Can. J. Math., Vol. XXVII, No. 4, 1975, pp. 737-745

## THE MAP $SJ \rightarrow SF$ DOES NOT DELOOP MOD 2

## ROBERT R. CLOUGH

It has been widely conjectured that there exists a homotopy commutative diagram

(A) 
$$BSO \xrightarrow{J} BSF$$
$$i \bigvee_{BSJ} j$$

where J is the stable Whitehead J-homomorphism and BSJ is the space constructed in [3]. In [4], Stasheff and the author proved that this conjecture is false. However, Quillen's proof of the Adams conjecture in [7] has as a corollary the existence of the homotopy commutative diagram

(B) 
$$SO \longrightarrow SF$$
  
 $SJ$ 

where  $SJ = \Omega BSJ$ . Indeed, Sullivan has proved that there is a space, called Coker(J), such that

 $SF = SJ \times \operatorname{Coker}(J).$ 

This suggests the possibility that BSJ is simply the wrong classifying space for SJ: It is conceivable that SJ can be delooped in another way so as to give rise to diagram (A). In this paper, we show that this is not the case.

More precisely, let us assume that *BSJ* is any space whose homotopy groups conform to the table below:

generators	Ωn	$\rho_{n-1}\eta$	$\rho_{n-2\eta\eta}, \mu_n$	$\mu_{n-1}\eta = \mu_n$	ν <sub>n</sub>	
$\pi_n(BSJ)$	$Z/2^k$	Z/2	$Z/2 \oplus Z/2$	Z/2	Z/8	0
<i>n</i> mod 8	0	1	2	3	4	5, 6, 7

In this table,  $2^k$  is the Milnor-Kervaire number. In dimensions *n* congruent to 4 mod 8 we have the relation  $4\nu_n = \mu_{n-1}\eta$ . Dimensions 0, 1, and 2 are exceptional. We have  $\pi_0(BSJ) = \pi_1(BSJ) = 0$ . The group  $\pi_2(BSJ)$  is Z/2 generated

Received July 25, 1972 and in revised form, June 11, 1975.

by  $\mu_2$ , and  $\mu_2\eta = 0$  instead of  $\mu_3$ . We assume then from this point forward that *BSJ* is a completely arbitrary space that satisfies the conditions stated in this paragraph.

THEOREM. Diagram (A) does not exist.

STANDING HYPOTHESIS: Diagram (A) exists.

The idea of the proof is to use our standing hypothesis to construct the diagram of Lemma 5 and apply the methods of [4] to obtain a contradiction. The diagram of Lemma 5 differs from the corresponding diagram of [4] only in that  $S(RP^{12})$  appears in place of S(RP). Since the contradiction that forms the cornerstone of our proof occurs in cohomology degree 13, it turns out that  $S(RP^{12})$  is sufficient.

We shall need several lemmas to establish our theorem, and we shall use our standing hypothesis for each of these lemmas. Since all of our obstructions are 2-primary, please understand that all exact sequences, homotopy equivalences, and isomorphisms are 2-primary.

LEMMA 1.  $\Omega BSJ$  and SJ have the same homotopy type.

*Proof.* We have the homotopy commutative diagram where p is the product



splitting map of Sullivan. The resulting map

## $BSJ \xrightarrow{q} SJ$

induces isomorphisms in homotopy except possibly in dimensions 8k + 1 and 8k + 2 because of the diagram



in which  $i_*$  and  $i'_*$  are surjective except in dimensions 8k + 1 and 8k + 2.

In SF,  $\mu_{8k+2} = 4\nu_{8k+3}$ , where  $\mu_{8k+2}$  is the Adams class of [1],  $\eta$  is the Hopf map, and  $\nu_{8k+3}$  is the generator of Im(J). Then  $q_*\mu_{8k+2} = \mu_{8k+2}$ . For if  $q_*\mu_{8k+2} = 0$ , then  $q_*4\nu_{8k+2} = 0$  and  $q_*$  is not an isomorphism in dimension 8k + 3, contrary to what we have already proved. Since  $q_*$  is therefore an isomorphism

738

in dimension 8k + 2, it must also be bijective in dimension 8k + 1. Otherwise  $q_{*}\mu_{8k+1} = a\rho_{8k-1}\eta\eta$  where a = 0 or 1. Then

$$q_{*}\mu_{8k+2} = q_{*}\mu_{8k+1}\eta$$
$$= \rho_{8k-1}\eta\eta\eta$$
$$= 0$$

because  $\rho_{8k-1}\eta\eta\eta = 0$  in SO. This contradiction proves that  $q_*$  is an isomorphism in dimension 8k + 1. Thus q is an homotopy equivalence.

We now recall some facts about the homology and cohomology of *SO*, *BSO*, *SF*, and *BSF*. These facts can be deduced from [**5**].

$$H^*(BSF) = P[w_i|2 \leq i] \otimes E[e_I|I \in S],$$
  

$$H^*(SF) = P[p_{2i-1}|1 \leq i] \otimes P[w_I|I \in S],$$
  

$$\sigma w_{2i} = p_{2i-1}, \text{ and } \sigma e_I = w_I.$$

The tensor splittings are splittings of A(2) Hopf algebras. The  $p_{2t-1}$  are primitive and

 $\Delta w_n = \sum \{ w_i \otimes w_j | i + j = n \}.$ 

The set S is described by Milgram, but we need not discuss it here. The maps

 $BSO \rightarrow BSF$  and  $SO \rightarrow SF$ 

send the  $w_i$  of *BSF* to the  $w_i$  of *BSO* and the  $p_{2i-1}$  of *SF* to the primitive indecomposables of *SO*; these latter primitive indecomposables are also denoted by  $p_{2i-1}$ .

LEMMA 2. There are subsets  $\{w_i | 2 \leq i \leq 15\}$  and  $\{e_i | 3 \leq i \leq 15\}$  of  $H^*(BSJ)$ and an A(2) algebra epimorphism

$$P[w_i|2 \leq i \leq 15] \otimes E[e_i|3 \leq i \leq 15] \rightarrow H^*(BSJ) \rightarrow 0 \text{ in degrees} \leq 15.$$

We have  $Sq^iw_j$  as given by the Wu relations [10], and  $Sq^ie_j$  is as in  $H^*(BBSO)$  mod decomposables in  $H^*(BSJ)$  that involve the  $e_i$  (see [4]).

*Proof.* Since the composition

$$H^*(SO) \stackrel{i^*}{\leftarrow} H^*(SJ) \stackrel{j^*}{\leftarrow} H^*(SF)$$

is surjective by the remarks preceding this proof, it follows that  $i^*$  is surjective. Since  $i^*$  is surjective, the Serre spectral sequence of the fibration

$$SO \rightarrow SJ \rightarrow BSO$$

splits and

$$H^*(SJ) = P[p_{2i-1}|i \ge 1] \otimes P[w_i|2 \le i].$$

Since  $j: SJ \to SF$  is an *H*-map by our standing hypothesis, the first factor is an A(2) Hopf subalgebra of  $H^*(SJ)$ . It is automatic that the second factor is an A(2) subalgebra, but its coalgebra structure is not obvious.

The map  $j: SJ \to SF$  is a homotopy equivalence in dimensions  $\leq 5$ . Thus the map

$$j^*: H^*(SF) \to H^*(SJ)$$

is a Hopf algebra isomorphism in degrees  $\leq 5$ . Rechoose the  $w_i$  of  $H^*(SJ)$  so that they are the images of the  $w_I$  of  $H^*(SF)$  in degrees i = 1 and 2. Rename these  $w_I$  in SF, calling them  $w_2$  and  $w_3$ . They are both primitive.

In  $H_*(SF)$ , the square of the dual of  $w_2$  is nonzero because the dual of  $w_2$  lies in the polynomial algebra part of  $H_*(SF)$ . Therefore the dual of this nonzero square is a class  $w_4$  such that

$$\Delta w_4 = w_4 \otimes 1 + w_2 \otimes w_2 + 1 \otimes w_4.$$

Since the square of the dual of  $w_2$  is primitive,  $w_4$  is indecomposable. Replace the  $w_I$  of degree 4 by  $w_4$ , and let

$$w_5 = Sq^1w_4$$
  
 $w_6 = Sq^2w_4 + w_2w_4$   
 $w_7 = Sq^3w_4 + w_2w_5 + w_3w_4.$ 

We have now defined indecomposable Stiefel-Whitney classes for SF and SJ of degrees  $\leq 7$  such that

$$\Delta w_n = \sum \{ w_i \otimes w_j | i + j = n \}.$$

They are indecomposable because  $H^*(SJ)$  and  $H^*(SO \times BSO)$  are isomorphic as A(2) algebras. Indecomposability in  $H^*(SJ)$  implies indecomposability in  $H^*(SF)$ .

The primitive classes of degree  $\leq 7$  in  $H^*(SJ)$  are therefore

$$p_1, p_2^2, p_3, p_1^4, p_5, p_3^2, p_7$$
  
 $w_2, w_3, w_2^2, w_5 + w_2w_3, w_3^2, w_7 + w_5w_2 + w_4w_3 + w_2^2w_3.$ 

Straightforward calculations show that they are primitive, and they are the only primitives because

 $j_*: H_*(SJ) \to H_*(SF)$ 

is injective. By the structure theorem for Hopf algebras,  $H_*(SJ)$  and  $H_*(SO \times BSO)$  are isomorphic as algebras. Thus  $H_*(SJ)$  has two decomposables of each degree, so that  $H^*(SJ)$  has two primitives of each degree.

We have now displayed primitives of  $H^*(SF)$  that map onto the primitives of  $H^*(SJ)$  in degrees  $\leq 7$ . We can extend this result to show that

$$j^*: PH^*(SF) \rightarrow PH^*(SJ)$$

is surjective in degrees  $\leq 14$  as follows: Let  $8 \leq n \leq 14$ . If *n* is even, then the primitives of degree *n* are the squares of the primitives of degree n/2. Thus

https://doi.org/10.4153/CJM-1975-082-2 Published online by Cambridge University Press

740

they are in  $\text{Im}(j^*)$ . If *n* is odd, then

 $Sq^{n-7}(w_7 + w_5w_2 + w_4w_3 + w_2^2w_3)$ 

is an indecomposable primitive of degree n. Thus  $j^*$  is surjective in degree n. We can now observe that

 $j_*: QH_*(SJ) \rightarrow QH_*(SF)$ 

is injective in degrees  $\leq 14$ . Let  $x_i \in H_i(SF)$  denote the dual of the primitive in the  $p_{2n-1}$ . Let  $y_I$  and  $y_i$  denote the duals of the primitives in the  $w_I$  and  $w_i$ . Then

$$j_*x_i = x_i$$
  
$$j_*y_i = y_i \quad if \ 2 \leq i \leq 14.$$

Thus the map

$$j^*$$
: Ext<sub>H<sub>\*</sub>(SF)</sub>(Z/2, Z/2)  $\rightarrow$  Ext<sub>H<sub>\*</sub>(SJ)</sub>(Z/2, Z/2)

sends  $\sigma x_i$  to  $\sigma x_i$  and  $\sigma y_i$  to  $\sigma y_i$ , where

$$\operatorname{Ext}_{H_{*}(SF)}(Z/2, Z/2) = P[\sigma x_{i}|1 \leq i] \otimes E[\sigma y_{i}|2 \leq i \leq 14]$$
$$\otimes E[\sigma y_{I}|I \notin S']$$

and  $S' = \{I | w_i \text{ replaces } w_I\}.$ 

Let E(G) denote the Eilenberg-Moore spectral sequence such that

 $E_2 = \text{Ext}_{H_*(G)}(Z/2, Z/2)$  and  $E_{\infty} = H^*(BG)$ .

Since E(SF) collapses by [5], the  $\sigma x_i$  and  $\sigma y_i$  are permanent cycles in E(SJ). Therefore they are the only indecomposables of  $H^*(BSJ)$  in degrees  $\leq 15$ . The epimorphism

 $H^*(BSO) \leftarrow H^*(BSJ)$ 

implies that there are no relations among the  $\sigma x_i$ . That the  $(\sigma y_i)^2$  are 0 in  $H^*(BSF)$  implies that they are 0 in  $H^*(BSJ)$ . Thus in degrees  $\leq 15$ ,  $H^*(BSJ)$  has the asserted algebra structure. The A(2) structure follows from the diagram below, in which the left vertical map is now clearly an isomorphism of A(2) modules, for it is surjective and, by counting, injective.

 $PH^*(SJ) \xleftarrow{\text{еріс}} PH^*(SF)$   $\parallel \uparrow \sigma \qquad \parallel \uparrow \sigma$   $QH^*(BSJ) \longleftarrow QH^*(BSF)$ LEMMA 3. The map

$$j: BSJ \rightarrow BSF$$

induces an isomorphism

 $[CP^{2n}/CP^{2n-2}, BSJ] \rightarrow J(CP^{2n}/CP^{2n-2})$ 

for  $n \geq 1$ .

Proof. The cofibration sequence

$$S^{4n-1} \xrightarrow{\eta} S^{4n-2} \rightarrow CP^{2n}/CP^{2n-2} \rightarrow S^{4n} \xrightarrow{\eta} S^{4n-1}$$

gives rise to the map of exact sequences

$$\begin{split} \tilde{K}O(S^{4n-1}) &\leftarrow \tilde{K}O(S^{4n-2}) &\leftarrow \tilde{K}O(CP^{2n}/CP^{2n-2}) \\ \downarrow i_{*} & \downarrow i_{*} & \downarrow i_{*} \\ [S^{4n-1}, BSJ] &\leftarrow [S^{4n-2}, BSJ] \leftarrow [CP^{2n}/CP^{2n-2}, BSJ] \\ &\leftarrow \tilde{K}O(S^{4n}) \leftarrow \tilde{K}O(S^{4n-1}) \\ & \downarrow i_{*} & \downarrow i_{*} \\ &\leftarrow [S^{4n}, BSJ] \leftarrow [S^{4n-1}, BSJ]. \end{split}$$

If n is even, diagram (C) becomes

The right hand vertical map is surjective. Therefore the left hand vertical map is surjective. Thus each element of  $[CP^{2n}/CP^{2n-2}, BSJ]$  maps into  $J(CP^{2n}/CP^{2n-2})$ . Notice too that  $[CP^{2n}/CP^{2n-2}, BSJ]$  is  $Z/2^k$ , generated by  $i_*(\nu^{2n-1})$  where  $\nu$  is the realification of the stable canonical line bundle over  $CP^{2n}$ . By [2],  $J(CP^{2n}/CP^{2n-2})$  is also  $Z/2^k$  generated by  $\nu^{2n-1}$ . This proves the lemma in case n is even.

If n is odd, diagram (C) becomes

By [2], the group  $\tilde{K}O(CP^{2n}/CP^{2n-2})$  is free abelian on the generator  $\nu^{2n-1}$ . This generator maps nontrivially to Z/2. The  $\alpha$  image of the generator of Z is

$$\nu^{2n} = 2\nu^{2n-1}.$$

Since  $i_* \neq 0$  on Z/2, we know that  $i_*\nu^{2n-1} \neq 0$ . Therefore  $i_*\nu^{2n-1}$  and  $i_*\nu^{2n}$ 

THE MAP 
$$SJ \rightarrow SF$$
 743

generate  $[CP^{2n}/CP^{2n-2}, BSJ]$ . Indeed, this argument shows that  $[CP^{2n}/CP^{2n-2}, BSJ]$  is cyclic of order 8 or 16, generated by  $i_*\nu^{2n-1}$ . The correct order is 8, for the homotopy table of BSJ shows that  $\mu_{8k+3\eta} = 4\nu_{8k+4}$ , so that the map  $Z/2 \rightarrow Z/8$  is nontrivial. Since the middle vertical map is again surjective,  $[CP^{2n}/CP^{2n-2}, BSJ]$  maps into  $J(CP^{2n}/CP^{2n-2})$ . Since the generator of the former group maps again to the generator of the latter group, the map is again bijective.

LEMMA 4. The map  $BSJ \rightarrow BSF$  induces an isomorphism of exact sequences

if  $n \geq 1$ .

*Proof.* The lower sequence is exact by [2]. If n = 1, then  $CP^{2n-2}$  is a one point space. Therefore the left hand groups are both 0 and q is the identity. The result then follows from Lemma 3. Continuing inductively, since  $[CP^{2n}, BSJ]$  is generated by  $i_{*}\nu^{k}$  for  $1 \leq k \leq 2n$  and  $i_{*}\nu^{2n-1}(=q^{*}i_{*}\nu^{2n-1})$ , the middle vertical map is well defined. Since the left and right hand vertical maps are isomorphisms by inductive hypothesis and by Lemma 3 respectively, it follows that the middle vertical map is an isomorphism.

Let  $\lambda : \mathbb{R}P^{12} \to \mathbb{C}P^6$  be the restriction of the nontrivial map  $\mathbb{R}P \to \mathbb{C}P$ . Let  $C_{\lambda}$  denote the mapping cone.

LEMMA 5. There is a homotopy commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} C_{\lambda} & \xrightarrow{f} BSO \\ h & & \downarrow i \\ S(RP^{12}) \xrightarrow{g} BSJ \end{array}$$

satisfying the following conditions:

(1) In Z cohomology,  $f^*P_3 = -4z_{12}$ .

(2) In 
$$Z/2$$
 cohomology,  $f^*w_{12} = f^*w_{13} = 0$ .

(3) In Z/2 cohomology,  $g^*e_{12} = g^*e_{13} = 0$ .

(Here  $z_{12}$  denotes the generator of  $H^{12}(C_{\lambda}; Z) = Z$ .)

Proof. The composition

$$RP^{12} \xrightarrow{\lambda} CP^6 \xrightarrow{\eta^3 - \eta} BU \xrightarrow{r} BSO \xrightarrow{J} BSF$$

is trivial and

$$J: KSO(RP^{12}) \rightarrow [RP^{12}, BSF]$$

is injective. Thus the composition  $r \circ (\eta^3 - \eta) \circ \lambda$  is trivial and we obtain the commutative diagram



Since all Stiefel-Whitney classes of the nontrivial map  $SRP \rightarrow BSO$  are nonzero, f can be chosen so that  $f^*w_{12} = 0$ . We choose f in this way and notice that  $f^*w_{13} = 0$  since  $w_{13} = Sq^1w_{12}$ .

The composition along the top of the diagram



is trivial. Thus  $i \circ r \circ (\eta^3 - \eta) = 0$  because

 $j_*: [CP^6, BSJ] \rightarrow [CP^6, BSF]$ 

is injective by Lemma 3. This fact enables us to fill g into our diagram. Since  $i^*e_{12} = 0$ , we have  $h^*g^*e_{12} = 0$ . Since  $h^*$  is an isomorphism in even degrees of Z/2 cohomology,  $g^*e_{12} = 0$ . By Lemma 2, we have  $g^*e_{13} = 0$  because  $e_{13} = Sq^1e_{12}$  modulo decomposables.

We calculate  $f^*(P_3)$  as follows: Let P and C denote the total Pontryagin and Chern classes respectively. Then

$$P(r(\eta^{3} - \eta)) = C(cr(\eta^{3} - \eta))$$

$$= C(\eta^{3} + \bar{\eta}^{3} - \eta - \bar{\eta})$$

$$= \frac{C(\eta^{3})C(\bar{\eta}^{3})}{C(\eta)C(\bar{\eta})}$$

$$= \frac{(1 + 3x)(1 - 3x)}{(1 + x)(1 - x)}$$

$$= \frac{1 - 9x^{2}}{1 - x^{2}}$$

$$= 1 - 8x^{2} - 8x^{4} - 8x^{6}$$

where x is the generator of  $H^2(CP^6; Z)$ . Since the map

 $H^{12}(C_{\lambda}; Z) \rightarrow H^{12}(CP^6; Z)$ 

sends  $z_{12}$  to  $2x^6$ , we see that  $f^*P_3 = -4z_{12}$ .

The proof of our theorem now proceeds exactly as in [4].

## References

- 1. J. F. Adams, On the groups J(X), IV, Topology 5 (1966), 21-71.
- 2. J. F. Adams and G. Walker, On Complex Stiefel manifolds, Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. 61 (1965), 81-103.
- **3.** Robert R. Clough, The  $Z_2$ -cohomology of a candidate for  $B_{Im(J)}$ , Illinois J. Math. 14 (1970), 424-433.
- Robert R. Clough and James D. Stasheff, BSJ does not map correctly into BSF mod 2, Manuscripta Math. 7 (1972), 205-214.
- 5. R. J. Milgram, Iterated loop spaces, Ann. of Math. 84 (1966), 386-403.
- 6. J. C. Moore, Algebra homologique et homologie des espaces classifiants, Seminaire Henri Cartan, 1959-1960. Exp. 7.
- 7. Daniel Quillen, The Adams conjecture (to appear).
- 8. M. Rothenberg and N. Steenrod, The cohomology of classifying spaces of H-spaces, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 71 (1965), 872-875.
- 9. Dennis Sullivan, Geometric topology, I: Localization, periodicity, and Galois symmetry, M. I. T., Cambridge, Mass., 1970 (mimeographed notes).
- W. T. Wu, Les i-carres dans une variete grassmannienne, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. A-B 230 (1950), 918–920.

Burroughs Corporation, Chicago, Illinois