

A NOTE ON A SPACE $H^{p,\alpha}$ OF HOLOMORPHIC FUNCTIONS

H.O. KIM, S.M. KIM AND E.G. KWON

For $0 < p < \infty$ and $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$, we define a space $H^{p,\alpha}$ of holomorphic functions on the unit disc of the complex plane, for which $H^{p,0} = H^\infty$, the space of all bounded holomorphic functions, and $H^{p,1} = H^p$, the usual Hardy space. We introduce a weak type operator whose boundedness extends the well-known Hardy-Littlewood embedding theorem to $H^{p,\alpha}$, give some results on the Taylor coefficients of the functions of $H^{p,\alpha}$ and show by an example that the inner factor cannot be divisible in $H^{p,\alpha}$.

1. Introduction.

Let U be the unit disc in the complex plane. For a function f holomorphic in U , we write

Received 17 June 1986. This research was partly supported by K.O.S.E.F. (1986).

Copyright Clearance Centre, Inc. Serial-fee code: 0004-9729/87 \$A2.00 + 0.00.

$$M_p(r, f) = \left(\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |f(re^{i\theta})|^p d\theta \right)^{1/p}, \quad 0 < p < \infty,$$

$$M_\infty(r, f) = \max_{|z| \leq r} |f(z)|.$$

It is well-known that $M_p(r, f)$ ($0 < p \leq \infty$) is an increasing function of r ($0 \leq r < 1$).

The Hardy space H^p ($0 < p \leq \infty$) is the class of all functions f holomorphic in U for which

$$\|f\|_p = \sup_{0 \leq r < 1} M_p(r, f) < \infty.$$

See [1] for the general theory of H^p spaces.

For $0 < p < \infty$ and $0 \leq a \leq 1$, we define $H^{p,a}$ as the class of all functions f in H^p for which

$$\sup_{z \in U} (1 - |z|)^{a/p} |f(z)| < \infty.$$

Since it is well-known [1, Theorem 5.9] that if $f \in H^p$ then

$$\sup (1 - |z|)^{1/p} |f(z)| < \infty,$$

we have $H^{p,1} = H^p$. Also, clearly $H^{p,0} = H^\infty$.

For $f \in H^{p,a}$, we define

$$\|f\|_{p,a} = \max \left(\|f\|_p, \sup_{z \in U} (1 - |z|)^{a/p} |f(z)| \right).$$

It is routine to check that $H^{p,a}$ is a Banach space in the norm $\|\cdot\|_{p,a}$ if $1 \leq p < \infty$, and a Fréchet space in the invariant metric $d(f, g) = \|f - g\|_{p,a}^p$ if $0 < p < 1$.

Previous results on the class $H^{p,a}$ are in [3]. For example, Theorem B and Theorem 3.1 in [3] can now be stated respectively as follows:

THEOREM A. *If $f \in H^{p,a}$ and $0 < p < q < \infty$, then*

$$\int_0^1 (1-r)^{qa(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q})-1} M_q(r,f)^q dr < \infty .$$

THEOREM B. *If $f \in H^{p,a}$, then $I^\beta f \in H^{q,a}$, where $I^\beta f$ is the fractional integral of f of order β , $0 < \beta < \frac{1}{p}$ and $q = ap/(a - \beta p)$.*

In view of Theorem A and the Hardy-Littlewood embedding theorem [1, Theorem 5.11], the following seems to be a reasonable conjecture.

Conjecture 1. *If $f \in H^{p,a}$, $0 < p < q < \infty$ and $\lambda \geq p$, then*

$$\int_0^1 (1-r)^{\lambda a(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q})-1} M_q(r,f)^\lambda dr \leq c_{p,a,q} \|f\|_{p,a}^\lambda,$$

where $c_{p,a,q}$ is a positive constant which does not depend on f .

In section 2, we introduce an operator whose boundedness would prove conjecture 1, but we prove only that the operator is of weak-type. In section 3, we give some results on the Taylor coefficients of functions of the class $H^{p,a}$. In section 4, we show by an example that the inner factor is not divisible in the class $H^{p,a}$.

2. Weak-type inequality.

We follow the ideas of Jawerth and Torchinsky [2] in introducing our operator.

LEMMA 1. *If $f \in H^{p,a}$ and $0 < p < q < \infty$, then*

$$M_q(r,f) \leq \|f\|_{p,a} (1-r)^{-a(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q})}.$$

Proof. Since $|f(z)| \leq \|f\|_{p,a} (1 - |z|)^{-a/p}$ from the definition,

we have

$$\begin{aligned} M_q(r,f) &= \left(\frac{1}{2\pi}\right)^{1/q} \int_0^{2\pi} |f(re^{i\theta})|^p |f(re^{i\theta})|^{q-p} d\theta)^{1/q} \\ &\leq \left[\|f\|_{p,a} (1-r)^{-a/p} \right]^{(q-p)/q} M_p(r,f)^{p/q} \\ &\leq \|f\|_{p,a} (1-r)^{-a(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q})}. \end{aligned}$$

□

From Lemma 1, Conjecture 1 is equivalent to the following.

Conjecture 1'. If $f \in H^{p,a}$ and $0 < p < q < \infty$, then

$$\int_0^1 (1-r)^{p\alpha(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q})-1} M_q(r,f)^p dr \leq C_{p,a,q} \|f\|_{p,a}^p$$

where $C_{p,a,q}$ is a positive constant which does not depend on f .

In fact, if conjecture 1' is true and $\lambda > p$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^1 (1-r)^{\lambda\alpha(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q})-1} M_q(r,f)^\lambda dr \\ & \leq \int_0^1 (1-r)^{\lambda\alpha(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q})-1} M_q(r,f)^p [\|f\|_{p,a} (1-r)^{-\alpha(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q})}]^{\lambda-p} dr \\ & = \|f\|_{p,a}^{\lambda-p} \int_0^1 (1-r)^{p\alpha(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q})-1} M_q(r,f)^p dr \\ & \leq \|f\|_{p,a}^{\lambda-p} C_{p,a,q} \|f\|_{p,a}^p = C_{p,a,q} \|f\|_{p,a}^\lambda \end{aligned}$$

We now define an operator T_q on $H^{p,a}$ by

$$(T_q f)(r) = (1-r)^{-\alpha/q} M_q(r,f).$$

Since

$$\int_0^1 T_q f(r)^p (1-r)^{\alpha-1} dr = \int_0^1 (1-r)^{p\alpha(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q})-1} M_q(r,f)^p dr,$$

we see that Conjecture 1' is now equivalent to the following.

Conjecture 1". T_q is a bounded operator from $H^{p,a}$ into $L^p((1-r)^{\alpha-1} dr)$.

The following theorem supports the truth of Conjecture 1".

THEOREM 2. T_q is of weak-type (p,p) from $H^{p,a}$ into $L^p((1-r)^{\alpha-1} dr)$.

Proof. We note that by Lemma 1

$$(T_q f)(r) \leq \|f\|_{p,a} (1-r)^{-a/p}.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^1 (1-r)^{a-1} dr &\leq \|f\|_{p,a} \int_0^1 (1-r)^{-a/p} (1-r)^{a-1} dr \\ (T_q f)(r) > \mu &= \int_{r=1-(\|f\|_{p,a}/\mu)^{p/a}}^1 (1-r)^{a-1} dr \\ &= \frac{1}{a} \left(\frac{\|f\|_{p,a}}{\mu} \right)^p. \end{aligned}$$

□

We remark that the Marcinkiewicz interpolation theorem does not seem to apply immediately because of the nature of the norm $\|\cdot\|_{p,a}$.

The following theorem also supports the truth of Conjecture 1.

THEOREM 3. If $f \in H^{p,a}$ and $0 < p < q \leq \lambda$, then

$$\int_0^1 (1-r)^{\lambda a(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q}) - 1} M_q(r, f)^\lambda dr < \infty.$$

Proof. Since $M_q(r, f) \leq M_\lambda(r, f)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_0^1 (1-r)^{\lambda a(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q}) - 1} M_q(r, f)^\lambda dr \\ &\leq \int_0^1 (1-r)^{\lambda a(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q}) - 1} M_\lambda(r, f)^\lambda dr < \infty \end{aligned}$$

by Theorem A.

□

In the negative direction, conjecture 1 with $q = \infty$ is false as the following example shows.

EXAMPLE 4. Consider

$$f(z) = \frac{1}{1-z} \left\{ \frac{1}{z} \log \frac{1}{1-z} \right\}^{-1}.$$

We know that $f \in H^p$ for $0 < p < 1$ [4, p.96].

Since

$$M_\infty(r, f) \sim \frac{1}{1-r} \left\{ \frac{1}{r} \log \frac{1}{1-r} \right\}^{-1}, \quad (\text{as } r \rightarrow 1^-),$$

we see that $f \in H^{p,p}, 0 < p < 1$. But

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^1 (1-r)^{\lambda p \left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{\infty} \right) - 1} M_\infty(r, f)^\lambda dr \\ & \geq C \int_0^1 (1-r)^{-1} \left(\frac{1}{r} \log \frac{1}{1-r} \right)^{-\lambda} dr \\ & = \infty \end{aligned}$$

if $\lambda \leq 1$.

3. Taylor coefficients.

The following is an extension of a familiar result of Hardy and Littlewood [1, Theorem 6.4] on functions of H^p , ($0 < p < 1$).

THEOREM 5. *If $f(z) = \sum_0^\infty a_n z^n \in H^{p,a}$, ($0 < p < 1$), then*

$$|a_n| \leq C \|f\|_{p,a} \frac{a}{n^{\frac{a}{p}(1-p)}},$$

where C is a positive constant.

Proof. From the equality

$$a_n r^n = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} f(re^{i\theta}) e^{-in\theta} d\theta,$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} |a_n r^n| & \leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |f(re^{i\theta})|^p |f(re^{i\theta})|^{1-p} d\theta \\ & \leq [\|f\|_{p,a} (1-r)^{-\frac{a}{p} 1-p}]^p \|f\|_p^p \\ & \leq \|f\|_{p,a} (1-r)^{-\frac{a}{p}(1-p)}. \end{aligned}$$

If we set $r = 1 - \frac{1}{n}$, we get

$$|a_n| \leq C \|f\|_{p,a} n^{\frac{\alpha}{p}(1-p)} . \quad \square$$

Using Theorem A, we have the following which reduces to the familiar theorem of Hardy and Littlewood [1, Theorem 6.2] when $p=q$.

THEOREM 6. *If $f(z) = \sum_0^\infty a_n z^n \in H^{p,\alpha}$ and $0 < p \leq q \leq 2$, then*

$$\sum_0^\infty (n+1)^{q(1 - \alpha(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q})) - 2} |a_n|^q < \infty .$$

Proof. From Theorem A, we have

$$\int_0^1 (1-r)^{q\alpha(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q}) - 1} M_q(r, f)^q dr < \infty .$$

Multiplying by $(1-r)^{q\alpha(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q}) - 1}$ and integrating both sides of the following inequality of Hardy and Littlewood [1, Theorem 6.2]

$$\sum_0^\infty (n+1)^{q-2} |a_n|^q r^{nq} \leq C M_q(r, f)^q ,$$

we have

$$\sum_0^\infty (n+1)^{q-2} |a_n|^q \int_0^1 r^{nq} (1-r)^{q\alpha(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q}) - 1} dr < \infty .$$

But, by Stirling's formula, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^1 r^{nq} (1-r)^{q\alpha(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q}) - 1} dr &= B(nq + 1, q\alpha(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q})) \\ &= \frac{\Gamma(nq + 1) \Gamma(q\alpha(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q}))}{\Gamma(nq + 1 + q\alpha(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q}))} \\ &\sim \Gamma(q\alpha(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q})) \left(\frac{e}{q}\right)^{q\alpha(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q})} (n+1)^{-q\alpha(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q})} \end{aligned}$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Hence we have

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (n+1)^{q(1-\alpha(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q}))-2} |a_n|^q < \infty. \quad \square$$

Now, suppose that Conjecture 1 were true and let $f(z) = \sum_0^{\infty} a_n z^n \in H^{p,\alpha}$, ($0 < p < 1$). We note that $|a_n r^n| \leq M_1(r, f)$. We then have

$$\begin{aligned} \infty &> \int_0^1 (1-r)^{\alpha p(\frac{1}{p}-1)-1} M_1(r, f)^p dr \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \int_{1-\frac{1}{n+1}}^{1-\frac{1}{n+2}} (1-r)^{\alpha p(\frac{1}{p}-1)-1} M_1(r, f)^p dr \\ &\geq \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(n+1)(n+2)} (n+1)^{1-\alpha p(\frac{1}{p}-1)} M_1(1-\frac{1}{n+1}, f)^p \\ &\geq C \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (n+1)^{-1-\alpha p(\frac{1}{p}-1)} |a_n|^p (1-\frac{1}{n+1})^{np} \\ &\geq C_p \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (n+1)^{\alpha p(1-\frac{1}{p})-1} |a_n|^p. \end{aligned}$$

where C and C_p are positive constants.

Hence the truth of Conjecture 1 would imply that of the following.

Conjecture 2. If $f(z) = \sum_0^{\infty} a_n z^n \in H^{p,\alpha}$, ($0 < p < 1$), then

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (n+1)^{\alpha p(1-\frac{1}{p})-1} |a_n|^p < \infty.$$

We note that Conjecture 2 reduces to the well-known theorem of Hardy and Littlewood [1, Theorem 6.2] when $\alpha = 1$.

4. An example.

We give an example which shows that the inner factor of a function in $H^{p,\alpha}$ is not divisible in $H^{p,\alpha}$.

EXAMPLE 7. Consider

$$f(z) = \frac{1}{1-z} e^{-\frac{1+z}{1-z}}$$

It is trivial that $f \in H^p$ for $0 < p < 1$. Now

$$|f(re^{i\theta})| = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-2r \cos\theta + r^2}} e^{-\frac{1-r^2}{1-2r \cos\theta + r^2}}$$

By a routine calculation, we see that

$$M_\infty(r, f) = \max_\theta |f(re^{i\theta})|$$

is attained when $\cos\theta = \frac{3r^2 - 1}{2r}$; so

$$M_\infty(r, f) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2e}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-r^2}}$$

Hence $f \in H^{p, p/2}$ for $0 < p < 1$. But $\frac{1}{1-z} \notin H^{p, p/2}$. So the inner

factor $e^{-\frac{1+z}{1-z}}$ of $f(z)$ is not divisible in $H^{p, p/2}$.

References

- [1] P. L. Duren, *Theory of H^p spaces* (Academic Press, New York, NY, 1970).
- [2] B. Jawerth and A. Torchinsky, "On a Hardy and Littlewood imbedding theorem". Preprint.
- [3] H. O. Kim, "Derivatives of Blaschke products", *Pacific J. Math.*, 114 (1984), 175-190.
- [4] J. E. Littlewood, *Lectures on the theory of functions* (Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1944).

Department of Applied Mathematics
 Korea Advanced Institute of Science and
 Technology

Department of Mathematics
 Seoul National University
 Seoul, 151, Korea

P.O. Box 150, Cheongryang
 Seoul, Korea

Department of Mathematics
 Andong University
 Andong 660. Korea.