0^t G(-A - u) d\Phi(u)$ . Then by hypothesis,  $\psi(\infty)$  is finite, and

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(t) \exp(-t\lambda_1) &= \exp(-t\lambda_1) \int_0^t d\psi(u)/G(-A - u), \\ &= \exp(-t\lambda_1) \left[ \frac{\psi(t)}{G(-A - t)} - \int_0^t \frac{\psi(u) G'(-A - u) du}{G^2(-A - u)} \right], \\ &= o(1) \end{aligned}$$

as  $t \rightarrow \infty$  by l'Hopital's rule, and (5.5).

To prove the second assertion, write  $\psi(t) = \int_0^t G(A - u) d\Phi(u)$ ; and in the same way,  $\Phi(t) \exp(t\Delta) = o(1)$ , ( $t \rightarrow -\infty$ ).

It is convenient at this point to establish some properties of the function

$$(6.3) \quad K(x, \theta) = \theta(2\pi)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} k(y) G'(x + iy\theta) dy.$$

These properties are:

$$(6.4) \quad K(x, \theta) = \begin{cases} (1 - \theta) O[\exp(-x\mu_1)], & (x \rightarrow \infty), \\ (1 - \theta) O[\exp(x\lambda_1)], & (x \rightarrow -\infty), \end{cases}$$

with similar estimates for  $K'(x, \theta)$ ;

$$(6.5) \quad K(x, \theta) = O[(1 - \theta)^{-1}] \text{ uniformly in } x \text{ as } \theta \rightarrow 1;$$

$$(6.6) \text{ when } x \text{ is positive, } \lim_{\theta \rightarrow 1} \int_0^x K(t, \theta) dt = \frac{1}{2} = \lim_{\theta \rightarrow 1} \int_{-\infty}^0 K(t, \theta) dt.$$

*Proof of (6.4).* Details are given for the case  $x > 0$ .

$$\begin{aligned}
 |K(x, \theta)| &= \left| \theta(2\pi)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} k(y) dy (2\pi i)^{-1} \int_{c-i\infty}^{c+i\infty} \exp[w(x + iy\theta)] dw/E(w) \right| \\
 &= \left| (2\pi i)^{-1} \int_{c-i\infty}^{c+i\infty} E(\theta w) \exp(xw) dw/E(w) \right|, \quad \text{by (4.3);} \\
 &= \left| \sum_1^{\infty} E(-\theta\mu_n) \exp(-x\mu_n)/E'(-\mu_n) \right|, \\
 &\leq A_1(1 - \theta) \sum_1^{\infty} \mu_n \exp(-x\mu_n)/|E'(-\mu_n)|, \quad \text{by (4.4);}
 \end{aligned}$$

and as  $x > 0$  and (3.3) guarantee the convergence of this series, our assertion is proved.

*Proof of (6.5).* By (4.3), (6.3) and Cauchy’s theorem,

$$\begin{aligned}
 K(x, \theta) &= \theta(2\pi)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} k(y) dy (2\pi i)^{-1} \int_{-i\infty}^{i\infty} \exp[w(x + iy\theta)]dw/E(w), \\
 &= \theta(2\pi)^{-1} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \exp(ixv) E(iv\theta) dv/E(iv).
 \end{aligned}$$

Using the fact that for  $0 < \theta < 1$ ,

$$|(1 - iv\theta/\lambda_n)/(1 - iv\lambda_n)| \text{ and } |(1 + iv\theta/\mu_n)/(1 + iv/\mu_n)|$$

are less than unity, we have

$$\left| \frac{1 - iv\theta/(\rho + n - \delta)}{1 - iv/(\rho + n - \delta)} \right| \leq \left| \frac{1 - iv\theta/\lambda_n}{1 - iv/\lambda_n} \right| \leq \left| \frac{1 - iv\theta/(\rho + n + \delta)}{1 - iv/(\rho + n + \delta)} \right|,$$

with similar inequalities involving  $\mu_n$ . Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
 \left| \frac{\Gamma(\rho + 1 - \delta - iv) \Gamma(1 - \delta + iv)}{\Gamma(\rho + 1 - \delta - iv\theta) \Gamma(1 - \delta + iv\theta)} \right| &\leq \left| \frac{E(iv\theta)}{E(iv)} \right| \\
 &\leq \left| \frac{\Gamma(\rho + 1 + \delta - iv) \Gamma(1 + \delta + iv)}{\Gamma(\rho + 1 + \delta - iv\theta) \Gamma(1 + \delta + iv\theta)} \right|,
 \end{aligned}$$

and by (9, p. 259),

$$(6.7) \quad |E(iv\theta)/E(iv)| \sim A \exp[-\pi|v|(1 - \theta)] \quad (|v| \rightarrow \infty).$$

Since  $0 < \theta < 1$ , this is sufficient to prove our result.

*Proof of (6.6).* Write  $I = \int_0^x K(t, \theta) dt$ , where  $x \neq 0$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 I &= \theta(2\pi)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \int_0^x dt \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} k(y) G'(t + iy\theta) dy, \\
 &= \theta(2\pi)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} k(y)[G(x + iy\theta) - G(iy\theta)] dy,
 \end{aligned}$$

the interchange of the integrations being justified, since  $k(y) \in L^2(-\pi, \pi)$  and

$$\begin{aligned}
 |G'(t + iy\theta)| &= |(2\pi i)^{-1} \int_{c-i\infty}^{c+i\infty} \exp[w(t + iy\theta)] dw/E(w)|, \\
 &= |(2\pi)^{-1} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \exp(-vy\theta + ivt) dv/E(iv)|, \\
 &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \exp[-\pi|v|(1 - \theta)] O[|v|^{\rho+1+2\delta}] dv, \text{ by (3.5),} \\
 &= O[(1 - \theta)^{-\rho-2-2\delta}].
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$I = \theta(2\pi)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} k(y)dy(2\pi i)^{-1} \int_{c-i\infty}^{c+i\infty} \{\exp[w(x + iy\theta)] - \exp(iy\theta w)\} dw/wE(w).$$

Again by (4.3) and the absolute convergence of the inner integral for  $|y| < \pi$ , we may interchange the integrations, and get

$$(6.8) \quad I = \frac{\theta}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{[\exp(ixv) - 1] E(iv\theta)}{ivE(iv)} dv,$$

the application of Cauchy's theorem being justified by the analyticity of  $[\exp(xw) - 1]/w$  at the origin.

We observe next that  $E(\theta w)/E(w)$  is real when  $w$  is real. Hence  $I = P - Q$ , where

$$\begin{aligned}
 Q &= \frac{\theta}{2\pi} \int_0^{\infty} \frac{1 - \cos xv}{v} \Im \left[ \frac{E(iv\theta)}{E(iv)} \right] dv, \\
 P &= \frac{\theta}{2\pi} \int_0^{\infty} \frac{\sin xv}{v} \Re \left[ \frac{E(iv\theta)}{E(iv)} \right] dv.
 \end{aligned}$$

It is then sufficient to show that

$$\begin{aligned}
 (6.9) \quad & Q \rightarrow 0, & \theta &\rightarrow 1, \\
 (6.10) \quad & P \rightarrow \frac{1}{2}, & \theta &\rightarrow 1.
 \end{aligned}$$

To prove (6.9), it is sufficient to consider

$$Q_1 = \int_1^{\infty} \frac{1 - \cos xv}{v} \Im \left[ \frac{E(iv\theta)}{E(iv)} \right] dv.$$

We then have

$$\begin{aligned}
 |Q_1| &= \left| \int_1^{\infty} \frac{1 - \cos xv}{v} \left| \frac{E(iv\theta)}{E(iv)} \right| \sin \text{amp} \left[ \frac{E(iv\theta)}{E(iv)} \right] dv \right|, \\
 &\leq A_1(1 - \theta) \int_1^{\infty} v^{-1} \exp[-\pi v(1 - \theta)] dv, \quad \text{by (3.6) and (6.7),} \\
 &= A_1(1 - \theta) \int_{\pi(1-\theta)}^{\infty} t^{-1} \exp(-t) dt < A_2(1 - \theta)^{\frac{1}{2}} \Gamma(\frac{1}{2}).
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $Q_1$ , and consequently  $Q$  tends to zero as  $\theta$  tends to unity.

To prove (6.10), we observe that on account of (3.7),

$$\int_0^{\infty} \frac{\sin xv}{v} \left| \frac{E(iv\theta)}{E(iv)} \right| dv$$

converges uniformly in  $\frac{1}{2} \leq \theta \leq 1$ ; and from (3.6), that  $\Re[E(iv\theta)/E(iv)]$  is

positive when  $\theta$  is close to unity. It is therefore sufficient to prove that  $C(\theta) \rightarrow 0$  ( $\theta \rightarrow 1$ ), where

$$C(\theta) = \int_0^\infty \frac{\sin xv}{v} \left\{ \left| \frac{E(iv\theta)}{E(iv)} \right| - \Re \left[ \frac{E(iv\theta)}{E(iv)} \right] \right\} dv.$$

But

$$\begin{aligned} |C(\theta)| &\leq \int_0^\infty \left| \frac{E(iv\theta)}{E(iv)} \right| \left\{ 1 - \cos \text{amp} \left[ \frac{E(iv\theta)}{E(iv)} \right] \right\} dv, \\ &\leq A_3(1 - \theta)^2 \int_0^\infty \exp[-\pi v(1 - \theta)] dv, \\ &= O[(1 - \theta)], \end{aligned} \tag{3.6}$$

**7. The inversion theorems.** The main result is

**THEOREM II.** *Let  $\phi(t) \in L(0, R)$  for any  $R$  and be such that the integral (1.1) converges for at least one  $z$  in the strip  $B$ : then if  $f(z)$  is defined by (1.1) and  $DE(D).f(x)$  by (4.5),*

$$DE(D).f(x) = \frac{1}{2}[\phi(x+) + \phi(x-)],$$

whenever the right-hand side has a meaning.

For

$$\begin{aligned} DE(D).f(x) &= \lim_{\theta \rightarrow 1} (2\pi)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \int_{-\pi}^\pi k(y) dy \int_{-\infty}^\infty G'(x - t + iy\theta) \phi(t) dt, \\ &= \lim_{\theta \rightarrow 1} \int_{-\infty}^\infty \phi(t) dt (2\pi)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \int_{-\pi}^\pi k(y) G'(x - t + iy\theta) dy, \\ &= \lim_{\theta \rightarrow 1} \int_{-\infty}^\infty K(x - t, \theta) \phi(t) dt, \end{aligned}$$

the interchange of the integrations being justified by the uniform convergence of (1.1) in any compact subset of  $B$ , and the fact that  $k(y) \in L^2(-\pi, \pi)$ . It is sufficient to prove

$$(7.1) \quad \int_{-\infty}^x K(x - t, \theta) \phi(t) dt \rightarrow \frac{1}{2}\phi(x-),$$

$$(7.2) \quad \int_x^\infty K(x - t, \theta) \phi(t) dt \rightarrow \frac{1}{2}\phi(x+),$$

as  $\theta \rightarrow 1$ .

We give details for (7.1). Let  $T > 0$ , and write

$$\int_{x-T}^x K(x-t, \theta)[\phi(t) - \phi(x-)]dt = \int_0^T K(t, \theta)[\phi(x-t) - \phi(x-)]dt = J(0, T).$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} |J[0, \pi(1 - \theta)]| &\leq \int_0^{\pi(1-\theta)} |\phi(x - t) - \phi(x-)| |K(t, \theta)| dt \\ &\leq A(1 - \theta)^{-1} \int_0^{\pi(1-\theta)} |\phi(x - t) - \phi(x-)| dt \\ (7.3) \quad &= o(1), \end{aligned}$$

as  $\theta \rightarrow 1$ , by (6.5). Next by (6.4),

$$(7.4) \quad |J[\pi(1 - \theta), T]| \leq A(1 - \theta) \int_{\pi(1-\theta)}^T |\phi(x - t) - \phi(x-)| \exp(-t\mu_1) dt = O(1 - \theta).$$

Thus by (6.6), (7.3) and (7.4)

$$\lim_{\theta \rightarrow 1} \int_{x-T}^x K(x - t, \theta) \phi(t) dt = \frac{1}{2} \phi(x-).$$

It remains to prove that  $\int_{-\infty}^{x-T} K(x - t, \theta) \phi(t) dt \rightarrow 0$  as  $\theta \rightarrow 1$ . As this integral need not converge absolutely, we write it as

$$[K'(x - t, \theta) \Phi(t)]_{-\infty}^T + \int_{-\infty}^{x-T} K'(x - t, \theta) \Phi(t) dt.$$

By (6.2) and (6.4) the integrated term =  $o(1)$ ; and for the same reason

$$\left| \int_{-\infty}^{x-T} K'(x - t, \theta) \Phi(t) dt \right| = \left| \int_T^{\infty} K'(t, \theta) \Phi(x - t) dt \right| = O(1 - \theta).$$

Since (7.2) may be proved in the same way, the theorem is complete.

The proof of the following theorem is similar:

**THEOREM III.** *Let  $f(z) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} G(z - t) d\alpha(t)$ , where  $\alpha(t)$  is a normalized function of bounded variation in any finite interval: then if this integral converges for any  $z$  in  $B$ , it converges for all such  $z$ , converges uniformly in any compact subset of  $B$ , and defines a function analytic in  $B$ . Also*

$$\lim_{\theta \rightarrow 1} (2\pi)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \int_{x_1}^{x_2} dx \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} k(y) f'(x + iy\theta) dy = \alpha(x_2) - \alpha(x_1).$$

**8. Remarks.** In the proof of (5.4) we have used the fact that from its representation (5.5) as a Dirichlet series, the nucleus  $G(z)$  has but a finite number of zeros. Hirschmann and Widder (8, p. 159) have shown that a more general nucleus has no zeros on the real axis, and it is certainly true that  $G(iy) \neq 0$  for  $|y| < \pi$ . The proof that  $G(z)$  does not vanish in  $B$  seems to be connected with properties of functions defined by Dirichlet series with coefficients of alternating sign, and will be dealt with elsewhere.

REFERENCES

1. N. Wiener, *The operational calculus*, Math. Ann., 95 (1925-26), 557-584.
2. D. V. Widder, *Inversion formulas for convolution transforms*, Duke Math. J., 14 (1947), 217-249.
3. ———, *The Stieltjes transform*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 43 (1938), 7-60.
4. I. I. Hirschmann, Jr. and D. V. Widder, *Generalized inversion formulas for convolution transforms*, Duke Math. J., 15 (1948), 659-696.
5. D. B. Sumner, *An inversion formula for the generalized Stieltjes transform*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., 55 (1949), 174-183.

6. I. I. Hirschmann, Jr. and D. V. Widder, *Convolution transforms with complex kernels*, Pacific J. Math., 1 (1951), 211–225.
7. N. Levinson, *Gap and density theorems* (Amer. Math. Soc. Coll., vol. XXVI, 1940).
8. I. I. Hirschmann, Jr. and D. V. Widder, *Inversion of a class of convolution transforms*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 66 (1949), 135–201.
9. E. C. Titchmarsh, *The theory of functions* (1st. ed., Oxford, 1932).
10. G. H. Hardy and N. Levinson, *Inequalities satisfied by a certain definite integral*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., 43 (1937), 709–716.

*Hamilton College, McMaster University, and  
Research Institute of the Canadian Mathematical Congress.*