



SPECIAL CURVES AND POSTCRITICALLY FINITE POLYNOMIALS

MATTHEW BAKER¹ and LAURA DE MARCO²

¹Georgia Institute of Technology, Mathematics Atlanta, GA, United States;
email: mbaker@math.gatech.edu

²University of Illinois at Chicago, Mathematics Chicago, IL, United States;
email: demarco@uic.edu

Received 9 November 2012; accepted 17 July 2013

Abstract

We study the postcritically finite maps within the moduli space of complex polynomial dynamical systems. We characterize rational curves in the moduli space containing an infinite number of postcritically finite maps, in terms of critical orbit relations, in two settings: (1) rational curves that are polynomially parameterized; and (2) cubic polynomials defined by a given fixed point multiplier. We offer a conjecture on the general form of algebraic subvarieties in the moduli space of rational maps on \mathbb{P}^1 containing a Zariski-dense subset of postcritically finite maps.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 37F45 (primary); 11G50, 30C10 (secondary)

Overview

In this paper we address the question ‘Which algebraic subvarieties of the moduli space M_d of degree- d rational maps contain a Zariski-dense set of special points?’ Here, a special point is the conjugacy class of a postcritically finite map $f: \mathbb{P}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$; that is, every critical point of f has finite forward orbit under iteration. Postcritically finite (PCF) maps play an important role in complex dynamics, and in recent years there has been an explosion of work around them. It has been known since the foundational work of Thurston based on Teichmüller theory that PCF maps come in two flavors, the flexible Lattès maps (associated with elliptic curves and arising in one-dimensional families) and the rest (which are rigid). The rigid PCF maps form a countable Zariski-dense subset of the moduli space M_d . From an arithmetic point of view, PCF maps are in several ways similar to elliptic curves with complex multiplication, and one can therefore view the above question as a dynamical analog of the André–Oort conjecture in arithmetic geometry. We formulate a conjectural answer to the above question: the special subvarieties V having a dense set of special points should

be those for which the number of *dynamically independent* critical points does not exceed the dimension of V .

As evidence for our general conjecture, we study rational curves within the parameter space of critically marked, monic, centered, degree- d polynomials. Our main result provides an explicit description of the polynomially parameterized rational curves that are special: they are those for which there is exactly one active critical orbit, up to polynomial symmetries. (We in fact prove a more general result about marked but not necessarily critical points that are simultaneously preperiodic.) We provide examples showing that the ‘up to symmetries’ condition is necessary, and we illustrate how one can check that a given curve is special. We also study the family of curves $\text{Per}_1(\lambda)$ inside the space of cubic polynomials. First introduced by Milnor, $\text{Per}_1(\lambda)$ is defined as the set of maps with a fixed point of multiplier λ . The curve $\text{Per}_1(0)$, defined by the condition that one critical point is fixed, is special, and we prove that $\text{Per}_1(\lambda)$ is not special for all $\lambda \neq 0$.

The proofs of these results rely on several ingredients, including: (1) an arithmetic equidistribution theorem (due to Baker and Rumely, Chambert-Loir, and Favre and Rivera-Letelier) for Galois orbits of points of small canonical height over product formula fields; (2) complex potential theory and distortion estimates for univalent functions; and (3) the decomposition theory for polynomials, originally due to Ritt and recently expanded upon by Medvedev and Scanlon. The use of (1) and (2) are inspired by our earlier work, as well as a subsequent paper by Ghioca, Hsia, and Tucker.

1. Introduction

For each integer $d \geq 2$, let MP_d^{cm} denote the moduli space of critically marked complex polynomials of degree d . We are interested in the postcritically finite (PCF) polynomials within MP_d^{cm} , that is, those polynomials whose critical points all have a finite forward orbit under iteration. Such maps play a fundamental role in the theory of polynomial dynamics. The PCF polynomials form a countable and Zariski-dense subset of MP_d^{cm} ; see Proposition 2.6 below. Our ultimate goal is to characterize algebraic subvarieties of MP_d^{cm} containing a Zariski-dense subset of PCF maps. In this paper, we take some concrete steps in this direction, focusing on certain kinds of algebraic curves in MP_d^{cm} . We also offer Conjecture 1.10 for the general setting of subvarieties in the space of rational functions.

The moduli space MP_d^{cm} is the space of complex polynomials of degree d modulo conjugacy by conformal automorphisms of \mathbb{C} . It is a finite quotient of $\mathcal{P}_d^{cm} \simeq \mathbb{C}^{d-1}$, the space of critically marked, monic and centered polynomials. Indeed, \mathcal{P}_d^{cm} may be parameterized by tuples $(c_1, \dots, c_{d-1}, b) \in \mathbb{C}^d$ such that $c_1 + \dots + c_{d-1} = 0$. The associated polynomial is given by $f(z) = d \cdot \int_0^z \prod_i (\zeta - c_i) d\zeta + b$, with critical points at $\{c_1, \dots, c_{d-1}\}$ and $b = f(0)$. Conjugating f by $z \mapsto \omega z$ where $\omega^{d-1} = 1$ induces an action of the cyclic group $\mathbb{Z}/(d-1)\mathbb{Z}$ on \mathcal{P}_d^{cm} (coordinatewise multiplication by ω), and the moduli space MP_d^{cm} is the quotient of \mathcal{P}_d^{cm} under this action.

1.1. Statement of the main results. To illustrate the idea, consider the following family of algebraic curves (introduced by Milnor in [Mil]) in the space of critically marked cubic polynomials:

$$\text{Per}_1(\lambda) = \{f \in \text{MP}_3^{cm} : f \text{ has a fixed point with multiplier } \lambda\}$$

for each $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$. (Recall that the multiplier of a fixed point is simply the derivative of f at the fixed point.)

THEOREM 1.1. *The curve $\text{Per}_1(\lambda)$ contains infinitely many postcritically finite cubic polynomials if and only if $\lambda = 0$.*

The idea of the proof is as follows. For $\lambda = 0$, one critical point is fixed for all $f \in \text{Per}_1(0)$, so there is exactly one ‘active’ critical point along each irreducible component of $\text{Per}_1(0)$. By a classical complex dynamics argument, the active critical point must have finite forward orbit for a dense set of parameters in the bifurcation locus, so there are infinitely many PCF polynomials $f \in \text{Per}_1(0)$. For the converse direction, assume there are infinitely many postcritically finite maps in $\text{Per}_1(\lambda)$. Then $\lambda \in \mathbb{Q}$, and we apply an arithmetic equidistribution theorem (Theorem 3.1) to conclude that these PCF maps are equidistributed with respect to the bifurcation measure of each bifurcating critical point. In particular, if $\lambda \neq 0$, then the two critical points define the same bifurcation measure along $\text{Per}_1(\lambda)$. But the two critical points are dynamically independent and must define distinct bifurcation measures, so we conclude that $\lambda = 0$. See Section 4 for details.

In general, we expect that an algebraic subvariety V in MP_d^{cm} contains a Zariski-dense subset of PCF maps if and only if V is cut out by critical orbit relations. Unfortunately, pinning down a precise notion of ‘critical orbit relation’ is a bit delicate, as we need to take into account the presence of nontrivial symmetries. In the next result, we provide a precise formulation for polynomially parameterized curves in the space $\mathcal{P}_d^{cm} \simeq \mathbb{C}^{d-1}$, a branched cover of MP_d^{cm} , consisting of all monic and centered polynomials with marked critical points. We emphasize the equivalence of statements (1) and (4) in Theorem 1.2 below.

In order to state the result, we first need the following definitions. A *holomorphic family* of polynomials is a holomorphic map $V \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_d^{cm}$, $t \mapsto f_t$, from a complex manifold V to the space of polynomials. A *marked point* along V is a meromorphic function $a: V \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$. The marked point a is said to be *passive* if the sequence of functions $\{t \mapsto f_t^n(a(t)) : n \geq 1\}$ forms a normal family on V ; otherwise it is *active*. In the algebraic setting, where V is quasiprojective and the family f_t is algebraic (and nonconstant), a marked *critical* point of f_t is passive if and only if it is persistently preperiodic [Mc1, Lemma 2.1], [DF, Theorem 2.5].

THEOREM 1.2. Fix $d \geq 2$. Let

$$f_t = (c_1(t), \dots, c_{d-1}(t), b(t)) \in \mathcal{P}_d^{cm}$$

be a holomorphic family of polynomials with marked critical points, defined for $t \in \mathbb{C}$, where each coordinate function lies in $\mathbb{C}[t]$. The following are equivalent:

- (1) f_t is postcritically finite for infinitely many parameters t ;
- (2) for every pair of active critical points c_i and c_j , the normalized bifurcation measures are equal;
- (3) the connectedness locus for $\{f_t\}$ is equal to

$$M_i = \left\{ t : \sup_n |f_t^n(c_i(t))| < \infty \right\}$$

for any choice of active critical point c_i ;

- (4) for every pair of active critical points c_i and c_j , there exist a polynomial $h_t(z) \in \mathbb{C}[t, z]$ and integers $k > 0, n, m \geq 0$, such that

$$h_t \circ f_t^k = f_t^k \circ h_t \quad \text{and} \quad f_t^m(c_j(t)) = h_t(f_t^m(c_i(t)))$$

for all t .

In plain English, the equivalence of (1) and (4) means that there is a Zariski-dense set of parameters $t \in \mathbb{C}$ for which f_t is PCF if and only if there is exactly one active critical orbit, up to symmetries (the h term). In particular, the critical point c_i has finite orbit for f_t if and only if c_j has finite orbit for f_t . If $\deg_z h = 1$, then h_t must be a symmetry of the Julia set of f_t ; these were classified in [Be1]. If $\deg_z h > 1$, then h_t must share an iterate with f_t for all t [Ri2]; it follows that condition (4) is symmetric in i and j . In Section 1.3, we provide examples of polynomial families f_t satisfying the conditions of Theorem 1.2, and we illustrate how we can use Theorem 1.2 to conclude that there are only finitely many postcritically finite maps in certain explicit families.

Theorem 1.2 is a special case of the following result which concerns marked (but not necessarily critical) points which are simultaneously preperiodic.

THEOREM 1.3. Let f_t be a one-parameter family of polynomials of degree $d \geq 2$, parameterized as

$$f_t(z) = z^d + b_2(t)z^{d-2} + \dots + b_d(t)$$

with $b_j(t) \in \mathbb{C}[t]$ for each j . Let $a_1(t), a_2(t) \in \mathbb{C}[t]$ be a pair of active marked points, and define

$$S_i := \{t \in \mathbb{C} : a_i(t) \text{ is preperiodic for } f_t\}.$$

The following are equivalent:

- (1) $|S_1 \cap S_2| = \infty$;
- (2) $S_1 = S_2$; and
- (3) there exist a polynomial $h \in \mathbb{C}[t, z]$ and integers $k > 0, n, m \geq 0$ such that

$$h_t \circ f_t^k = f_t^k \circ h_t \quad \text{and} \quad f_t^n(a_1(t)) = h_t(f_t^m(a_2(t)))$$

for all t .

Theorem 1.3 is an extension of the results [BD, Theorem 1.1] and [GHT1, Theorem 2.3], where stronger hypotheses guaranteed that the symmetries $\{h_t\}$ must be trivial. The article [GHT2] is closely related, showing that (1) \iff (2) for certain families of rational functions. As in the case of marked critical points, the activity of $a_i(t)$ in Theorem 1.3 is equivalent to the statement that a_i is not persistently preperiodic (Proposition 2.1).

The idea behind our proof of Theorem 1.3 is as follows. If we assume condition (3), then (2) follows immediately and (1) follows from Montel’s theorem, showing that an active point must have finite orbit at infinitely many parameters t . For the implication (1) \implies (3), we begin by applying an arithmetic equidistribution theorem (Theorem 3.1) that implies an ‘almost (2)’ statement: S_1 and S_2 can differ by at most finitely many elements. This step, which uses Berkovich analytic spaces in a crucial way, appeared in [GHT1] and we refer the reader there for details.

To complete the proof that (1) implies (3), we use classical techniques from complex analysis to, first, deduce an analytic relation between the orbits of a_1 and a_2 and, then, promote this to an invariant algebraic relation. Finally, via recent results of Medvedev and Scanlon [MS], employing methods of Ritt [Ri1] to classify invariant subvarieties for a certain class of polynomial dynamical systems, we may simplify the form of our algebraic relation to the statement of condition (3).

Theorem 1.1 is not a special case of Theorems 1.2 and 1.3, because the rational curves $\text{Per}_1(\lambda)$ in \mathcal{P}_3^{cm} are not parameterized by polynomials for $\lambda \neq 0$.

1.2. Motivation from results in arithmetic geometry. In arithmetic geometry, there are numerous results which fit into the following paradigm. One is given a complex algebraic variety X and a countable Zariski-dense collection of ‘special’ algebraic points on X . The question then arises of which algebraic subvarieties of X can contain a Zariski-dense set of special points. Usually one knows a family of ‘special subvarieties’ of X which do contain a Zariski-dense set of special points, and the problem is to determine whether an arbitrary subvariety of X containing a Zariski-dense set of special points must itself be special.

The canonical example of this paradigm is the ‘Manin–Mumford conjecture’, first established by Raynaud [Ra1, Ra2]. If X is an abelian variety then the torsion points of X are countable and Zariski dense, and if Y is a torsion subvariety of X (meaning a translate of an abelian subvariety by a torsion point) then Y contains a dense set of torsion points. Conversely, Raynaud’s theorem asserts that if an algebraic subvariety Y of X contains a Zariski-dense set of torsion points, then Y must be a torsion subvariety. An analogous result when X is an algebraic torus (so that torsion points are algebraic points of X whose coordinates are all roots of unity) was proved by Laurent, and extended to semiabelian varieties by Hindry [Hi, La].

A more recent (and in general still conjectural) illustration of the special point and special subvariety formalism is the ‘André–Oort conjecture’; see for example [An, Pi]. If X is a Shimura variety then the CM points form a countable dense set of algebraic points on X , and likewise for any Shimura subvariety Y of X . The André–Oort conjecture asserts conversely that an algebraic subvariety containing a dense set of CM points must be special, that is, a Shimura subvariety. A concrete special case of this conjecture, proved by André, is that an irreducible algebraic curve Y in $X = \mathbb{C}^2$ containing a Zariski-dense set of points whose coordinates are both j -invariants of CM elliptic curves must be either horizontal, vertical, or a modular curve $X_0(N)$.

Ghioca, Tucker, and Zhang have put forth some conjectural dynamical analogs of the Manin–Mumford conjecture [GTZ]. The main results and conjectures in the present paper can be thought of as dynamical analogs of the André–Oort conjecture. The Shimura varieties, which for our purposes can be thought of as moduli spaces for abelian varieties with certain additional structure, get replaced by moduli spaces for polynomial dynamical systems, and CM points get replaced by PCF maps. As in some approaches to the Manin–Mumford and André–Oort conjectures, equidistribution theorems for Galois orbits of special points play a crucial role in our approach to the dynamical version of these problems.

1.3. Examples. We now provide examples to illustrate Theorem 1.2. The first few are basic examples of families satisfying the conditions of Theorem 1.2. We include examples where the symmetries h_t are necessarily nontrivial. We conclude with two examples illustrating how Theorem 1.2 might be used to show that there are only finitely many postcritically finite maps in a given family.

EXAMPLE 1.4 (Infinitely many postcritically finite maps in degree two). In degree two, there is a unique critical point, so the space $\text{MP}_2^{cm} \simeq \mathcal{P}_2^{cm}$ is itself of dimension one. The polynomial $f_t(z) = z^2 + t$ is postcritically finite if and only

if t satisfies the polynomial equation

$$f_t^n(0) = f_t^m(0)$$

for some $n > m$. There are infinitely many such t ; in fact, a simple argument involving Montel's theorem shows that they accumulate everywhere in the boundary of the Mandelbrot set.

EXAMPLE 1.5 (Maps with an automorphism). Let $f_t(z) = z^3 - 3t^2z$; so $c_1(t) = t$, $c_2(t) = -t$. The orbits of c_1 and c_2 are generally disjoint, though they are symmetric by $h_t(z) = -z$. That is, we have $h_t \circ f_t = f_t \circ h_t$ and

$$f_t^n(c_1(t)) = h_t(f_t^n(c_2(t)))$$

for all t and any choice of $n \geq 0$. There are infinitely many postcritically finite maps in this family.

EXAMPLE 1.6 (Symmetry of the Julia set). Let $f_t(z) = z^2(z^3 - t^3)$. The Julia set of f_t has a symmetry of order three, but f_t has no nontrivial automorphisms for $t \neq 0$. Set $\beta = (2/5)^{1/3}$ and choose $\zeta \neq 1$ so that $\zeta^3 = 1$. Then f_t has a fixed critical point at $c_1(t) = 0$ for all t , and the other critical points are $c_2(t) = \beta t$, $c_3(t) = \zeta\beta t$, $c_4(t) = \zeta^2\beta t$. Then $f_t(\zeta z) = \zeta^2 f_t(z)$ for all t , so $h(z) = \zeta z$ commutes with the second iterate f_t^2 and

$$f_t^2(c_3(t)) = \zeta f_t^2(c_2(t)), \quad f_t^2(c_4(t)) = \zeta f_t^2(c_3(t)) \quad \text{and} \quad f_t^2(c_2(t)) = \zeta f_t^2(c_4(t))$$

for all t . There are infinitely many postcritically finite maps in this family.

EXAMPLE 1.7 (Symmetry h of degree > 1). Let $g_t(z) = z^2 - t^2$ and $f_t(z) = g_t^2(z) = (z^2 - t^2)^2 - t^2$ of degree four, with $c_1(t) = 0$, $c_2(t) = t$, $c_3(t) = -t$. None of the critical points are persistently periodic, and there are infinitely many postcritically finite parameters for the family f_t (being just the second iterate of the quadratic family). The critical points c_2 and c_3 land on c_1 after one iterate of g_t , but their orbits under f_t are disjoint from the orbit of c_1 for all $t \neq 0$; however, if we set $h(t, z) = g_t(z)$, then $f_t \circ h_t = h_t \circ f_t$ for all t , with

$$f_t(c_2(t)) = f_t(c_3(t)) \quad \text{and} \quad h_t(c_i(t)) = c_1(t)$$

for all t and $i = 2, 3$.

EXAMPLE 1.8 (Finitely many PCF polynomials). In the example of Figure 1, the boundaries of the sets M_1 and M_2 appear to have a great deal of overlap. Recall that the parameters where critical point c_i has finite forward orbit are dense in the boundary of M_i (or see Lemma 2.4). However, there are only finitely many




Figure 1. Left: the connectedness locus for $f_t(z) = z^3 - 3t^2z + 0.56$, of Example 1.8, is shown in black in the region $\{|\operatorname{Re} t|, |\operatorname{Im} t| \leq 1.2\}$; gray indicates that only one critical point remains bounded under iteration. Right: the boundedness locus M_1 for the critical point $c_1(t) = t$ is shown in black. The boundedness locus M_2 for $c_2(t) = -t$ is the image of M_1 under $t \mapsto -t$. The support of the bifurcation measure μ_i is the boundary of M_i , $i = 1, 2$.

PCF maps, where both critical points have finite forward orbit, by condition (3) of Theorem 1.2. Indeed, there are obvious gray regions in the picture at left, where one critical point remains bounded while the other escapes to infinity.

EXAMPLE 1.9 (Finitely many PCF polynomials). In the family $f_t(z) = z^3 - 3t^2z + i$, we can employ condition (4) of Theorem 1.2 to show that (1) fails. Specifically, if (4) were to hold, the critical point at t would be preperiodic if and only if the critical point at $-t$ is preperiodic. So it suffices to find a single parameter t_0 at which one critical point is preperiodic while the other has infinite forward orbit. For the parameter $t_0 = i$, the critical point at $-i$ is fixed while the critical point at i lies in the basin of infinity.

1.4. A conjecture for postcritically finite rational maps. Let $\{f_t : t \in V\}$ be an N -dimensional algebraic family of critically marked rational maps of degree $d \geq 2$. In other words, V is a quasiprojective complex algebraic variety, the map $t \mapsto f_t$ defines a regular map $V \rightarrow \operatorname{Rat}_d \subset \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^{2d+1}$ to the space of rational functions on \mathbb{P}^1 of degree d , and the image of V in the moduli space M_d has dimension N , where M_d is the quotient of Rat_d by the conjugation action of $\operatorname{PSL}_2\mathbb{C}$.

Furthermore, the critical points of f_i are the images of regular maps

$$c_i: V \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$$

for $i = 1, \dots, 2d - 2$. A family as above defines a rational function $\mathbf{f}: \mathbb{P}_k^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_k^1$ of degree d (where $k = \mathbb{C}(V)$ is the function field of V) with critical points $c_i \in \mathbb{P}^1(k)$, $i = 1, \dots, 2d - 2$.

If PCF maps play the role of the ‘special points’ in the space of rational maps, then the following conjecture provides a characterization of the ‘special subvarieties’ in the space of critically marked rational maps Rat_d^{cm} . An n -tuple of marked critical points $(c_{i_1}, \dots, c_{i_n})$ is said to have *dynamically dependent orbits* if there exists a nonzero algebraic relation $\{\mathbf{P} = 0\} \subset (\mathbb{P}_k^1)^n$, which is invariant under the map $(\mathbf{f}, \dots, \mathbf{f})$, such that

$$\mathbf{P}(c_{i_1}, \dots, c_{i_n}) = 0.$$

Otherwise, we say that the n critical points are *dynamically independent* on V . Invariance of X under a map F means that $F(X) \subset X$. Note that when $n = 1$, this definition states that a single critical point is dynamically independent (from itself) if and only if it has infinite orbit for \mathbf{f} ; that is, if and only if it is active. Moreover, for any n , dynamical independence of n critical points forces all n critical points to be active.

CONJECTURE 1.10. *Suppose $\{f_t: t \in V\}$ is an N -dimensional algebraic family of critically marked rational maps of degree $d \geq 2$, with V irreducible. Then f_t is postcritically finite for a Zariski-dense subset of $t \in V$ if and only if there are at most N dynamically independent critical points on V .*

In Theorem 1.2, our conclusion (4) is stronger than that of Conjecture 1.10 because we can appeal to the classification results of Medvedev and Scanlon [MS] to obtain a more precise form for the relation \mathbf{P} .

One implication of Conjecture 1.10 (dynamical dependence of any active $(N + 1)$ -tuple of critical points implies Zariski density of PCF maps) follows easily from an argument mimicking the proof of Proposition 2.6 and the following observation. If $N + 1$ critical points have dynamically dependent orbits along V , and if N of them have finite forward orbits at a given parameter $t \in V$, then the $(N + 1)$ -st critical point will also have finite orbit at t .

We remark that the flexible Lattès maps, in the case where d is a square, form a one-dimensional algebraic family of postcritically finite maps. Thus, the use of ‘at most N ’ in Conjecture 1.10 (rather than ‘exactly N ’) is necessary. By Thurston’s rigidity theorem, this is the only positive-dimensional family with no active critical points; see [Mc1, Theorem 2.2], [DH].

2. Activity and normal families

In this section we prove the ‘easy’ implications in Theorem 1.3; the key ingredient is Montel’s theory of normal families. In Proposition 2.1, we show that a marked point is passive if and only if it is persistently preperiodic. We conclude the section with a proof that the PCF polynomials form a countable and Zariski-dense subset of \mathcal{P}_d^{cm} (Proposition 2.6).

2.1. Activity and bifurcation. Let f_t be a holomorphic family of polynomials of degree $d \geq 2$, parameterized by $t \in \mathbb{C}$. Let $a: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be a holomorphic function. Let

$$G_t(z) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{d^n} \log^+ |f_t^n(z)| \tag{2.1}$$

denote the escape-rate function for f_t . Associated with the marked point a is a *bifurcation measure*

$$\mu_a = \frac{1}{2\pi} \Delta G_t(a(t)), \tag{2.2}$$

where the Laplacian is with respect to t , taken in the sense of distributions.

The name ‘bifurcation measure’ comes from the special case where $a(t)$ is a critical point of f_t for all t . In that case, the support of μ_a coincides with the activity locus of the critical point, the set of parameters where the critical point is ‘passing through’ the Julia set of f_t [MSS]. See [De1, DF] for background on bifurcation currents. Similarly for any marked point, the support of the measure can be characterized by a bifurcation in its dynamical properties; see for example [De2, Theorem 9.1].

Recall that a point $a(t)$ is passive if the sequence of functions $t \mapsto f_t^n(a(t))$, $n \geq 0$, forms a normal family for $t \in \mathbb{C}$; otherwise the point a is active. For the special case where $a(t)$ is a critical point of f_t , the following proposition was established in [DM, Proposition 10.4] (and a version for rational functions was proved in [DF, Theorem 2.5]). We give a different proof, appealing to properties of the function-field height of f_t .

PROPOSITION 2.1. *Let f_t be a family of polynomials, parameterized polynomially as*

$$f_t(z) = z^d + b_2(t)z^{d-2} + \dots + b_d(t)$$

with $b_j(t) \in \mathbb{C}[t]$ for each j . Fix a marked point $a(t) \in \mathbb{C}[t]$. The following are equivalent:

- (1) $a(t)$ is active;
- (2) there exists a parameter $t_0 \in \mathbb{C}$ for which the forward orbit of $a(t_0)$ under f_{t_0} is infinite;
- (3) $G_t(a(t)) = q \log |t| + O(1)$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$, for some positive $q \in \mathbb{Z}[1/d]$; and
- (4) the bifurcation measure

$$\mu_a = \frac{1}{2\pi} \Delta G_t(a(t))$$

is nonzero.

Proof. We begin with the most delicate implication, that (2) implies (3). View $\mathbf{f} = \{f_t\}$ as a polynomial defined over the function field $k = \mathbb{C}(t)$, so $\mathbf{f} \in k[z]$ and $\mathbf{a} = a(t) \in \mathbb{P}^1(k)$. Assuming condition (2), the point \mathbf{a} is not preperiodic for \mathbf{f} . Note that \mathbf{f} is not isotrivial, as f_t is affine conjugate to f_s for only finitely many values of s (where $f_s(z) = \zeta^{-1} f_t(\zeta z)$ with $\zeta^{d-1} = 1$). We may therefore apply [Be2, Theorem B] to conclude that the function-field height of \mathbf{a} is positive. That is,

$$\hat{h}_{\mathbf{f}}(a) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{d^n} \log(\deg_t f_t^n(a(t))) > 0$$

so, in particular,

$$\deg_t f_t^n(a(t)) \rightarrow \infty$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$; see Remark 2.2 for more information. Choose n_0 such that $m_0 := \deg_t f_t^{n_0}(a(t)) > \max_j \deg_t b_j(t)$. Then for all $n \geq 0$,

$$\deg_t f_t^{n+n_0}(a(t)) = m_0 d^n.$$

This shows that (2) implies (3) with

$$q = \frac{m_0}{d^{n_0}}.$$

Condition (1) clearly implies condition (2) (since the field \mathbb{C} is uncountable). Condition (3) implies condition (4), because the function $G_t(a(t))$ cannot be harmonic on all of \mathbb{C} if it has nontrivial logarithmic growth. If $\{t \mapsto f_t^n(a(t))\}$ were normal on \mathbb{C} , then there would be a subsequence $f_t^{n_k}(a(t))$ that converges locally uniformly in \mathbb{C} to an entire function or to the constant infinity. But then the escape rate $G_t(a(t))$ would be everywhere 0 or everywhere infinite. In particular, the measure μ_a would be trivial. So (4) \Rightarrow (1) and the circuit of implications is closed. □

REMARK 2.2. We explain briefly the relation between function-field height and degree growth. Recall that if $k = \mathbb{C}(t)$ with its standard product formula structure

and $\mathbf{f} \in \mathbb{C}[t, z]$ has degree d as a polynomial in $k[z]$, the canonical height $\hat{h}_{\mathbf{f}}: \mathbb{P}^1(\bar{k}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ is defined for $a \in \mathbb{C}[t]$ by

$$\hat{h}_{\mathbf{f}}(a) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{d^n} \sum_{v \in \mathcal{M}_k} \log^+ |\mathbf{f}^n(a)|_v.$$

We can identify \mathcal{M}_k with $\mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\}$. For an absolute value v corresponding to a point $z \in \mathbb{C}$, we have $\log^+ |\mathbf{f}^n(a)|_v = 0$ since $\log |\mathbf{f}^n(a)|_v = -\text{ord}_z(\mathbf{f}^n(a)) \leq 0$. For v corresponding to the point at infinity, we have $\log^+ |\mathbf{f}^n(a)|_v = \log |\mathbf{f}^n(a)|_{\infty} = \text{deg}(\mathbf{f}^n(a)) \geq 0$. Thus

$$\hat{h}_{\mathbf{f}}(a) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{d^n} \text{deg}(\mathbf{f}^n(a)).$$

REMARK 2.3. When the conditions of Proposition 2.1 are satisfied, the measure μ_a will be compactly supported in the parameter space \mathbb{C} . Indeed, the function $G_t(a(t))$ is necessarily harmonic where it is positive, as it is a locally uniform limit of harmonic functions. The set

$$M_a = \{t \in \mathbb{C} : \sup_n |f_t^n(a(t))| < \infty\} = \{t \in \mathbb{C} : G_t(a(t)) = 0\}$$

will be compact. Up to a multiplicative constant (namely, the q of condition (3)), $t \mapsto G_t(a(t))$ defines the Green’s function for M_a with respect to infinity, and μ_a (up to scale) is the harmonic measure of M_a with respect to infinity.

2.2. Normality and preperiodic points. Using Montel’s theory of normal families, it is straightforward to prove that the conditions of Proposition 2.1 guarantee infinitely many parameters for which $a(t)$ has finite forward orbit. For a proof of Montel’s theorem, see [Mi2, Section 3].

LEMMA 2.4. *Suppose $a: \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is holomorphic and $f: \mathbb{D} \times \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ defines a holomorphic family of polynomials of degree $d \geq 2$, parameterized by the unit disk \mathbb{D} . Suppose that a is active. Then there exists a sequence of distinct parameters $t_n \in \mathbb{D}$ for which $a(t_n)$ is preperiodic for f_{t_n} for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. In fact, we can choose the parameter t_n such that $a(t_n)$ lands on a repelling cycle of f_{t_n} for each n .*

Proof. Let U be the largest open set in \mathbb{D} on which $\{t \mapsto f_t^n(a(t))\}_{n \geq 1}$ is normal; it might be empty, and by the definition of active we know that $U \neq \mathbb{D}$. Choose $t_0 \in \mathbb{D} \setminus U$, and let $\{p_1(t_0), p_2(t_0), \dots, p_r(t_0)\}$ be any repelling cycle for f_{t_0} of period $r > 1$. By the implicit function theorem, the repelling cycle persists for t in a small neighborhood of t_0 ; let $p_i(t)$ denote the i th point in the corresponding repelling cycle for f_t . Note, in particular, that $p_1(t) \neq p_2(t)$ for all t near t_0 .

The failure of normality on \mathbb{D} and Montel’s theorem imply there exist a parameter $t_1 \in \mathbb{D}$ and an integer $k > 1$ such that

$$f_{t_1}^k(a(t_1)) \in \{p_1(t_1), p_2(t_1)\}.$$

That is, the point $a(t_1)$ is preperiodic for f_{t_1} and the cycle that it lands on is repelling. Now we repeat the argument: choose any repelling cycle for f_{t_0} of period $r_2 > r$ and follow it holomorphically in a small neighborhood of t_0 . We obtain a parameter t_2 such that $a(t_2)$ lands on a repelling cycle for f_{t_2} . As f_{t_0} has repelling cycles of arbitrarily high period, we may repeat the argument indefinitely. By induction, we obtain a sequence $\{t_1, t_2, t_3 \dots\}$ of parameters where $a(t_n)$ is preperiodic for f_{t_n} , and for each n , $a(t_n)$ lands on a repelling cycle of period $r_n > r_{n-1}$. □

PROPOSITION 2.5. *Let f_t be a one-parameter family of polynomials as in Theorem 1.3, and suppose that active points $a_1(t), a_2(t) \in \mathbb{C}[t]$ satisfy condition (3) of the theorem. Then both conditions (1) and (2) are satisfied.*

Proof. Because h_t commutes with the iterate f_t^k for all t , condition (3) implies immediately that a_1 has finite orbit for f_t if and only if a_2 has finite orbit for f_t . Thus, condition (2) holds. For condition (1), it suffices to show that the orbit of $a_1(t)$ is finite for infinitely many parameters t . This is an immediate consequence of Lemma 2.4. □

2.3. Countability and density of PCF maps. To conclude this section, we provide a proof that the set of PCF maps forms a countable and Zariski-dense subset of the moduli space of (critically marked) polynomials of degree d . A sketched proof of density appears in [Si, Proposition 6.18], based on the transversality results of Adam Epstein (as appearing in [BE]), for the corresponding statement in the space of all rational functions of degree d . We provide a more direct argument for density here, from the equivalence of persistently preperiodic and normality of iterates, as first appeared in [Mc1, Lemma 2.1]. A similar proof shows that PCF maps are Zariski dense in the moduli space of rational maps. The argument that the set of PCF maps is countable (after excluding the flexible Lattès maps) requires Thurston’s rigidity theorem in the case of rational maps, while we can appeal to compactness of the connectedness locus for polynomials.

PROPOSITION 2.6. *The PCF polynomials form a countable, Zariski-dense subset of MP_d^{cm} . The coordinates of each PCF polynomial in $\overline{\mathcal{P}_d^{cm}}$ lie in $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$.*

Proof. It is convenient to work in the space $\mathcal{P}_d^{cm} \simeq \mathbb{C}^{d-1}$, a branched cover of MP_d^{cm} of degree $d - 1$. A postcritically finite polynomial $f \in \mathcal{P}_d^{cm}$ is a solution

to $d - 1$ equations of the form

$$f^{n_i}(c_i) = f^{m_i}(c_i)$$

for integers $n_i < m_i$, $i = 1, \dots, d - 1$. As equations in the coordinates of \mathcal{P}_d^{cm} , they are polynomials defined over \mathbb{Q} . Each postcritically finite polynomial has connected Julia set; and the connectedness locus is compact in \mathcal{P}_d^{cm} [BH1, Corollary 3.7]. Consequently, the PCF maps form a countable union of algebraic sets, each contained in a compact subset of \mathcal{P}_d^{cm} . As any compact affine variety is finite, the collection of PCF maps is countable, and each is defined over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$.

We now show Zariski density. Let S be any proper algebraic subvariety of \mathcal{P}_d^{cm} , and let Λ be its complement. It suffices to show that there exists a PCF polynomial in Λ . Consider the critical point c_1 . Either it is preperiodic along the quasiprojective variety Λ or it is active; see [Mc1, Lemma 2.1] or [DF, Theorem 2.5]. In either case, by applying Montel’s theorem if active (as in Lemma 2.4 above), there exists a parameter $\lambda_1 \in \Lambda$ where c_1 is preperiodic. Suppose c_1 satisfies the equation $f^{n_1}(c_1) = f^{m_1}(c_1)$ at the parameter λ_1 . Let $\Lambda_1 \subset \Lambda$ be the subvariety defined by this equation. Then Λ_1 is a nonempty quasiprojective variety, of codimension ≤ 1 in \mathcal{P}_d^{cm} , and c_1 is persistently preperiodic along Λ_1 .

We continue inductively. Suppose Λ_k is a quasiprojective subvariety in \mathcal{P}_d^{cm} of codimension $\leq k$ on which c_1, \dots, c_k are persistently preperiodic. If c_{k+1} is persistently preperiodic along Λ_k , set $\Lambda_{k+1} = \Lambda_k$. If not, apply Lemma 2.4 to find a parameter $\lambda_{k+1} \in \Lambda_k$ where c_{k+1} is preperiodic, and define $\Lambda_{k+1} \subset \Lambda_k$ by the critical orbit relation satisfied by c_{k+1} at λ_{k+1} . Then Λ_{k+1} has codimension at most $k + 1$ in Λ , and the first $k + 1$ critical points are persistently preperiodic along Λ_{k+1} . In particular, Λ_{d-1} is a nonempty subset of Λ and consists of PCF polynomials. □

REMARK 2.7. Another proof of the Zariski density in Proposition 2.6 follows from the following theorem of Dujardin and Favre: the closure of the set of postcritically finite polynomials (in the usual analytic topology) contains the support of the bifurcation measure in MP_d^{cm} [DF, Corollary 6]. The bifurcation measure μ_{bif} cannot charge pluripolar sets [DF, Proposition 6.11], and so the PCF maps are Zariski dense.

3. Arithmetic equidistribution

In this section we recall a general arithmetic equidistribution theorem which will be used in the sequel. We state this result in a form which is a hybrid of the terminologies from [BR, FRL]; the proof follows directly from the arguments in

either of those works. (A closely related equidistribution theorem was proved independently by Chambert-Loir [CL].) The result is most naturally formulated using Berkovich spaces; see [BR] for an overview.

Let k be a *product formula field*. This means that k is equipped with a set \mathcal{M}_k of pairwise inequivalent nontrivial absolute values, together with a positive integer N_v for each $v \in \mathcal{M}_k$, such that:

- (1) for each $\alpha \in k^\times$, we have $|\alpha|_v = 1$ for all but finitely many $v \in \mathcal{M}_k$; and
- (2) every $\alpha \in k^\times$ satisfies the *product formula*

$$\prod_{v \in \mathcal{M}_k} |\alpha|_v^{N_v} = 1.$$

Examples of product formula fields are number fields and function fields of normal projective varieties.

Let \bar{k} (respectively k^{sep}) denote a fixed algebraic (respectively separable) closure of k . For $v \in \mathcal{M}_k$, let k_v be the completion of k at v , let \bar{k}_v be an algebraic closure of k_v , and let \mathbb{C}_v denote the completion of \bar{k}_v . For each $v \in \mathcal{M}_k$, we fix an embedding of \bar{k} in \mathbb{C}_v extending the canonical embedding of k in k_v . For each $v \in \mathcal{M}_k$, we let $\mathbb{P}_{\text{Berk},v}^1$ denote the Berkovich projective line over \mathbb{C}_v , which is a canonically defined path-connected compact Hausdorff space containing $\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C}_v)$ as a dense subspace. If v is Archimedean, then $\mathbb{C}_v \cong \mathbb{C}$ and $\mathbb{P}_{\text{Berk},v}^1 = \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C})$.

For each $v \in \mathcal{M}_k$ there is a naturally defined distribution-valued Laplacian operator Δ on $\mathbb{P}_{\text{Berk},v}^1$. For example, the function $\log^+|z|_v$ on $\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C}_v)$ extends naturally to a continuous real-valued function $\mathbb{P}_{\text{Berk},v}^1 \setminus \{\infty\} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and

$$\Delta \log^+|z|_v = \delta_\infty - \lambda_v,$$

where λ_v is the uniform probability measure on the complex unit circle $\{|z| = 1\}$ when v is Archimedean and λ_v is a point mass at the Gauss point of $\mathbb{P}_{\text{Berk},v}^1$ when v is non-Archimedean.

A probability measure μ_v on $\mathbb{P}_{\text{Berk},v}^1$ is said to have *continuous potentials* if $\mu_v - \lambda_v = \Delta g$ with $g: \mathbb{P}_{\text{Berk},v}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ continuous. If μ has continuous potentials then there is a corresponding *Arakelov–Green function* $g_\mu: \mathbb{P}_{\text{Berk},v}^1 \times \mathbb{P}_{\text{Berk},v}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$ which is characterized by the differential equation $\Delta_x g_\mu(x, y) = \delta_y - \mu$ and the normalization $\iint g_\mu(x, y) d\mu(x) d\mu(y) = 0$. The function g_μ is finite-valued and continuous outside of

$$\text{Diag}_v := \{(z, z) \in \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C}_v) \times \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C}_v)\} \subseteq \mathbb{P}_{\text{Berk},v}^1 \times \mathbb{P}_{\text{Berk},v}^1.$$

If ρ, ρ' are measures on $\mathbb{P}_{\text{Berk},v}^1$ and $\mu = \mu_v$ is a probability measure with continuous potentials, we define the μ -energy of ρ and ρ' by

$$(\rho, \rho')_\mu := \frac{1}{2} \iint_{\mathbb{P}_{\text{Berk},v}^1 \times \mathbb{P}_{\text{Berk},v}^1 \setminus \text{Diag}_v} g_\mu(x, y) d\rho(x) d\rho'(y).$$

One can show that if ρ and ρ' have total mass zero then $((\rho, \rho')) := (\rho, \rho')_\mu$ is independent of μ ; in this case our definition and notation coincide with those of Favre and Rivera-Letelier [FRL].

An *adelic measure* on \mathbb{P}^1 (with respect to the product formula field k) is a collection $\mu = \{\mu_v\}_{v \in \mathcal{M}_k}$ of probability measures on $\mathbb{P}_{\text{Berk},v}^1$, one for each $v \in \mathcal{M}_k$, such that:

- (1) $\mu_v = \lambda_v$ for all but finitely many $v \in \mathcal{M}_k$; and
- (2) μ_v has continuous potentials for all $v \in \mathcal{M}_k$.

For a finite subset S of $\mathbb{P}^1(k^{\text{sep}})$ and $v \in \mathcal{M}_k$, we denote by $[S]_v$ the discrete probability measure on $\mathbb{P}_{\text{Berk},v}^1$ supported equally on all elements of the $\text{Gal}(k^{\text{sep}}/k)$ -orbit of S . The *canonical height* of S associated with the adelic measure μ is defined by

$$\hat{h}_\mu(S) := \sum_{v \in \mathcal{M}_k} N_v \cdot ([S]_v, [S]_v)_{\mu_v}.$$

(For a justification of the term ‘canonical height’, see for example [BR, Lemma 10.27].) This is a Weil height function, in the sense that there is a constant C such that $|h(z) - \hat{h}_\mu(z)| \leq C$ for all $z \in k^{\text{sep}}$, where h is the standard logarithmic height on \mathbb{P}^1 .

THEOREM 3.1 [BR, FRL]. *Let \hat{h}_μ be the canonical height associated with an adelic measure μ . Let $\{S_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ be a sequence of finite subsets of $\mathbb{P}^1(k^{\text{sep}})$ for which*

$$\#(\text{Gal}(k^{\text{sep}}/k) \cdot S_n) \rightarrow \infty \quad \text{and} \quad \hat{h}_\mu(S_n) \rightarrow 0$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Then $[S_n]_v$ converges weakly to μ_v on $\mathbb{P}_{\text{Berk},v}^1$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ for all $v \in \mathcal{M}_k$.

REMARK 3.2. When k is a number field, Theorem 3.1 is essentially the same as [FRL, Theorem 2]. Special cases of Theorem 3.1, for arbitrary k , are proved in [BR, Theorems 7.52 and 10.24]. It is straightforward to prove the general case of Theorem 3.1 (for arbitrary k) by using [BR, Lemma 7.55] in conjunction with the proof of [FRL, Theorem 2], as in the proof of [BR, Theorem 7.52].

REMARK 3.3. If k is a number field and S_n is the set of $\text{Gal}(\bar{k}/k)$ -conjugates of z_n , then $\#S_n \rightarrow \infty$ follows automatically from the assumption that $\hat{h}_\mu(S_n) \rightarrow 0$ by Northcott’s theorem and the fact that h_μ is a Weil height.

In order to apply Theorem 3.1 in practice, one often needs to know how to explicitly compute the Arakelov–Green functions $g_{\mu_v}(x, y)$ for $v \in \mathcal{M}_k$. There is a particularly nice way to do this when each μ_v is the equilibrium measure of

a compact set $E_v \subset \mathbb{A}_{\text{Berk},v}^1$, which will always be the case for the applications in the present paper. In order to explain how this works, we introduce some terminology.

Fix a place v of k and suppose that μ_v is the equilibrium measure for a compact set $E_v \subset \mathbb{A}_{\text{Berk},v}^1$. Let $G_v: \mathbb{A}_{\text{Berk},v}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be the Green’s function for E_v , which by assumption is continuous (that is, we assume that E_v is a *regular* set). Let γ_v be the Robin constant of E_v , so the logarithmic capacity of E_v is $e^{-\gamma_v}$ and $G_v(s) = \log |s|_v + \gamma_v + o(1)$ as $s \rightarrow \infty$.

Define $H_v: \mathbb{C}_v^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$H_v(s, t) = \begin{cases} G_v(s/t) + \log |t|_v & t \neq 0 \\ \log |s|_v + \gamma_v & t = 0. \end{cases}$$

Then H_v is continuous and scales logarithmically, that is, $H_v(\alpha s, \alpha t) = H_v(s, t) + \log |\alpha|_v$.

The following formula comes from a straightforward calculation which we omit.

PROPOSITION 3.4. *The normalized Arakelov–Green function $g_{\mu_v}(x, y)$ with respect to μ_v is given, for $x, y \in \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C}_v)$, by the explicit formula*

$$g_{\mu_v}(x, y) = -\log |\tilde{x} \wedge \tilde{y}|_v + H_v(\tilde{x}) + H_v(\tilde{y}) - \gamma_v, \tag{3.1}$$

where \tilde{x}, \tilde{y} are arbitrary lifts of x, y to $\mathbb{C}_v^2 \setminus \{0\}$ and $(s_1, t_1) \wedge (s_2, t_2) = s_1 t_2 - s_2 t_1$.

REMARK 3.5. For v Archimedean, the fact that $g_{\mu_v}(x, y)$ is normalized implies (and in fact is equivalent to) the statement that $e^{-\gamma_v}$ is the homogeneous capacity (in the sense of [De2]) of the set $K = \{(s, t) \in \mathbb{C}^2: H \leq 0\}$. This is proved in a slightly more roundabout way in [De2, Section 4].

Applying the product formula to (3.1), we obtain:

COROLLARY 3.6. *Let $\mu = \{\mu_v\}_{v \in \mathcal{M}_k}$ be an adelic measure such that μ_v is the equilibrium measure associated with a compact set $E_v \subset \mathbb{A}_{\text{Berk},v}^1$ for all $v \in \mathcal{M}_k$. Assume that the global Robin constant $\gamma := \sum N_v \gamma_v$ is zero. Let $S \subset k$ be a $\text{Gal}(k^{\text{sep}}/k)$ -stable finite set such that $G_v(z) = 0$ for every $v \in \mathcal{M}_k$ and every $z \in S$. Then $h_\mu(S) = 0$.*

4. Cubic polynomials and fixed point multipliers

Our goal in this section is to prove Theorem 1.1. We begin with the ‘easy’ implication, as in Section 2, and prove in Proposition 4.1 that there are infinitely many PCF maps in $\text{Per}_1(0)$. We then show that for each $\lambda \neq 0$, there are only




Figure 2. At left: an illustration of the connectedness locus in one component of $\text{Per}_1(0)$ in \mathcal{P}_3^{cm} . The parameters where the active critical point is periodic are marked in yellow. Proposition 5.1 shows that these parameters are equidistributed with respect to the bifurcation measure. The curve may be parameterized as $f_t(z) = z^3 - 3t^2z + t + 2t^3$, where the critical point $c_1(t) = t$ is fixed for all t , while $c_2(t) = -t$ is active. At right: a zoom of one of the small copies of the Mandelbrot set.

finitely many conformal conjugacy classes of postcritically finite polynomials with a fixed point of multiplier λ . For this implication, we apply the arithmetic equidistribution results described in Section 3.

Though our proof of Theorem 1.1 does not use this, we remark that it suffices to study the curves for $|\lambda| > 1$. Indeed, if $0 < |\lambda| \leq 1$, there are no postcritically finite maps on $\text{Per}_1(\lambda)$; see for example [Mi2, Corollary 14.5].

4.1. The case of $\lambda = 0$. By definition, the curve $\text{Per}_1(0)$ consists of all conjugacy classes of cubic polynomials for which one critical point is fixed. See Figure 2.

PROPOSITION 4.1. *There are infinitely many postcritically finite cubic polynomials in $\text{Per}_1(0) \subset \text{MP}_3^{cm}$.*

Proof. It is convenient to work in the space $\mathcal{P}_3^{cm} \simeq \mathbb{C}^2$, which is a degree-two branched cover of MP_3^{cm} . In \mathcal{P}_3^{cm} , the curve $\text{Per}_1(0)$ has two irreducible components, and throughout each component, one of the two marked critical points is fixed. Recalling that the connectedness locus $\mathcal{C}_3 = \{f \in \mathcal{P}_3^{cm} : J(f) \text{ is connected}\}$ is compact [BH1, Corollary 3.7], we see that both of the critical points cannot be persistently preperiodic along a component of $\text{Per}_1(0)$. Indeed, one critical point must escape to infinity (and therefore have

infinite orbit) for parameters outside the connectedness locus. Thus, exactly one critical point is active on each component of $\text{Per}_1(0)$. A polynomial in $\text{Per}_1(0)$ is postcritically finite if the active critical point has finite forward orbit. By Lemma 2.4, there are infinitely many postcritically finite polynomials in $\text{Per}_1(0)$. \square

4.2. Parameterization of $\text{Per}_1(\lambda)$. Fix $\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$. To study the curve $\text{Per}_1(\lambda)$ in the moduli space of cubic polynomials with marked critical points, we shall work with the following parameterization:

$$f_s(z) = \lambda z - \frac{\lambda}{2} \left(s + \frac{1}{s} \right) z^2 + \frac{\lambda}{3} z^3$$

for $s \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$. The polynomial f_s has a fixed point at $z = 0$ with multiplier λ and critical points at $c_+(s) = s$ and $c_-(s) = 1/s$. It is conjugate to the centered polynomial

$$P_s(z) = \frac{\lambda}{3} z^3 + \left(\frac{\lambda}{2} - \frac{\lambda}{4} \left(s^2 + \frac{1}{s^2} \right) \right) z + \frac{1}{12} \left(s + \frac{1}{s} \right) \left(6 - 4\lambda + \lambda s^2 + \frac{\lambda}{s^2} \right)$$

with critical points at $\pm(s^2 - 1)/(2s)$. Therefore, the family f_s projects to the curve $\text{Per}_1(\lambda)$ within \mathcal{P}_3^{cm} via

$$s \mapsto \left(\sqrt{\frac{\lambda}{3}} \frac{s^2 - 1}{2s}, -\sqrt{\frac{\lambda}{3}} \frac{s^2 - 1}{2s}, -\frac{1}{12} \sqrt{\frac{\lambda}{3}} \left(s + \frac{1}{s} \right) \left(6 - 4\lambda + \lambda s^2 + \frac{\lambda}{s^2} \right) \right)$$

for either choice of $\sqrt{\lambda}$. This projection is generically one-to-one. This curve in \mathcal{P}_3^{cm} then projects to $\text{Per}_1(\lambda)$ in MP_3^{cm} with degree two, via the identification of $(c_1(s), c_2(s), b(s))$ with $(-c_1(s), -c_2(s), -b(s)) = (c_2(s), c_1(s), -b(s))$.

4.3. The bifurcation measures. Consider the escape-rate functions

$$G^+(s) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{3^n} \log^+ |f_s^n(s)|$$

and

$$G^-(s) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{3^n} \log^+ |f_s^n(1/s)|.$$

An induction argument shows immediately that $f_s^n(s)$ is a polynomial in s for all n . In fact,

$$f_s^n(s) = \frac{\lambda}{3} \left(\frac{\lambda}{3} \right)^3 \cdots \left(\frac{\lambda}{3} \right)^{3^{n-2}} \left(\frac{-\lambda}{6} \right)^{3^{n-1}} s^{3^n} + O(s^{3^{n-1}}) \in \mathbb{C}[s],$$

so

$$G^+(s) = \log |s| + \log |\lambda/3|^{1/6} + \log |\lambda/6|^{1/3} + o(1) \tag{4.1}$$

as $s \rightarrow \infty$ and $G^+(s)$ is bounded for s near 0. By symmetry, $G^-(s) = G^+(1/s)$, so G^- has a logarithmic singularity at $s = 0$ and remains bounded as $s \rightarrow \infty$.

LEMMA 4.2. *For each $\lambda \neq 0$, both critical points of f_s are active.*

Proof. This follows immediately from the nontrivial growth of G^+ and G^- . \square

The bifurcation measures of the critical points $c_+(s) = s$ and $c_-(s) = 1/s$ are defined by

$$\mu_+ = \frac{1}{2\pi} \Delta G^+$$

and

$$\mu_- = \frac{1}{2\pi} \Delta G^-$$

on $\mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$. From the growth of G^+ and G^- , we see that μ_+ and μ_- define probability measures on $\hat{\mathbb{C}} = \mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\}$. The support of μ_+ is compactly contained in \mathbb{C} , and it does not put positive mass on $s = 0$. A similar result holds for μ_- .

The bifurcation locus for the family $\{f_s\}$ is the set of parameters s_0 where the Julia sets $J(f_s)$ fail to vary continuously (in the Hausdorff topology) on any neighborhood of s_0 . The bifurcation locus coincides with $(\text{supp}\mu_+) \cup (\text{supp}\mu_-)$; see [De1, Theorem 1.1] or [DF, Theorem 3.2] for a proof. See Figure 3 for an illustration of the bifurcation locus in $\text{Per}_1(6)$.

We thank Curt McMullen for suggesting the proof of this next lemma.

LEMMA 4.3. *The bifurcation measures μ_+ and μ_- are not equal in $\text{Per}_1(\lambda)$.*

Proof. Suppose $\mu_+ = \mu_-$. Let B denote the bifurcation locus, so $B = \text{supp}\mu_+ = \text{supp}\mu_-$ is compactly contained in $\mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$. The function $G^+ - G^-$ must be harmonic on $\mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$, and from the computation of the escape-rate functions above, $G^+ - G^-$ grows logarithmically at each end. Therefore, $G^+(s) - G^-(s) = C + \log |s|$ for some constant C . Therefore $B = \{G^+ = G^- = 0\} \subset \{G^+ - G^- = 0\}$, so B is a subset of a circle. But the bifurcation locus B must contain homeomorphic copies of the Mandelbrot set, by the universality of ∂M [Mc2]. This is a contradiction. \square

4.4. Proof of Theorem 1.1. For $\lambda = 0$, Proposition 4.1 states that there are infinitely many postcritically finite polynomials in $\text{Per}_1(0)$.




Figure 3. Top: $\text{Per}_1(6)$ in the parameterization of Section 4.2, with $|\text{Re } s| \leq 2$, $|\text{Im } s| \leq 0.5$. The connectedness locus is shown in black, while gray indicates that only one critical point remains bounded under iteration. Bottom left: the support of μ_+ in $\text{Per}_1(6)$, in the region $\{-1.8 \leq \text{Re } s \leq -1.3, -0.2 \leq \text{Im } s \leq 0.2\}$, is the boundary of the black set, with level sets of G^+ shown in shades of blue. Bottom right: the support of μ_- and level sets of G^- in the same region. The polynomial f_s is PCF for $s = -(1 + \sqrt{5})/2$, where the two critical points form a cycle of period two. As in the example of Figure 1, there appears to be a great deal of overlap between the activity locus of c_+ and that of c_- , though Theorem 1.1 tells us that there are only finitely many PCF maps in $\text{Per}_1(6)$.

Now suppose $\lambda \neq 0$. Because all PCF polynomials are defined over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ (Proposition 2.6), the existence of a PCF map in $\text{Per}_1(\lambda)$ implies that λ is algebraic. We may therefore assume that the family f_s is defined over a number field k .

We now set up the technical apparatus needed to apply arithmetic equidistribution (Theorem 3.1), to see that parameters where one of the critical points has finite orbit are equidistributed with respect to its bifurcation measure. We use homogeneous coordinates on both the parameter space and the dynamical space. For each place v of k , let \mathbb{C}_v be the completion of an algebraic closure of the completion of k with respect to v , and define

$$F_{(s,t)}: \mathbb{C}_v^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}_v^2$$

by

$$F_{(s,t)}(z, w) = \left(\lambda zw^2 - \frac{\lambda}{2} \left(\frac{s}{t} + \frac{t}{s} \right) z^2 w + \frac{\lambda}{3} z^3, w^3 \right)$$

with $(s, t) \in \mathbb{C}_v^* \times \mathbb{C}_v^*$. Note that $F_{(s,t)} = F_{(t,s)}$ and $f_s(z)$ is the first coordinate of $F_{(s,1)}(z, 1)$. We define

$$H_v^+(s, t) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{3^n} \log \|F_{(s,t)}^n(s, t)\|_v$$

and

$$H_v^-(s, t) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{3^n} \log \|F_{(s,t)}^n(t, s)\|_v,$$

where $\|(a, b)\|_v = \log \max(|a|_v, |b|_v)$. Both H_v^+ and H_v^- satisfy

$$H_v^\pm(\alpha s, \alpha t) = H_v^\pm(s, t) + \log |\alpha|_v$$

for any $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}_v^*$.

Note that

$$G_v^+(s) = H_v^+(s, 1) = \log |s|_v + \log |\lambda/3|_v^{1/6} + \log |\lambda/6|_v^{1/3} + o(1) \tag{4.2}$$

as $s \rightarrow \infty$ by the same calculation as in (4.1), and that $G_v^+(s)$ extends continuously to $\mathbb{A}_{\text{Berk},v}^1$. Moreover, one sees easily that:

(G1) $G_v^+(s)$ is the Green's function relative to ∞ for the set

$$E_v^+ = \{z \in \mathbb{A}_{\text{Berk},v}^1 : G_v^+(z) = 0\}.$$

In particular, the Robin constant for E_v^+ is $\gamma_v = \log |\lambda/3|_v^{1/6} + \log |\lambda/6|_v^{1/3}$ by (4.2) and the global Robin constant $\gamma = \sum N_v \gamma_v$ is equal to zero by the product formula.

(G2) $G_v^+(s) = 0$ whenever the polynomial f_s is PCF.

Let μ_v^+ be the equilibrium measure for E_v^+ (when v is Archimedean, this coincides with the probability measure μ_+ introduced in Section 4.3) and let $\mu^+ = \{\mu_v^+\}_{v \in \mathcal{M}_k}$ be the corresponding adelic measure. (Note that this is indeed an adelic measure, as it is straightforward to verify that E_v^+ is the unit disk $\{z \in \mathbb{A}_{\text{Berk},v}^1 : |z|_v \leq 1\}$ in $\mathbb{A}_{\text{Berk},v}^1$ for all but finitely many places v of k .) Let \hat{h}_+ denote the associated canonical height function.

Let $\{s_n\} \subset \bar{k}$ be any infinite sequence of parameters such that $c_+(s_n)$ has finite orbit for f_{s_n} , for all n . Let S_n denote the set of $\text{Gal}(\bar{k}/k)$ -conjugates of s_n . By (G1) and (G2), the hypotheses of Corollary 3.6 are satisfied, and we conclude that $\hat{h}_+(S_n) = 0$ for all n . Theorem 3.1 (combined with Remark 3.3) applies to show:

PROPOSITION 4.4. Fix $\lambda \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}} \setminus \{0\}$. For any infinite sequence of parameters $s_n \in \overline{k}$ for which c_+ is preperiodic, the discrete probability measures $[S_n]_v$ converge weakly to μ_v^+ in $\mathbb{A}_{\text{Berk},v}^1$, for all places v of k . In particular, the preperiodic parameters are equidistributed with respect to the bifurcation measure μ_+ on \mathbb{C} .

The same considerations apply to G_v^- and μ_v^- , so Proposition 4.4 holds also for c_- and the adelic measure μ^- .

Finally, suppose that $\{f_{s_n}\}$ is an infinite sequence of PCF maps in $\text{Per}_1(\lambda)$, so that both critical points are preperiodic for all n . The Galois orbits of s_n must be equidistributed with respect to both μ_v^+ and μ_v^- . In other words, we have equality of measures $\mu_v^+ = \mu_v^-$ at all places v of k . In particular, letting v be an Archimedean place of k , we have $\mu_+ = \mu_-$, contradicting Lemma 4.3. \square

REMARK 4.5. The equidistribution of the postcritically finite maps in $\text{Per}_1(0)$ follows from Proposition 5.1 in the proof of Theorem 1.3.

5. From coincidence to an algebraic relation

In this section, we complete the proof of Theorem 1.3. The implications (3) \Rightarrow (2) \Rightarrow (1) are covered by Proposition 2.5. Throughout this section, we assume condition (1). We combine the arithmetic equidistribution theorem (Theorem 3.1) with techniques from complex analysis to obtain (3).

5.1. Preliminary definitions. Let G_t denote the escape-rate function for f_t , as defined in (2.1), and set

$$G_i(t) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{d^n} \log^+ |f_t(a_i(t))| = G_t(a_i(t)). \tag{5.1}$$

Define the bifurcation measure

$$\mu_i = \frac{1}{2\pi} \Delta G_i \tag{5.2}$$

on the parameter space; by Proposition 2.1, the activity of a_i implies that the measure is nonzero. In fact, we see from the proof of Proposition 2.1 that the total mass of μ_i can be computed from the degree growth of the polynomials $f_t^n(a_i(t))$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. If we pass to a high enough iterate $f_t^{N_i}(a_i(t))$, then

$$\deg_t f_t^{N_i+n}(a_i(t)) = m_i d^n$$

for some integer $m_i > 0$ and all $n \geq 0$. Then

$$G_i(t) = \frac{m_i}{d^{N_i}} \log |t| + O(1)$$

as $t \rightarrow \infty$; consequently, the measure μ_i has total mass m_i/d^{N_i} .

For the remainder of the proof, it will be convenient to replace a_i with its iterate $f_i^{N_i}(a_i(t))$. We may therefore assume that

$$\deg_{\mathbb{C}}(f_i^n(a_i(t))) = m_i d^n \tag{5.3}$$

for all $n \geq 0$ and

$$\int_{\mathbb{C}} \mu_i = m_i. \tag{5.4}$$

5.2. (1) \Rightarrow ‘almost (2)’ via arithmetic equidistribution. By assumption, there are infinitely many parameters $t_1, t_2, \dots \in \mathbb{C}$ such that both $a_1(t_n)$ and $a_2(t_n)$ are preperiodic for f_i . Following the arguments in [GHT1], Theorem 3.1 implies that the sets

$$S_1 = \{t: a_1(t) \text{ is preperiodic for } f_i\}$$

and

$$S_2 = \{t: a_2(t) \text{ is preperiodic for } f_i\}$$

differ by at most finitely many elements. If we know that the family f_i and marked points a_i are defined over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$, then equidistribution guarantees that $S_1 = S_2$.

We explain how this follows from [GHT1]. We have already replaced each a_i by a suitably large iterate such that condition (5.1) from [GHT1] and the conclusion of their Lemma 5.2 are satisfied for $i = 1, 2$. Then for any product formula field k over which f_i and $a_i(t)$ are defined, [GHT1, Corollary 6.11] guarantees that the hypotheses of the equidistribution result Theorem 3.1 are satisfied. This proves:

PROPOSITION 5.1. *For each i , the set S_i is equidistributed with respect to the measure $\mu_{i,v}$ on $\mathbb{A}_{\text{Berk},v}^1$ for all places v of k . More precisely, given any sequence of finite subsets $\{E_n\}$ in S_i for which $\#(\text{Gal}(k^{\text{sep}}/k) \cdot E_n) \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, the discrete probability measures $[E_n]_v$ converge weakly to μ_v as $n \rightarrow \infty$, for all $v \in \mathcal{M}_k$.*

Consequently, we have $\mu_{1,v} = \mu_{2,v}$ for all places v of k . Here $\mu_{i,v}$ denotes the equilibrium measure on the set $M_{i,v}$, the closure in $\mathbb{A}_{\text{Berk},v}^1$ of the set of $t \in \mathbb{C}_v$ for which $a_i(t)$ is bounded under iteration of f_i . It follows that the associated canonical heights \hat{h}_1 and \hat{h}_2 must be equal. If k is a number field, the desired equality $S_1 = S_2$ follows, because $S_i = \{t \in \bar{k}: \hat{h}_i(t) = 0\}$ in this case. The general case follows from [GHT1, Proposition 10.5]. Note that the hypothesis (i) in [GHT1, Theorem 2.3] is not needed for any of these conclusions.

5.3. The boundedness locus M. Consider the ‘generalized Mandelbrot set’ associated with a_i , defined by

$$M_i := \{t \in \mathbb{C}: \text{the orbit of } a_i(t) \text{ is bounded}\}.$$

As with the usual Mandelbrot set, the boundary of M_i is the activity locus for a_i ; that is, the boundary of M_i is the set of parameters $t_0 \in \mathbb{C}$ for which $\{t \mapsto f_t^n(a_i(t))\}$ fails to form a normal family on every neighborhood of t_0 . The set S_i , where a_i is preperiodic, is a subset of M_i . From Lemma 2.4, the closure of S_i contains the boundary of M_i . And, exactly as for the usual Mandelbrot set, the Maximum Principle guarantees that the complement of M_i is connected. Thus, the conclusion of Section 5.2 (that S_1 and S_2 differ in at most finitely many elements) guarantees that $M_1 = M_2$. We let M denote this common set, so

$$M := M_1 = M_2$$

is the *boundedness locus* for a_1 and a_2 . From Remark 2.3, the set M is compact.

Recall that the function G_i defined in (5.1) is, up to a multiplicative constant, the Green function for M_i (see Remark 2.3; and [GHT1, Lemma 6.10]). It follows that

$$G_2(t) = \alpha G_1(t) \quad \text{and} \quad \mu_2 = \alpha \mu_1$$

where

$$\alpha = \frac{m_2}{m_1} = \frac{\deg a_2(t)}{\deg a_1(t)} \tag{5.5}$$

by Equation (5.4).

We will also need the ‘uniformizing coordinate’ φ_M associated with the compact set $M \subset \mathbb{C}$. This is the uniquely determined univalent function defined in a neighborhood of infinity, with $\varphi_M(t) = t + O(1)$ near ∞ , such that

$$\log |\varphi_M(t)| = \frac{1}{m_i} G_i(t)$$

for $i = 1, 2$. It exists because the periods of the conjugate differential

$$d^* G_i = -\frac{\partial G_i}{\partial y} dx + \frac{\partial G_i}{\partial x} dy$$

lie in $2\pi m_i \mathbb{Z}$ (for loops around infinity with t large); see, for example, [Ah, Ch. 4, Section 6.1].

5.4. The analytic relation between a_1 and a_2 . Let φ_t denote the uniformizing Böttcher coordinate for f_t . That is, for each fixed t , φ_t is defined and univalent in a neighborhood of infinity and is uniquely determined by the conditions that $\varphi_t(f_t(z)) = \varphi_t(z)^d$ and $\varphi_t(z) = z + O(1)$ for all t . The Böttcher coordinate satisfies

$$\log |\varphi_t(z)| = G_t(z)$$

where it is defined. See for example [Mi2, Section 9].

The following lemma appears as [GHT1, Proposition 7.6], but we include a proof for completeness. The arguments are similar to our proof of [BD, Lemma 3.2].

LEMMA 5.2. *For each $i = 1, 2$, there exists an integer n_i such that the iterate $f_i^{n_i}(a_i(t))$ lies in the domain of φ_i for all sufficiently large t .*

Proof. For each t , let $M(f_i)$ denote the maximal critical escape rate, so

$$M(f_i) = \max\{G_t(c) : f_i'(c) = 0\}.$$

The natural domain of φ_i is

$$\{z \in \mathbb{C} : G_t(z) > M(f_i)\}.$$

The polynomial growth of the coefficients of f_i implies that $M(f_i)$ grows logarithmically in t . Indeed, by passing to a finite cover of the punctured disk $\{|t| > R\}$ for some $R \gg 0$, we may assume that the critical points of f_i are holomorphic functions of t . Applying [DM, Proposition 10.4], which uses standard distortion estimates for univalent functions, we conclude that

$$M(f_i) = e \log |t| + O(1)$$

as $t \rightarrow \infty$ for some $e > 0$.

From Proposition 2.1, for each i we know that

$$G_t(a_i(t)) = q_i \log |t| + O(1)$$

for some $q_i > 0$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$. Choosing n_i such that

$$q_i d^{n_i} > e,$$

we conclude that $f_i^{n_i}(a_i(t))$ lies in the domain of φ_i for all t sufficiently large. \square

For the rest of this section, we will replace $a_i(t)$ with its iterate $f_i^{n_i}(a_i(t))$ from Lemma 5.2.

Write each polynomial as

$$a_i(t) = \zeta_i t^{m_i} + o(t^{m_i})$$

for some nonzero $\zeta_i \in \mathbb{C}$ and t near infinity. Define

$$\Phi_i(t) = \varphi_i(a_i(t))$$

so that we have

$$\Phi_i(t) = \zeta_i t^{m_i} + o(t^{m_i})$$

for t near infinity. Set

$$L = \text{lcm}\{m_1, m_2\}$$

and write

$$L = k_1 m_1 = k_2 m_2.$$

Now Φ_1, Φ_2 are analytic maps near infinity, and each satisfies

$$|\Phi_i(t)| = \exp(G_t(a_i(t)))$$

so

$$\Phi_i(t) = \zeta_i \varphi_M(t)^{m_i}$$

for each i , where φ_M is the uniformizing coordinate for M , defined in Section 5.3; this is because an analytic map is uniquely determined by its absolute value, up to a rotation. Therefore,

$$\varphi_t(a_2(t))^{k_2} = \frac{\zeta_2^{k_2}}{\zeta_1^{k_1}} \varphi_t(a_1(t))^{k_1}.$$

Set $\zeta = \zeta_2^{k_2} / \zeta_1^{k_1}$. Then for every n , we have

$$\varphi_t(f_t^n(a_2(t)))^{k_2} = \varphi_t(a_2(t))^{k_2 a^n} = (\zeta \varphi_t(a_1(t))^{k_1})^{a^n} = \zeta^{a^n} \varphi_t(f_t^n(a_1(t)))^{k_1}. \tag{5.6}$$

We will refer to (5.6) as the *analytic relation* between the orbits of $a_1(t)$ and $a_2(t)$.

LEMMA 5.3. *The ζ of the analytic relation (5.6) satisfies $|\zeta| = 1$.*

Proof. Recall that the constant α from (5.5) is given by $\alpha = m_2/m_1$ and the integers k_1 and k_2 were chosen such that $k_1 m_1 = k_2 m_2$. Consequently,

$$\log |\Phi_2(t)| = G_t(a_2(t)) = \alpha G_t(a_1(t)) = \frac{k_1}{k_2} G_t(a_1(t)) = \frac{k_1}{k_2} \log |\Phi_1(t)|$$

and so

$$|\zeta_2|^{k_2} |\varphi_M(t)|^{m_2 k_2} = |\Phi_2(t)|^{k_2} = |\Phi_1(t)|^{k_1} = |\zeta_1|^{k_1} |\varphi_M(t)|^{m_1 k_1}.$$

We see that

$$|\zeta| = |\zeta_2|^{k_2} / |\zeta_1|^{k_1} = 1,$$

so

$$|\varphi_t(a_2(t))|^{k_2} = |\varphi_t(a_1(t))|^{k_1}$$

from (5.6). □

5.5. Properties of the Böttcher coordinate. Our next goal will be to promote the analytic relation (5.6) to an algebraic relation between the orbits of a_1 and a_2 .

To achieve this, we need some estimates on φ_t . Write the expansion of φ_t for z near ∞ as

$$\varphi_t(z) = z + \sum_{s=1}^{\infty} g_s(t)z^{-s}.$$

The constant term is 0 because all f_t are centered. Note that $\varphi_t(z)$ is analytic in both t and z , where defined.

LEMMA 5.4. *The coefficient $g_s(t)$ is polynomial in t for all s .*

Proof. Recall that

$$\varphi_t(f_t^n(z)) = (\varphi_t(z))^{d^n},$$

for any n . Expand both sides as series in z , so we have

$$\begin{aligned} f_t^n(z) + O(z^{-d^n}) &= z^{d^n} + cg_1(t)z^{d^n-2} + cg_2(t)z^{d^n-3} + (cg_3(t) + c'g_1(t)^2)z^{d^n-4} \\ &\quad + (cg_4(t) + c''g_1(t)g_2(t))z^{d^n-5} + \dots \end{aligned}$$

for nonzero constants c, c', c'', \dots depending only on d and n .

As the coefficients of the principal part of the left-hand side are polynomials, an induction argument allows us to conclude that the $g_s(t)$ is polynomial for every s . □

Let $m = \min\{m_1, m_2\}$, where m_i is the degree in t of $a_i(t)$.

LEMMA 5.5. *The degree of $g_s(t)$ in t is no greater than $m(s + 1)$.*

Proof. For fixed t , choose $R = R_t$ minimal such that $\{z: |z| > R\}$ lies in the domain of the univalent function φ_t . Then

$$\psi(z) = R/\varphi_t(R/z)$$

defines a univalent function on the unit disk, with $\psi(0) = 0$ and $\psi'(0) = 1$. Expand ψ in a power series around 0, so

$$\psi(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} b_n z^n.$$

Littlewood’s theorem implies that $|b_n| \leq en$ for all n and any such ψ ; see [Du, Section 2.4]. In fact, by the Bieberbach conjecture (a theorem of de Branges), we know that $|b_n| \leq n$, but this is not necessary for us.

In our case, the first few terms in the expansion of ψ are

$$\psi(z) = z - g_1R^{-2}z^3 + g_2R^{-3}z^4 + (g_1^2 - g_3)R^{-4}z^5 + (2g_1g_2 - g_4)R^{-5}z^6 + \dots$$

An induction argument implies that

$$|g_s| \leq C_s R^{s+1}$$

for some constant depending on s , where g_s and R both depend on t .

Now, recall that $a_i(t)$ lies in the domain of φ_t for all t sufficiently large, by Lemma 5.2 (and the comment following the proof). From the traditional distortion arguments applied to φ_t (applying the estimate $|b_2| \leq 2$; see [BD, Lemma 3.2] or [BH1, Section 3]), the region

$$\{z: G_t(z) > dM(f_t)\}$$

lies outside the disk of radius R_t for all t large. And so we may assume that $a_i(t)$ lies outside the disk of radius R_t for all t large. That is, we have $R_t \leq |a_i(t)|$ for $|t| \gg 0$, and we conclude that

$$|g_s(t)| \leq C_s |a_i(t)|^{s+1}$$

for $i = 1, 2$. Finally, then, the degree of g_s must be no greater than the degree of $a_i(t)^{s+1}$. □

5.6. The polynomial relation between $a_1(t)$ and $a_2(t)$. Expand each power of the Böttcher coordinate $\varphi_t(z)$ in Laurent series near infinity as

$$(\varphi_t(z))^k = P_t^k(z) + \sum_{s=1}^{\infty} b_s^k(t) z^{-s}.$$

By Lemma 5.4, the expression $P_t^k(z)$ is polynomial in both t and z ; in z it is monic and centered of degree k . By Lemma 5.5, we may conclude that

$$\deg_t b_s^k(t) \leq m(s + k). \tag{5.7}$$

Indeed, b_s^k is a sum of products $\prod_{i=1}^l g_{s_i}$ for some $l \in \{1, \dots, k\}$ where $\sum s_i = k - l + s$, and each product has degree at most $\sum_{i=1}^l m(s_i + 1) = ml + m \sum s_i = ml + mk - ml + ms = m(s + k)$.

Setting $z = f_t^n(a_i(t))$, we have

$$(\varphi_t(f_t^n(a_i(t))))^k = P_t^k(f_t^n(a_i(t))) + \sum_{s=1}^{\infty} b_s^k(t) (f_t^n(a_i(t)))^{-s}.$$

By (5.7), the infinite-sum term is $O(t^{-m(d^n - k - 1)})$. Recall from Equation (5.6) we have

$$\varphi_t(f_t^n(a_2(t)))^{k_2} = \zeta^{d^n} \varphi_t(f_t^n(a_1(t)))^{k_1}$$

for all $n \geq 0$. Expanding both sides in t implies that the polynomial parts of both sides must be equal for any n . Thus, for all $n \gg 0$, we have

$$P_t^{k_2}(f_t^n(a_2(t))) = \zeta^{d^n} P_t^{k_1}(f_t^n(a_1(t))). \tag{5.8}$$

It will be convenient to replace a_1 and a_2 with higher iterates so that Equation (5.8) holds for all n .

We would like to know that the polynomial relation (5.8) between $f_t^n(a_2(t))$ and $f_t^n(a_1(t))$ is independent of n , or at least that the constants ζ^{d^n} cycle through only finitely many values. We thank Dragos Ghioca for suggesting the strategy for this proof of Lemma 5.6.

LEMMA 5.6. *The ratio $\zeta = \zeta_2^{k_2} / \zeta_1^{k_1}$ is a root of unity.*

Proof. We combine the analytic relation (5.6) and the polynomial relation (5.8) to obtain

$$\sum_{s=1}^{\infty} b_s^{k_2}(t)(f_t^n(a_2(t)))^{-s} = \zeta^{d^n} \sum_{s=1}^{\infty} b_s^{k_1}(t)(f_t^n(a_1(t)))^{-s} \tag{5.9}$$

for all n . Let s_1 be the smallest s for which $b_s^{k_1}$ is nonzero and s_2 the smallest s for which $b_s^{k_2}$ is nonzero. Let $C(s, k)$ denote the degree of the polynomial $b_s^k(t)$; recall from (5.7) that $C(s, k) \leq s(m + k)$. Expanding both sides of (5.9) in t , the leading term on the left-hand side is

$$c_2 \zeta_2^{-s_2 d^n} t^{C(s_2, k_2) - s_2 m_2 d^n}$$

for some constant $c_2 \in \mathbb{C}^*$, while the leading term on the right-hand side is

$$c_1 \zeta_1^{d^n} \zeta_1^{-s_1 d^n} t^{C(s_1, k_1) - s_1 m_1 d^n}$$

for some constant $c_1 \in \mathbb{C}^*$. As we have equality in (5.9) for all n , it follows that $s_2 m_2 = s_1 m_1$. As $L = k_1 m_1 = k_2 m_2$ is the least common multiple of m_1 and m_2 , we may write $s_1 = \ell k_1$ and $s_2 = \ell k_2$ for some positive integer ℓ . Furthermore, the coefficients of the leading terms must coincide, so

$$\frac{c_2}{c_1} = \zeta^{d^n} \left(\frac{\zeta_2^{k_2}}{\zeta_1^{k_1}} \right)^{\ell d^n} = \zeta^{d^n + \ell d^n}$$

for all n . Therefore, ζ is a root of unity. □

REMARK 5.7. The proof of Lemma 5.6 is elementary but somewhat unenlightening. When the points $a_i(t)$ are critical (that is, in the setting of Theorem 1.2), one can give a more conceptual proof that ζ is a root of unity, as follows. From Lemma 5.3, we know that $|\zeta| = 1$. From (5.6), the argument

of ζ is equal to the difference in argument between $\varphi_t(a_2(t))^{k_2}$ and $\varphi_t(a_1(t))^{k_1}$, independently of t . We are assuming that there are infinitely many parameters t such that f_t is PCF, and all periodic cycles for a PCF map must be superattracting or repelling [Mi2, Corollary 14.5]. From Section 5.2 and Lemma 2.4, there are infinitely many $t \in \partial M$ such that both $a_1(t)$ and $a_2(t)$ are preperiodic to repelling cycles. Such a parameter t_0 will be a landing point of a ‘rational external ray’ for φ_M (see for example [Mi2, Ch. 18]). In other words, the points $a_1(t_0)$ and $a_2(t_0)$ will be landing points for rational external rays in the Julia set of f_{t_0} . It follows that the difference in argument between $\varphi_t(a_1(t))$ and $\varphi_t(a_2(t))$ is rational, and therefore that ζ is a root of unity.

Lemma 5.6 implies that the sequence $\{\zeta^{d^n} : n \geq 0\}$ will eventually cycle. Replacing a_1 and a_2 with iterates will allow us to assume that ζ itself is periodic for z^d . That is, we may assume there exists a positive integer k such that

$$\zeta^{d^k} = \zeta.$$

Equation (5.8) can be formulated as

$$P_t^{k_2}(f_t^{k_1}(a_2(t))) = \zeta P_t^{k_1}(f_t^{k_2}(a_1(t))) \tag{5.10}$$

for all n .

5.7. Simplifying the algebraic relation (5.10) and concluding the proof.

Define polynomials

$$A_t(z) := P_t^{k_1}(z) \quad B_t(z) := \zeta P_t^{k_2}(z).$$

Then (5.10) implies that the algebraic curve (or a subset of the irreducible components of this curve)

$$\{(x, y) : A_t(x) = B_t(y)\} \subset \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$$

is invariant for the map

$$(f_t^k, f_t^k) : \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$$

for every t .

If the polynomial $A_t(x) - B_t(y)$ is reducible for all t , let $Q_t(x, y)$ denote a factor such that $Q_t(a_1(t), a_2(t)) = 0$ and Q_t is irreducible for general t . There are only finitely many irreducible components, so by passing to higher iterates (of the a_i and of the f^k preserving ζ), we may assume that the curve

$$C_t = \{Q_t(x, y) = 0\}$$

is invariant for (f_t^k, f_t^k) for all t , and C_t is irreducible for general t .

We now appeal to the classification of (f, f) -invariant curves in $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ for polynomials f . It was treated in great generality by Medvedev and Scanlon in [MS], applying Ritt’s study of polynomial decompositions from [Ri1]. As the family f_t is nontrivial, the polynomial f_t^k cannot be conjugate to z^{dk} or a Chebyshev polynomial for all t . Therefore, the curve C_t must be a graph, of the form $\{y = h_t(x)\}$ or $\{x = h_t(y)\}$, for a polynomial h_t that commutes with f_t^k [MS, Theorem 6.24].

In other words, there exists a polynomial $h \in \mathbb{C}[t, z]$ such that $h_t \circ f_t^k = f_t^k \circ h_t$ for all t and such that either $a_2(t) = h_t(a_1(t))$ or $a_1(t) = h_t(a_2(t))$ for all t . (Recall that we have repeatedly replaced the original a_i with an iterate $f_t^{n_i}(a_i(t))$.) If the conclusion is that $a_1(t) = h_t(a_2(t))$, then the proof of condition (3) is complete. Suppose instead that $a_2(t) = h_t(a_1(t))$. If $\deg_z h = 1$, then we may replace h_t with h_t^{-1} to achieve the conclusion of condition (3). If $\deg_z h_t > 1$, then from Ritt’s work we know that h_t must share an iterate with f_t ; say $h_t^q = f_t^r$ [Ri1, Ri2]. Then $h_t^{q-1}(a_1(t)) = f_t^r(a_2(t))$, so we again achieve the conclusion of condition (3), taking the new h to be $h_t^{q-1}(z)$. This concludes the proof.

6. Proof of Theorem 1.2

In this final section, we provide the proof of Theorem 1.2. In most respects, Theorem 1.2 is a special case of Theorem 1.3.

(1) \Rightarrow (2). Let $a_1(t)$ and $a_2(t)$ denote any pair of active critical points of f_t . At each postcritically finite polynomial f_t , both $a_1(t)$ and $a_2(t)$ have finite forward orbit. From Theorem 1.3, condition (1) implies that the sets S_1 and S_2 coincide. In addition, as observed in Section 5.3, the sets M_1 and M_2 must coincide, and therefore so do their harmonic measures (relative to ∞). From Remark 2.3, the harmonic measure on M_i is exactly the bifurcation measure for the critical point a_i , normalized to have total mass 1.

(2) \Rightarrow (3). For each active critical point c_i , the support of the bifurcation measure μ_i is equal to the (outer) boundary of the set M_i . Each M_i is full (meaning that its complement is connected): indeed, on a bounded component of $\mathbb{C} \setminus M_i$, the Maximum Principle guarantees that the magnitude of $f_t^n(c_i(t))$ never exceeds its maximum value on M_i . Therefore the measure μ_i determines M_i as a set. And so M_i does not depend on the choice of active critical point. In particular, all critical points have bounded forward orbit for f_t if and only if $t \in M_i$ for some active critical point i . Therefore, M_i is the connectedness locus for f_t .

(3) \Rightarrow (4). This implication is exactly as in the proof of Theorem 1.3. Specifically, the arguments of Sections 5.3–5.7 start with the assumption that the sets M_i coincide and conclude with the desired algebraic relation (4).

(4) \Rightarrow (1). If a critical point is not active, then Proposition 2.1 shows that it is preperiodic for all parameters $t \in \mathbb{C}$. If there is only one active critical point, then Lemma 2.4 implies that it has finite orbit for infinitely many t , and therefore f_t is postcritically finite for infinitely many t . If there are at least two active critical points, then Theorem 1.3 guarantees that they are simultaneously preperiodic at infinitely many parameters t . Again we conclude that f_t is postcritically finite for infinitely many t .

Acknowledgements

We had helpful discussions with many people during the course of this project. Special thanks go to Curt McMullen, Dragos Ghioca, and Tom Tucker for their insightful comments and suggestions. We thank Alice Medvedev for carefully explaining her work with Tom Scanlon, and Bjorn Poonen for asking the question which led to this work during the Bellairs Workshop on Number Theory in 2010 ('What can one say about subvarieties of the moduli space of rational maps which contain a Zariski-dense set of PCF points?'). We thank Xander Faber for organizing the Bellairs workshop and ICERM for hosting us during the Spring 2012 Semester in Complex and Arithmetic Dynamics when the details in this paper were solidified. We also had helpful discussions with Romain Dujardin, Patrick Ingram, and Joe Silverman. We thank Suzanne Lynch Boyd and Brian Boyd for help with the Dynamics Explorer Tool which was used to gather experimental evidence and generate all of the images in this paper. Our research was supported by the National Science Foundation.

References

- [Ah] L. V. Ahlfors, *Complex Analysis*, 3rd edn. (McGraw-Hill Book Co., New York, 1978). An introduction to the theory of analytic functions of one complex variable, International Series in Pure and Applied Mathematics.
- [An] Y. André, 'Finitude des couples d'invariants modulaires singuliers sur une courbe algébrique plane non modulaire', *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **505** (1998), 203–208.
- [BD] M. Baker and L. DeMarco, 'Preperiodic points and unlikely intersections', *Duke Math. J.* **159** (2011), 1–29.
- [BR] M. Baker and R. Rumely, *Potential Theory and Dynamics on the Berkovich Projective Line*, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, 159 (American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2010).
- [Be1] A. F. Beardon, 'Symmetries of Julia sets', *Bull. Lond. Math. Soc.* **22** (1990), 576–582.
- [Be2] R. L. Benedetto, 'Heights and preperiodic points of polynomials over function fields', *Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN* **62** (2005), 3855–3866.

- [BH1] B. Branner and J. H. Hubbard, ‘The iteration of cubic polynomials. I. The global topology of parameter space’, *Acta Math.* **160** (1988), 143–206.
- [BE] X. Buff and A. Epstein, ‘Bifurcation measure and postcritically finite rational maps’, in *Complex Dynamics* (A K Peters, Wellesley, MA, 2009), 491–512.
- [CL] A. Chambert-Loir, ‘Mesures et équidistribution sur les espaces de Berkovich’, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **595** (2006), 215–235.
- [De1] L. DeMarco, ‘Dynamics of rational maps: a current on the bifurcation locus’, *Math. Res. Lett.* **8** (2001), 57–66.
- [De2] L. DeMarco, ‘Dynamics of rational maps: Lyapunov exponents, bifurcations, and capacity’, *Math. Ann.* **326** (2003), 43–73.
- [DM] L. DeMarco and C. McMullen, ‘Trees and the dynamics of polynomials’, *Ann. Sci. Éc. Norm. Super* **41** (2008), 337–383.
- [DH] A. Douady and J. H. Hubbard, ‘A proof of Thurston’s topological characterization of rational functions’, *Acta Math.* **171**(2) (1993), 263–297.
- [DF] R. Dujardin and C. Favre, ‘Distribution of rational maps with a preperiodic critical point’, *Amer. J. Math.* **130** (2008), 979–1032.
- [Du] P. L. Duren, *Univalent Functions*, Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences], 259 (Springer, New York, 1983).
- [FRL] C. Favre and J. Rivera-Letelier, ‘Équidistribution quantitative des points de petite hauteur sur la droite projective’, *Math. Ann.* **335** (2006), 311–361.
- [GHT1] D. Ghioca, L.-C. Hsia and T. Tucker, ‘Preperiodic points for families of polynomials’, *Algebra and Number Theory*, to appear.
- [GHT2] D. Ghioca, L.-C. Hsia and T. Tucker, ‘Preperiodic points for families of rational maps’ (2012), submitted for publication.
- [GTZ] D. Ghioca, T. Tucker and S. Zhang, ‘Towards a dynamical Manin–Mumford conjecture’, *Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN* **22** (2011), 5109–5122.
- [Hi] M. Hindry, ‘Autour d’une conjecture de Serge Lang’, *Invent. Math.* **94** (1988), 575–603.
- [La] M. Laurent, ‘Équations diophantiennes exponentielles’, *Invent. Math.* **78** (1984), 299–327.
- [MSS] R. Mañé, P. Sad and D. Sullivan, ‘On the dynamics of rational maps’, *Ann. Sci. Éc. Norm. Super* **16** (1983), 193–217.
- [Mc1] C. T. McMullen, ‘Families of rational maps and iterative root-finding algorithms’, *Ann. of Math. (2)* **125** (1987), 467–493.
- [Mc2] C. T. McMullen, ‘The Mandelbrot set is universal’, in *The Mandelbrot Set, Theme and Variations*, London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series, 274 (Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 2000), 1–17.
- [MS] A. Medvedev and T. Scanlon, ‘Invariant varieties for polynomial dynamical systems’, *Ann. of Math. (2)*, to appear.
- [Mi1] J. Milnor, ‘Remarks on iterated cubic maps’, *Exp. Math.* **1** (1992), 5–24.
- [Mi2] J. Milnor, *Dynamics in One Complex Variable*, 3rd edn. Annals of Mathematics Studies, 160 (Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 2006).
- [Pi] J. Pila, ‘O-minimality and the André–Oort conjecture for \mathbb{C}^n ’, *Ann. of Math. (2)* **173** (2011), 1779–1840.
- [Ra1] M. Raynaud, ‘Courbes sur une variété abélienne et points de torsion’, *Invent. Math.* **71** (1983), 207–233.

- [Ra2] M. Raynaud, 'Sous-variétés d'une variété abélienne et points de torsion', in *Arithmetic and Geometry, Vol. I*, Progress in Mathematics, 35 (Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, 1983), 327–352.
- [Ri1] J. F. Ritt, 'Prime and composite polynomials', *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **23** (1922), 51–66.
- [Ri2] J. F. Ritt, 'Permutable rational functions', *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **25** (1923), 399–448.
- [Si] J. H. Silverman, *Moduli Spaces and Arithmetic Dynamics*, CRM Monograph Series, 30 (American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2012).