

## PARTIAL ORDERS ON THE 2-CELL

BY  
E. D. TYMCHATYN

**1. Introduction.** A *partially ordered space* is an ordered pair  $(X, \leq)$  where  $X$  is a compact metric space and  $\leq$  is a partial ordering on  $X$  such that  $\leq$  is a closed subset of the Cartesian product  $X \times X$ .  $\leq$  is said to be a *closed partial order* on  $X$ .

If  $(X, \leq)$  is a partially ordered space let  $\text{Min}(X)$  (resp.  $\text{Max}(X)$ ) denote the set of minimal (resp. maximal) elements of  $X$ . For  $x \in X$  let

$$L(x) = \{y \in X \mid y \leq x\} \quad \text{and} \quad M(x) = \{y \in X \mid x \leq y\}.$$

Ward used partial orders to characterize dendrites in [7]. In [1], [2] and [3] Tymchatyn used partial orders to obtain characterizations of the two and three dimensional cells.

In this paper we use the methods developed in [1] to study a wide class of partial orders on the 2-cell. We let  $(X, \leq)$  be a partially ordered space where  $X$  is a 2-cell,  $\text{Min}(X)$  and  $\text{Max}(X)$  are closed arcs on the boundary of  $X$  and for each  $x \in X$   $L(x) \cup M(x)$  is a connected set. We let  $\leq'$  be the vertical partial order on the unit square  $[0, 1] \times [0, 1]$  in the euclidean plane, i.e. we set  $(a, b) \leq' (c, d)$  in  $[0, 1] \times [0, 1]$  if and only if  $a=c$  and  $d-b$  is non-negative. We show that there is a continuous order preserving function of the partially ordered space  $([0, 1] \times [0, 1], \leq')$  onto  $(X, \leq)$  such that the inverse image of a point of  $X$  is either a point or a horizontal line segment. It follows that  $\leq$  contains a partial order that has the same properties as  $\leq$  and that is obtainable in a natural way from a very simple decomposition of  $([0, 1] \times [0, 1], \leq')$ .

**2. Preliminaries.** We shall gather here some necessary definitions and theorems from [1] and [4].

A *chain* is a totally ordered set. An *order arc* is a compact connected chain. It is known [6] that a separable order arc is homeomorphic under an order preserving function to the closed unit interval  $[0, 1]$  with its usual order (which we also denote by  $\leq$ ) and with its usual topology. The reader should have no difficulty in determining in a particular instance whether  $\leq$  represents the partial order on  $X$  or on  $[0, 1]$ .

If  $(X, \leq)$  is a partially ordered space we let  $2^X$  denote the space of closed subsets of  $X$  with the Hausdorff metric topology. We let  $\mathcal{M}(X)$  denote the family of order arcs in  $X$  which meet both  $\text{Min}(X)$  and  $\text{Max}(X)$ .

---

Received by the editors May 15, 1974.

AMS Classification: Primary 54F05, 06A45.

This research was supported in part by National Research Council Grant No. A5616.

**THEOREM A** (TYMCHATYN and WARD [4]). *Let  $(X, \leq)$  be a partially ordered space such that  $\text{Min}(X)$  and  $\text{Max}(X)$  are closed sets and for each  $x \in X$ ,  $L(x) \cup M(x)$  is connected. Then  $\mathcal{M}(X)$  is a compact subset of  $2^X$  and  $\mathcal{M}(X)$  covers  $X$ .*

An *antichain* is a set which contains no non-degenerate chain. We let  $\mathcal{A}(X)$  denote the family of compact maximal antichains of  $X$ . We let  $\mathcal{A}(X)$  have its relative topology as a subset of  $2^X$ . It is known [6] that  $\text{Min}(X)$  and  $\text{Max}(X)$  are in  $\mathcal{A}(X)$  if and only if they are closed subsets of  $X$ .

The following two results appear in [1]:

**THEOREM B.** *Let  $(X, \leq)$  be a partially ordered space such that  $\text{Min}(X)$  and  $\text{Max}(X)$  are closed and  $\mathcal{M}(X)$  covers  $X$ . For  $A, B \in \mathcal{A}(X)$  define*

$$A \wedge B = \{x \in A \cup B \mid L(x) \cap (A \cup B) = \{x\}\}$$

and

$$A \vee B = \{x \in A \cup B \mid M(x) \cap (A \cup B) = \{x\}\}.$$

Then  $\mathcal{A}(X)$  with operations  $\wedge$  and  $\vee$  is an arcwise connected topological lattice. Furthermore,  $\mathcal{A}(X)$  covers  $X$ .

**THEOREM C.** *Let  $(X, \leq)$  be a partially ordered space such that  $\text{Max}(X)$  and  $\text{Min}(X)$  are closed, disjoint sets and  $\mathcal{M}(X)$  covers  $X$ . Then there exists a continuous order preserving function  $f$  of  $(X, \leq)$  onto  $[0, 1]$  with its usual order such that*

- (i)  $f^{-1}(0) = \text{Min}(X)$  and  $f^{-1}(1) = \text{Max}(X)$  and
- (ii) for each  $a \in [0, 1]$   $f^{-1}(a) \in \mathcal{A}(X)$ .

The partial order  $\leq$  on a space  $X$  is said to be *order dense* if for each  $x < y$  there exists  $z$  such that  $x < z < y$ . It is known [6] that if  $(X, \leq)$  is a compact, order dense, partially ordered space then every chain in  $X$  is contained in a member of  $\mathcal{M}(X)$ .

In case there is more than one partial order on a space  $X$  we shall write  $\text{Min}(X, \leq)$ ,  $\text{Max}(X, \leq)$ ,  $L(x, \leq)$ ,  $M(x, \leq)$ ,  $\mathcal{M}(X, \leq)$  and  $\mathcal{A}(X, \leq)$  for  $\text{Min}(X)$ ,  $\text{Max}(X)$ ,  $L(x)$ ,  $M(x)$ ,  $\mathcal{M}(X)$  and  $\mathcal{A}(X)$  respectively.

### 3. Orders on the 2-cell.

**THEOREM 1.** *Let  $(X, \leq)$  be a partially ordered space where  $X$  is a closed 2-cell. Suppose  $\text{Min}(X)$  and  $\text{Max}(X)$  are arcs in the boundary  $S^1$  of  $X$ . If  $\mathcal{M}(X)$  covers  $X$  then  $\mathcal{M}(X)$  admits the structure of a compact, connected, topological lattice.*

**Proof.** Case 1. Suppose  $\text{Min}(X)$  is disjoint from  $\text{Max}(X)$ .

Let  $F$  and  $E$  be the closures of the two components of  $S^1 - (\text{Min}(X) \cup \text{Max}(X))$ . We wish to prove that  $F$  and  $E$  are in  $\mathcal{M}(X)$ . Notice that  $F$  and  $E$  are arcs which meet both  $\text{Min}(X)$  and  $\text{Max}(X)$ . We need only prove that  $F$  and  $E$  are chains. Let  $x, y \in F$ . We may suppose that  $x$  separates  $y$  from  $\text{Min}(X) \cap F$  in  $F$ . By hypothesis there exists  $T \in \mathcal{M}(X)$  such that  $x \in T$ . If  $y \in T$  we are done. If  $y \notin T$  then

$T \cap M(x)$  is an arc in the 2-cell  $X$  which separates  $y$  from  $\text{Min}(X) \cap L(y)$ . By hypothesis there exists  $S \in \mathcal{M}(X)$  such that  $y \in S$ . Now  $S \cap L(y)$  is an order arc which meets both  $y$  and  $\text{Min}(X) \cap L(y)$ . Hence, there exists

$$z \in S \cap L(y) \cap T \cap M(x).$$

Since  $x \leq z \leq y$  it follows that  $x \leq y$  and  $F$  is a chain. Similarly,  $E$  is a chain.

By Theorem C there is a continuous function  $f: X \rightarrow [0, 1]$  such that  $f^{-1}(0) = \text{Min}(X)$ ,  $f^{-1}(1) = \text{Max}(X)$  and for each  $a \in [0, 1]$ ,  $f^{-1}(a) \in \mathcal{A}(X)$ . It follows that for each  $a \in [0, 1]$ ,  $f^{-1}(a)$  meets each member of  $\mathcal{M}(X)$  in precisely one point.

If  $a \in ]0, 1[$ , it is clear that  $f^{-1}(a)$  separates  $X$  into precisely two components  $f^{-1}([0, a[$  and  $f^{-1}(]a, 1])$ . Furthermore,  $f^{-1}(a)$  is arcwise accessible from each of these two components. By Theorem II.5.38 in Wilder [8],  $f^{-1}(a)$  is an arc. Since  $f^{-1}(a)$  is irreducible with respect to separating  $X$  it follows that one endpoint of  $f^{-1}(a)$  is in  $F$  and the other is in  $E$ .

For each  $a \in [0, 1]$  give the arc  $f^{-1}(a)$  its natural total order with minimal point in  $F \cap f^{-1}(a)$ . Denote the resulting partial order on  $X$  by  $\leq$ . Then  $x \leq y$  if and only if  $f(x) = f(y)$  and  $x$  separates  $y$  from  $f^{-1}(f(x)) \cap F$  in the arc  $f^{-1}(f(x))$ .

CLAIM.  $(X, \leq)$  is a partially ordered space.

**Proof of claim.** Let  $x_i$  and  $y_i$  be sequences in  $X$  which converge to  $x$  and  $y$  respectively such that for each  $i$ ,  $x_i \leq y_i$ . We must prove that  $x \leq y$ . For each  $i$ ,  $f(x_i) = f(y_i)$  and  $f$  is continuous so  $f(x) = f(y)$ . Either  $x \leq y$  or  $y \leq x$  since  $f^{-1}(f(x))$  is linearly ordered with respect to the partial order  $\leq$ . Just suppose  $y < x$ . Let  $t \in X$  such that  $y < t < x$ . By hypothesis there exists  $T \in \mathcal{M}(X, \leq)$  such that  $t \in T$ . The arc  $T$  separates  $x$  and  $y$  in  $X$ . The sequence  $x_i$  (resp.  $y_i$ ) is eventually in the same component of  $X - T$  as is  $x$  (resp.  $y$ ) since  $X$  is locally connected. Also,  $F \cap f^{-1}(f(x_i))$  is eventually in the same component of  $X - T$  as  $F \cap f^{-1}(f(x))$  and  $y$ . Since for each  $i$ ,  $f^{-1}(f(x_i))$  meets  $T$  in precisely one point, it follows that eventually  $y_i < x_i$ . This is a contradiction. Thus  $x \leq y$  and the claim is proved.

Clearly,  $\mathcal{M}(X, \leq) \subset \mathcal{A}(X, \leq)$ . By Theorem A  $\mathcal{M}(X, \leq)$  is compact. By Theorem B  $\mathcal{A}(X, \leq)$  is a topological lattice. We must prove that  $\mathcal{M}(X, \leq)$  is actually a sublattice of  $\mathcal{A}(X, \leq)$ . If  $S, T \in \mathcal{M}(X, \leq)$  then  $T \wedge S$  and  $T \vee S$  are in  $\mathcal{A}(X, \leq)$  since  $\mathcal{A}(X, \leq)$  is a lattice. In particular  $T \vee S$  and  $T \wedge S$  are compact subsets of  $X$ . The continuous function  $f$  takes each of the compact sets  $T \wedge S$  and  $T \vee S$  by a one-to-one correspondence onto  $[0, 1]$ . Hence,  $T \wedge S$  and  $T \vee S$  are arcs. We check that  $T \wedge S$  and  $T \vee S$  are chains with respect to  $\leq$ . Let  $x, y \in T \wedge S$ . We may suppose that  $f(x) < f(y)$ . If  $x, y \in T$  then  $x \leq y$  since  $T$  is a chain. Suppose, therefore, that  $x \in S - T$  and  $y \in T - S$ . Let  $z$  be the maximal element of the compact chain

$$(T \wedge S) \cap S \cap f^{-1}([0, f(y)]).$$

Then  $z \in T \cap S$  since  $f^{-1}(f(z)) \cap (T \wedge S) = \{z\}$ ,  $T \wedge S$  is compact and

$$(T \wedge S) \cap f^{-1}([f(z), f(y)]) \subset T.$$

Hence,  $x \leq z \leq y$  and  $T \wedge S$  is a chain with respect to  $\leq$ . Since  $T \wedge S$  is a compact, connected chain which meets both  $\text{Min}(X, \leq)$  and  $\text{Max}(X, \leq)$  it follows that  $T \wedge S \in \mathcal{M}(X, \leq)$ . Similarly,  $T \vee S \in \mathcal{M}(X, \leq)$ .

For  $T, S \in \mathcal{M}(X, \leq)$  define  $T \leq^* S$  if and only if  $T \wedge S = T$ . Then  $(\mathcal{M}(X, \leq), \leq^*)$  is a partially ordered space. We shall prove that  $\leq^*$  is order dense. Let  $S, T \in \mathcal{M}(X, \leq)$  such that  $T \wedge S = S$  and  $S \neq T$ . Let  $a \in [0, 1]$  such that  $f^{-1}(a) \cap S \neq f^{-1}(a) \cap T$  and let  $b \in f^{-1}(a)$  such that

$$f^{-1}(a) \cap S <'' b <'' f^{-1}(a) \cap T.$$

By hypothesis there exists  $P \in \mathcal{M}(X, \leq)$  such that  $b \in P$ . Let  $R = (S \vee P) \wedge T$ . Then  $b \in R \in \mathcal{M}(X, \leq)$ . Notice that  $T \wedge R = R$  and  $R \wedge S = S$ . Hence,  $S <^* P <^* T$ . Thus,  $\leq^*$  is order dense. Since  $F$  is the unique minimal element in the partially ordered space  $(\mathcal{M}(X, \leq), \leq^*)$ ,  $\mathcal{M}(X, \leq^*)$  is connected by the remarks following Theorem C.

Case 2. Suppose  $\text{Min}(X, \leq) \cap \text{Max}(X, \leq)$  is non-void. Make the disjoint union of  $X$  and  $\text{Max}(X, \leq) \times [0, 1]$  into a partially ordered space by setting  $x \leq' y$  in  $X \cup (\text{Max}(X, \leq) \times [0, 1])$ , if:

- (a)  $x, y \in X$  and  $x \leq y$
- (b)  $x \in X$  and  $y = (a, b) \in \text{Max}(X, \leq) \times [0, 1]$  where  $x \leq a$  in  $X$  or
- (c)  $x = (a, b)$  and  $y = (c, d)$  are in  $\text{Max}(X, \leq) \times [0, 1]$ ,  $a = c$  and  $b \leq d$  in  $[0, 1]$ .

Form the adjunction space  $X'$  of  $X$  and  $\text{Max}(X, \leq) \times [0, 1]$  by identifying  $(m, 0)$  and  $m$  for each  $m \in \text{Max}(X, \leq)$ . The partial order  $\leq'$  on  $X \cup (\text{Max}(X, \leq) \times [0, 1])$  induces a partial order  $\leq^\circ$  on  $X'$  such that  $(X', \leq^\circ)$  satisfies the hypotheses of the theorem. Notice that  $\text{Min}(X', \leq^\circ) = \text{Min}(X, \leq)$  and  $\text{Max}(X', \leq^\circ) = \text{Max}(X, \leq) \times \{1\}$ . By Case 1  $\mathcal{M}(X', \leq^\circ)$  is a compact, connected topological lattice. It is easy to see that  $\mathcal{M}(X, \leq)$  is homeomorphic and isomorphic to  $\mathcal{M}(X', \leq^\circ)$  under the correspondence that takes a member  $A$  of  $\mathcal{M}(X', \leq^\circ)$  to the unique member  $B$  of  $\mathcal{M}(X, \leq)$  such that  $B \subset A$ .

The following theorem was proved in [1].

**THEOREM D.** *Let  $(X, \leq)$  be a partially ordered space. Suppose there exists a function  $h: [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(X)$  such that  $X = \cup \{h(a) \mid a \in [0, 1]\}$  and if  $a < b < c$  in  $[0, 1]$  then  $h(a) \cap h(c) \subset h(b)$ . If  $X$  is non-degenerate and has no cutpoints then  $X$  is a 2-cell.*

It is shown in the proof of Theorem D that if  $\leq$  is a closed partial order on the 2-cell  $D$  then in order that there exist a function  $h: [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(D)$  as in the above theorem it is necessary that  $\text{Min}(D)$  and  $\text{Max}(D)$  be closed, connected sets in the boundary of  $D$  and that  $\mathcal{M}(D)$  cover  $D$ . We shall show that these conditions are also sufficient.

**COROLLARY 2.** *Let  $\leq$  be a closed partial order on a 2-cell  $D$  such that  $\mathcal{M}(D)$  covers  $D$  and  $\text{Min}(D)$  and  $\text{Max}(D)$  are closed and connected sets in the boundary of  $D$ . Then there exists a continuous function  $h: [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(D)$  such that  $D = \cup\{h(a) \mid a \in [0, 1]\}$  and if  $a < b < c$  in  $[0, 1]$  then  $h(a) \cap h(c) \subset h(b)$ .*

**Proof.**  $\mathcal{M}(D)$  is a compact topological lattice and thus  $\mathcal{M}(D)$  has a zero  $F$  and a unit  $E$ . By Theorem 1  $\mathcal{M}(D)$  is connected. By Koch's Theorem (see [5]) there is an order arc  $\mathbb{C}$  in  $\mathcal{M}(D)$  such that  $F, E \in \mathbb{C}$ . Let  $h: [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be a one to one continuous function such that  $h(0) = F$  and  $h(1) = E$ . From the definition of order in  $\mathcal{M}(D)$  it is clear that if  $a < b < c$  in  $[0, 1]$  then  $h(a) \cap h(c) \subset h(b)$ . It remains to show only that  $D = \cup\{h(a) \mid a \in [0, 1]\}$ .

Let  $x \in D$  and let  $R \in \mathcal{A}(D)$  such that  $x \in R$  by Theorem B. It is easy to see that for each  $a \in [0, 1]$ ,  $h(a) \cap R$  consists of exactly one point. Define  $g: [0, 1] \rightarrow R$  by letting  $g(a) \in h(a) \cap R$  for each  $a \in [0, 1]$ . Then  $g$  is easily seen to be a continuous function. By the proof of Theorem 1  $R$  is an arc with endpoints in  $F$  and  $E$ . Hence  $g(0) \in F$  and  $g(1) \in E$ . Thus,  $g$  maps  $[0, 1]$  onto  $R$  and  $x \in \cup\{h(a) \mid a \in [0, 1]\}$ .

Let  $(D', \leq')$  be the unit square  $[0, 1] \times [0, 1]$  in the plane with the partial order  $(a, b) \leq' (c, d)$  if and only if  $a = c$  and  $b \leq d$  in  $[0, 1]$ .

**COROLLARY 3.** *Let  $(D, \leq)$  be a partially ordered space such that  $D$  is a 2-cell,  $\mathcal{M}(D, \leq)$  covers  $D$  and  $\text{Min}(D, \leq)$  and  $\text{Max}(D, \leq)$  are closed disjoint arcs in the boundary of  $D$ . There is an order preserving continuous function  $g$  of  $(D', \leq')$  onto  $(D, \leq)$  such that*

- (i)  $g^{-1}(\text{Max}(D, \leq)) = \text{Max}(D', \leq')$
- (ii)  $g^{-1}(\text{Min}(D, \leq)) = \text{Min}(D', \leq')$
- (iii)  $g$  takes every member of  $\mathcal{M}(D', \leq')$  homeomorphically onto a member of  $\mathcal{M}(D, \leq)$ .
- (iv) for  $x \in D$   $g^{-1}(x)$  is either a point or a horizontal line segment in  $D'$ .

**Proof.** Let  $f: D \rightarrow [0, 1]$  be a function satisfying the conditions of Theorem C. Let  $h: [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(D, \leq)$  be a function satisfying the conditions of Corollary 2. Define  $g: (D', \leq') \rightarrow (D, \leq)$  by letting  $g(a, b)$  be the unique point in  $h(a) \cap f^{-1}(b)$  for each  $(a, b) \in D' = [0, 1] \times [0, 1]$ .

If  $(D, \leq)$  and  $g$  are as in Corollary 3, there is a smallest partial order  $\leq^*$  on  $D$  such that  $g$  is order preserving with respect to  $\leq^*$  and  $\leq^*$  has a closed graph. Clearly  $\leq^* \subset \leq$ ,  $\mathcal{M}(D, \leq^*)$  covers  $D$ ,  $\text{Min}(D, \leq^*) = \text{Min}(D, \leq)$  and  $\text{Max}(D, \leq^*) = \text{Max}(D, \leq)$ . Thus we have extracted from  $\leq$  a partial order  $\leq^*$  which is moderately large and which is well understood since it is completely determined by the function  $g$ .

REFERENCES

1. E. D. Tymchatyn, *Antichains and products in partially ordered spaces*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **146** (1969) pp. 511-520.

2. ———, *The 2-cell as a partially ordered space*, Pac. J. Math. **30** (1969) pp. 825–836.
3. E. D. Tymchatyn, *Some order theoretic characterizations of the 3-cell*, Colloq. Math. **10** (1972) pp. 195–203.
4. ——— and L. E. Ward, Jr., *On three problems of Franklin and Wallace concerning partially ordered spaces*, Coll. Math. **20** (1969) pp. 229–236.
5. L. E. Ward, Jr., *Concerning Koch's Theorem on the existence of arcs*, Pac. J. Math. **15** (1965) pp. 347–355.
6. L. E. Ward, Jr., *Partially ordered topological spaces*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **5** (1954) pp. 144–161.
7. L. E. Ward, Jr., *A note on dendrites and trees*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **5** (1954) pp. 992–994.
8. R. L. Wilder, *Topology of Manifolds*, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, 1949.

UNIVERSITY OF SASKATCHEWAN  
SASKATOON, SASKATCHEWAN