

## CENTRALISERS IN THE INFINITE SYMMETRIC INVERSE SEMIGROUP

JANUSZ KONIECZNY

(Received 12 May 2012; accepted 6 August 2012; first published online 28 September 2012)

### Abstract

For an arbitrary set  $X$  (finite or infinite), denote by  $I(X)$  the symmetric inverse semigroup of partial injective transformations on  $X$ . For  $\alpha \in I(X)$ , let  $C(\alpha) = \{\beta \in I(X) : \alpha\beta = \beta\alpha\}$  be the centraliser of  $\alpha$  in  $I(X)$ . For an arbitrary  $\alpha \in I(X)$ , we characterise the transformations  $\beta \in I(X)$  that belong to  $C(\alpha)$ , describe the regular elements of  $C(\alpha)$ , and establish when  $C(\alpha)$  is an inverse semigroup and when it is a completely regular semigroup. In the case where  $\text{dom}(\alpha) = X$ , we determine the structure of  $C(\alpha)$  in terms of Green's relations.

2010 *Mathematics subject classification*: primary 20M20, secondary 20M18.

*Keywords and phrases*: symmetric inverse semigroup, centralisers, regular elements, Green's relations.

### 1. Introduction

For an element  $a$  of a semigroup  $S$ , the *centraliser*  $C(a)$  of  $a$  in  $S$  is defined by  $C(a) = \{x \in S : ax = xa\}$ . It is clear that  $C(a)$  is a subsemigroup of  $S$ . For a set  $X$ , we denote by  $P(X)$  the semigroup of partial transformations on  $X$  (functions whose domain and image are included in  $X$ ), where the multiplication is the composition of functions. The transformation on  $X$  with the empty set as its domain is the zero in  $P(X)$ , which we will denote by  $\emptyset$ . By a transformation semigroup, we will mean any subsemigroup  $S$  of  $P(X)$ . Among transformation semigroups, we have the semigroup  $T(X)$  of full transformations on  $X$  (elements of  $P(X)$  whose domain is  $X$ ).

Numerous papers have been published on centralisers in finite transformation semigroups, for example [6, 8, 15–17, 20, 23–25, 31]. For an infinite  $X$ , the centralisers of idempotent transformations in  $T(X)$  have been studied in [2, 3, 30]. The cardinalities of  $C(\alpha)$ , for certain types of  $\alpha \in T(X)$ , have been established for a countable  $X$  in [12–14]. The author has investigated the centralisers of transformations in  $T(X)$  with a coauthor in [5] and in the semigroup  $\Gamma(X)$  of injective elements of  $T(X)$  [18, 19].

This research has been motivated by the fact that if a transformation semigroup  $S$  contains an identity  $1$  or a zero  $0$ , then for any  $\alpha \in S$ , the centraliser  $C(\alpha)$  is a generalisation of  $S$  in the sense that  $S = C(1)$  and  $S = C(0)$ . It is therefore of interest

to find out which ideas, approaches, and techniques used to study  $S$  can be extended to the centralisers of its elements, and how these centralisers differ as semigroups from  $S$ . Centralisers of transformations are also important since they appear in various areas of mathematical research, for example, in the study of automorphism groups of semigroups [4]; in the theory of unary algebras [11, 29]; and in the study of commuting graphs [1, 7, 10].

Denote by  $I(X)$  the symmetric inverse semigroup on a set  $X$ , which is the subsemigroup of  $P(X)$  that consists of all *partial injective* transformations on  $X$ . The semigroup  $I(X)$  is universal for the important class of inverse semigroups (see [9, Ch. 5] and [26]) since every inverse semigroup can be embedded in some  $I(X)$  [9, Theorem 5.1.7]. This is analogous to the fact that every group can be embedded in some symmetric group  $\text{Sym}(X)$  of permutations on  $X$ . We note that  $\text{Sym}(X)$  is the group of units of  $I(X)$ .

The purpose of this paper is to study centralisers in the infinite symmetric inverse semigroup  $I(X)$ . (Centralisers in the finite  $I(X)$  have been studied in [22].) In Section 2 we show that any  $\alpha \in I(X)$  can be uniquely expressed as a join of disjoint cycles, rays and chains. This is analogous to expressing any permutation  $\sigma \in \text{Sym}(X)$  as a product of disjoint (finite or infinite) cycles [28, Theorem 1.3.4]. Let  $\alpha \in I(X)$ . In Section 3 we use the decomposition theorem to characterise the transformations  $\beta \in I(X)$  that are members of  $C(\alpha)$ . In Section 4 we describe the regular elements of  $C(\alpha)$  and establish when  $C(\alpha)$  is an inverse semigroup and when it is a completely regular semigroup. In Section 5 we determine Green's relations in  $C(\alpha)$  (including the partial orders of  $\mathcal{L}$ -,  $\mathcal{R}$ -, and  $\mathcal{J}$ -classes) for  $\alpha \in I(X)$  such that  $\text{dom}(\alpha) = X$ .

## 2. Decomposition of $\alpha \in I(X)$

In this section, we show that every  $\alpha \in I(X)$  can be uniquely decomposed into basic transformations called cycles, rays and chains.

Let  $\gamma \in P(X)$ . We denote the domain of  $\gamma$  by  $\text{dom}(\gamma)$  and the image of  $\gamma$  by  $\text{im}(\gamma)$ . The union  $\text{dom}(\gamma) \cup \text{im}(\gamma)$  will be called the *span* of  $\gamma$  and denoted  $\text{span}(\gamma)$ . As in [5], we will call  $\gamma$  *connected* if  $\gamma \neq \emptyset$  and, for all  $x, y \in \text{span}(\gamma)$ , there are integers  $k, m \geq 0$  such that  $x \in \text{dom}(\gamma^k)$ ,  $y \in \text{dom}(\gamma^m)$ , and  $x\gamma^k = y\gamma^m$ , where  $\gamma^0 = \text{id}_X$ . (We will write mappings on the right and compose from left to right; that is, for  $f: A \rightarrow B$  and  $g: B \rightarrow C$ , we will write  $xf$ , rather than  $f(x)$ , and  $x(fg)$ , rather than  $g(f(x))$ .)

Let  $\gamma, \delta \in P(X)$ . We say that  $\delta$  is *contained* in  $\gamma$  (or  $\gamma$  *contains*  $\delta$ ), if  $\text{dom}(\delta) \subseteq \text{dom}(\gamma)$  and  $x\delta = x\gamma$  for every  $x \in \text{dom}(\delta)$ . We say that  $\gamma$  and  $\delta$  are *completely disjoint* if  $\text{span}(\gamma) \cap \text{span}(\delta) = \emptyset$ .

**DEFINITION 2.1.** Let  $M$  be a set of pairwise completely disjoint elements of  $P(X)$ . The *join* of the elements of  $M$ , denoted  $\bigsqcup_{\gamma \in M} \gamma$ , is the element of  $P(X)$  whose domain is  $\bigcup_{\gamma \in M} \text{dom}(\gamma)$  and whose values are defined by

$$x \left( \bigsqcup_{\gamma \in M} \gamma \right) = x\gamma_0$$

where  $\gamma_0$  is the (unique) element of  $M$  such that  $x \in \text{dom}(\gamma_0)$ . If  $M = \emptyset$ , we define  $\sqcup_{\gamma \in M} \gamma$  to be  $\emptyset$ . If  $M = \{\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \dots, \gamma_k\}$  is finite, we may write the join as  $\gamma_1 \sqcup \gamma_2 \sqcup \dots \sqcup \gamma_k$ .

The following result has been proved in [5].

**PROPOSITION 2.2.** *Let  $\alpha \in P(X)$  with  $\alpha \neq \emptyset$ . Then there exists a unique set  $M$  of pairwise completely disjoint, connected elements of  $P(X)$  such that  $\alpha = \sqcup_{\gamma \in M} \gamma$ .*

The elements of the set  $M$  from Proposition 2.2 are called the *connected components* of  $\alpha$ .

**DEFINITION 2.3.** Let  $\dots, x_{-2}, x_{-1}, x_0, x_1, x_2, \dots$  be pairwise distinct elements of  $X$ . The following elements of  $\mathcal{I}(X)$  will be called *basic partial transformations* on  $X$ .

- A *cycle* of length  $k$  ( $k \geq 1$ ), written  $(x_0 x_1 \dots x_{k-1})$ , is an element  $\sigma \in \mathcal{I}(X)$  with  $\text{dom}(\sigma) = \{x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{k-1}\}$ ,  $x_i \sigma = x_{i+1}$  for all  $0 \leq i < k - 1$ , and  $x_{k-1} \sigma = x_0$ .
- A *right ray*, written  $[x_0 x_1 x_2 \dots)$ , is an element  $\eta \in \mathcal{I}(X)$  with  $\text{dom}(\eta) = \{x_0, x_1, x_2, \dots\}$  and  $x_i \eta = x_{i+1}$  for all  $i \geq 0$ .
- A *double ray*, written  $\langle \dots x_{-1} x_0 x_1 \dots \rangle$ , is an element  $\omega \in \mathcal{I}(X)$  such that  $\text{dom}(\omega) = \{\dots, x_{-1}, x_0, x_1, \dots\}$  and  $x_i \omega = x_{i+1}$  for all  $i$ .
- A *left ray*, written  $\langle \dots x_2 x_1 x_0 \rangle$ , is an element  $\lambda \in \mathcal{I}(X)$  with  $\text{dom}(\lambda) = \{x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots\}$  and  $x_i \lambda = x_{i-1}$  for all  $i > 0$ .
- A *chain* of length  $k$  ( $k \geq 1$ ), written  $[x_0 x_1 \dots x_k]$ , is an element  $\tau \in \mathcal{I}(X)$  with  $\text{dom}(\tau) = \{x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{k-1}\}$  and  $x_i \tau = x_{i+1}$  for all  $0 \leq i < k - 1$ .

By a *ray* we will mean a double, right, or left ray.

We note the following:

- The span of a basic partial transformation is exhibited by the notation. For example, the span of the right ray  $[1 2 3 \dots)$  is  $\{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$ .
- The left bracket in ' $\varepsilon = [x \dots$ ' indicates that  $x \notin \text{im}(\varepsilon)$ ; while the right bracket in ' $\varepsilon = \dots x]$ ' indicates that  $x \notin \text{dom}(\varepsilon)$ . For example, for the chain  $\tau = [1 2 3 4]$ ,  $\text{dom}(\tau) = \{1, 2, 3\}$  and  $\text{im}(\tau) = \{2, 3, 4\}$ .
- A cycle  $(x_0 x_1 \dots x_{k-1})$  differs from the corresponding cycle in the symmetric group of permutations on  $X$  in that the former is undefined for every  $x \in X \setminus \{x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{k-1}\}$ , while the latter fixes every such  $x$ .

It is clear that the connected components of  $\alpha \in \mathcal{I}(X)$  are precisely the basic partial transformations contained in  $\alpha$ . Thus, the following decomposition result follows immediately from Proposition 2.2.

**PROPOSITION 2.4.** *Let  $\alpha \in \mathcal{I}(X)$  with  $\alpha \neq \emptyset$ . Then there exist unique sets  $A$  of right rays,  $B$  of double rays,  $C$  of cycles,  $P$  of left rays, and  $Q$  of chains such that the transformations in  $A \cup B \cup C \cup P \cup Q$  are pairwise disjoint and*

$$\alpha = \sqcup_{\eta \in A} \eta \sqcup \sqcup_{\omega \in B} \omega \sqcup \sqcup_{\sigma \in C} \sigma \sqcup \sqcup_{\lambda \in P} \lambda \sqcup \sqcup_{\tau \in Q} \tau. \tag{2.1}$$

We will call the join (2.1) the *ray-cycle-chain decomposition* of  $\alpha$ . We note the following:

- if  $\alpha \in \text{Sym}(X)$ , then  $\alpha = \bigsqcup_{\omega \in B} \omega \sqcup \bigsqcup_{\sigma \in C} \sigma$  (since  $A = P = Q = \emptyset$ ), which corresponds to the decomposition given in [28, 1.3.4];
- if  $\text{dom}(\alpha) = X$ , then  $\alpha = \bigsqcup_{\eta \in A} \eta \sqcup \bigsqcup_{\omega \in B} \omega \sqcup \bigsqcup_{\sigma \in C} \sigma$  (since  $P = Q = \emptyset$ ), which corresponds to the decomposition given in [21];
- if  $X$  is finite, then  $\alpha = \bigsqcup_{\sigma \in C} \sigma \sqcup \bigsqcup_{\tau \in Q} \tau$  (since  $A = B = P = \emptyset$ ), which is the decomposition given in [22, Theorem 3.2].

**REMARK 2.5.** Let  $\alpha \in \mathcal{I}(X)$  with the ray-cycle-chain decomposition as in (2.1). Then, for every  $x \in X$ :

- (1) if  $\sigma \in A$  and  $x \in \text{span}(\sigma)$ , then  $x\alpha^p = x$  for some  $p \geq 1$ ;
- (2) if  $\lambda \in P$ ,  $\tau \in Q$ , and  $x \in \text{span}(\lambda) \cup \text{span}(\tau)$ , then  $x\alpha^p \notin \text{dom}(\alpha)$  for some  $p \geq 0$ .

### 3. Members of $C(\alpha)$

In this section, for an arbitrary  $\alpha \in \mathcal{I}(X)$ , we determine which transformations  $\beta \in \mathcal{I}(X)$  belong to  $C(\alpha)$ . For  $\alpha \in P(X)$  and  $x, y \in X$ , we write  $x \xrightarrow{\alpha} y$  if  $x \in \text{dom}(\alpha)$  and  $x\alpha = y$ . The following proposition applies to any semigroup of partial transformations.

**PROPOSITION 3.1.** *Let  $S$  be any subsemigroup of  $P(X)$ ,  $\alpha \in S$ , and  $C(\alpha) = \{\beta \in S : \alpha\beta = \beta\alpha\}$ . Then for every  $\beta \in S$ ,  $\beta \in C(\alpha)$  if and only if for all  $x, y \in X$ , the following conditions are satisfied.*

- (1) If  $x \xrightarrow{\alpha} y$  and  $y \in \text{dom}(\beta)$ , then  $x \in \text{dom}(\beta)$  and  $x\beta \xrightarrow{\alpha} y\beta$ .
- (2) If  $x \xrightarrow{\alpha} y$ ,  $x \in \text{dom}(\beta)$ , and  $y \notin \text{dom}(\beta)$ , then  $x\beta \notin \text{dom}(\alpha)$ .
- (3) If  $x \notin \text{dom}(\alpha)$  and  $x \in \text{dom}(\beta)$ , then  $x\beta \notin \text{dom}(\alpha)$ .

**PROOF.** Suppose that  $\beta \in C(\alpha)$ , that is,  $\alpha\beta = \beta\alpha$ . Let  $x \xrightarrow{\alpha} y$  and  $y \in \text{dom}(\beta)$ . Then  $x \in \text{dom}(\alpha\beta) = \text{dom}(\beta\alpha) \subseteq \text{dom}(\beta)$ . Further,  $y\beta = (x\alpha)\beta = (x\beta)\alpha$ , and so  $x\beta \xrightarrow{\alpha} y\beta$ . Let  $x \xrightarrow{\alpha} y$ ,  $x \in \text{dom}(\beta)$ , and  $y \notin \text{dom}(\beta)$ . Then  $x\beta \notin \text{dom}(\alpha)$  since otherwise we would have  $x \in \text{dom}(\beta\alpha) = \text{dom}(\alpha\beta)$ , which would imply that  $y = x\alpha \in \text{dom}(\beta)$ . Let  $x \notin \text{dom}(\alpha)$  and  $x \in \text{dom}(\beta)$ . Then  $x\beta \notin \text{dom}(\alpha)$  since otherwise we would have  $x \in \text{dom}(\beta\alpha) = \text{dom}(\alpha\beta) \subseteq \text{dom}(\alpha)$ . Hence (1)–(3) hold.

Conversely, suppose that (1)–(3) are satisfied. Let  $x \in \text{dom}(\alpha\beta)$ , that is,  $x \in \text{dom}(\alpha)$  and  $y = x\alpha \in \text{dom}(\beta)$ . Then, by (1),  $x \in \text{dom}(\beta)$  and  $x\beta \in \text{dom}(\alpha)$ , that is,  $x \in \text{dom}(\beta\alpha)$ . Let  $x \in \text{dom}(\beta\alpha)$ , that is,  $x \in \text{dom}(\beta)$  and  $x\beta \in \text{dom}(\alpha)$ . Then  $x \in \text{dom}(\alpha)$  by (3), and so  $y = x\alpha \in \text{dom}(\beta)$  by (2). Hence  $x \in \text{dom}(\alpha\beta)$ . We have proved that  $\text{dom}(\alpha\beta) = \text{dom}(\beta\alpha)$ . Let  $x \in \text{dom}(\alpha\beta)$ . Then  $x \xrightarrow{\alpha} x\alpha$ , which implies that  $x\beta \xrightarrow{\alpha} (x\alpha)\beta$  by (1). But the latter means that  $(x\beta)\alpha = (x\alpha)\beta$ . Thus  $x(\alpha\beta) = x(\beta\alpha)$ , and so  $\alpha\beta = \beta\alpha$ . Hence  $\beta \in C(\alpha)$ .  $\square$

It will be convenient to extend the concept of the chain (see Definition 2.3) by defining the chain  $[x_0]$  of length 0 (where  $x_0 \in X$ ) to be the set  $\{x_0\}$  and agree that  $\text{span}([x_0]) = \{x_0\}$ . We also agree that, for a cycle  $(y_0 y_1 \dots y_{k-1})$  and an integer  $i$ ,  $y_i$  will mean  $y_r$  where  $r \equiv i \pmod k$  and  $r \in \{0, \dots, k-1\}$ .

**DEFINITION 3.2.** Let  $\beta \in \mathcal{I}(X)$ . Let  $\sigma = (x_0 \dots x_{k-1})$  and  $\sigma_1 = (y_0 \dots y_{k-1})$  be cycles of the same length,  $\eta = [x_0 x_1 \dots]$  and  $\eta_1 = [y_0 y_1 \dots]$  be right rays,  $\omega = \langle \dots x_{-1} x_0 x_1 \dots \rangle$  and  $\omega_1 = \langle \dots y_{-1} y_0 y_1 \dots \rangle$  be double rays,  $\lambda = \langle \dots x_1 x_0 \rangle$  and  $\lambda_1 = \langle \dots y_1 y_0 \rangle$  be left rays, and  $\tau = [x_0 \dots x_k]$  and  $\tau_1 = [y_0 \dots y_k]$  be chains of the same length (possibly zero).

We say that  $\beta$  maps  $\sigma$  onto  $\sigma_1$  if  $\text{span}(\sigma_1) \subseteq \text{dom}(\beta)$  and, for some  $j \in \{0, \dots, k - 1\}$ ,

$$x_0\beta = y_j, x_1\beta = y_{j+1}, \dots, x_{k-1}\beta = y_{j+k-1};$$

$\beta$  maps  $\eta$  onto  $\eta_1$  if  $\text{span}(\eta) \subseteq \text{dom}(\beta)$  and  $x_i\beta = y_i$  for all  $i \geq 0$ ;  $\beta$  maps  $\omega$  onto  $\omega_1$  if  $\text{span}(\omega) \subseteq \text{dom}(\beta)$  and, for some  $j$ ,  $x_i\beta = y_{j+i}$  for all  $i$ ;  $\beta$  maps  $\lambda$  onto  $\lambda_1$  if  $\text{span}(\lambda) \subseteq \text{dom}(\beta)$  and  $x_i\beta = y_i$  for all  $i \geq 0$ ; and  $\beta$  maps  $\tau$  onto  $\tau_1$  if  $\text{span}(\tau) \subseteq \text{dom}(\beta)$  and  $x_i\beta = y_i$  for all  $i \in \{0, \dots, k\}$ .

**DEFINITION 3.3.** Let  $\eta = [x_0 x_1 \dots]$  be a right ray,  $\tau = [x_0 \dots x_k]$  be a chain ( $k \geq 0$ ),  $\omega = \langle \dots x_{-1} x_0 x_1 \dots \rangle$  be a double ray, and  $\lambda = \langle \dots x_1 x_0 \rangle$  be a left ray.

Any chain  $[x_0 \dots x_i]$ , where  $i \geq 0$ , is an *initial segment* of  $\eta$ ; and any chain  $[x_0 \dots x_i]$ , where  $0 \leq i \leq k$ , is an *initial segment* of  $\tau$ .

Any left ray  $\langle \dots x_{i-1} x_i \rangle$ , where  $i$  is any integer, is an *initial segment* of  $\omega$ ; and any left ray  $\langle \dots x_{i+1} x_i \rangle$ , where  $i \geq 0$ , is an *initial segment* of  $\lambda$ .

Any chain  $[x_i \dots x_k]$ , where  $0 \leq i \leq k$ , is a *terminal segment* of  $\tau$ ; and any chain  $[x_i \dots x_0]$ , where  $i \geq 0$ , is a *terminal segment* of  $\lambda$ .

For  $\alpha \in \mathcal{I}(X)$ , let  $A, B, C, P$ , and  $Q$  be the sets that occur in the ray–cycle–chain decomposition of  $\alpha$  (see (2.1)). By  $A_\alpha, B_\alpha, C_\alpha, P_\alpha$ , and  $Q_\alpha$  we will mean the following sets:

$$A_\alpha = A, \quad B_\alpha = B, \quad C_\alpha = C, \quad P_\alpha = P, \quad Q_\alpha = Q \cup \{[x_0] : x_0 \notin \text{span}(\alpha)\}.$$

We now have the tools to characterise the members of the centraliser  $C(\alpha)$ .

**THEOREM 3.4.** Let  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathcal{I}(X)$ . Then  $\beta \in C(\alpha)$  if and only if for all  $\eta \in A_\alpha, \omega \in B_\alpha, \sigma \in C_\alpha, \lambda \in P_\alpha$ , and  $\tau \in Q_\alpha$ , the following conditions are satisfied.

- (1) If  $\text{span}(\eta) \subseteq \text{dom}(\beta)$ , then there is  $\eta_1 = [y_0 y_1 \dots] \in A_\alpha$  or  $\omega_1 = \langle \dots y_{-1} y_0 y_1 \dots \rangle \in B_\alpha$  such that  $\beta$  maps  $\eta$  onto  $[y_j y_{j+1} \dots]$  for some  $j$ .
- (2) If  $\text{span}(\eta) \cap \text{dom}(\beta) \neq \emptyset$  but  $\text{span}(\eta) \not\subseteq \text{dom}(\beta)$ , then there is an initial segment  $\tau'$  of  $\eta$  such that  $\text{span}(\eta) \cap \text{dom}(\beta) = \text{span}(\tau')$  and  $\beta$  maps  $\tau'$  onto a terminal segment of some  $\lambda_1 \in P_\alpha$  or onto a terminal segment of some  $\tau_1 \in Q_\alpha$ .
- (3) If  $\text{span}(\omega) \subseteq \text{dom}(\beta)$ , then  $\beta$  maps  $\omega$  onto some  $\omega_1 \in B_\alpha$ .
- (4) If  $\text{span}(\omega) \cap \text{dom}(\beta) \neq \emptyset$  but  $\text{span}(\omega) \not\subseteq \text{dom}(\beta)$ , then there is an initial segment  $\lambda'$  of  $\omega$  such that  $\text{span}(\omega) \cap \text{dom}(\beta) = \text{span}(\lambda')$  and  $\beta$  maps  $\lambda'$  onto some  $\lambda_1 \in P_\alpha$ .
- (5) If  $\text{span}(\sigma) \cap \text{dom}(\beta) \neq \emptyset$ , then  $\beta$  maps  $\sigma$  onto some  $\sigma_1 \in C_\alpha$ .
- (6) If  $\text{span}(\lambda) \cap \text{dom}(\beta) \neq \emptyset$ , then there is an initial segment  $\lambda'$  (possibly  $\lambda$  itself) of  $\lambda$  such that  $\text{span}(\lambda) \cap \text{dom}(\beta) = \text{span}(\lambda')$  and  $\beta$  maps  $\lambda'$  onto some  $\lambda_1 \in P_\alpha$ .

(7) If  $\text{span}(\tau) \cap \text{dom}(\beta) \neq \emptyset$ , then there is an initial segment  $\tau'$  (possibly  $\tau$  itself) of  $\tau$  such that  $\text{span}(\tau) \cap \text{dom}(\beta) = \text{span}(\tau')$  and  $\beta$  maps  $\tau'$  onto a terminal segment of some  $\lambda_1 \in P_\alpha$  or onto a terminal segment of some  $\tau_1 \in Q_\alpha$ .

**PROOF.** Suppose that  $\beta \in C(\alpha)$ . Let  $\eta = [x_0 x_1 x_2 \dots] \in A_\alpha$ . Then

$$x_0 \xrightarrow{\alpha} x_1 \xrightarrow{\alpha} x_2 \xrightarrow{\alpha} \dots \tag{3.1}$$

Suppose that  $\text{span}(\eta) \subseteq \text{dom}(\beta)$ . Then, by Proposition 3.1,

$$x_0\beta \xrightarrow{\alpha} x_1\beta \xrightarrow{\alpha} x_2\beta \xrightarrow{\alpha} \dots \tag{3.2}$$

By Proposition 2.4, there is  $\eta_1 = [y_0 y_1 \dots] \in A_\alpha$  or  $\omega_1 = \langle \dots y_{-1} y_0 y_1 \dots \rangle \in B_\alpha$  such that  $x_0\beta = y_j$  for some  $j$ . (By Remark 2.5,  $x_0\beta$  cannot be in the span of  $\sigma \in A_\alpha, \lambda \in P_\alpha$ , or  $\tau \in Q_\alpha$ .) Hence  $\beta$  maps  $\eta$  onto  $[y_j y_{j+1} \dots]$  by (3.2).

Suppose that  $\text{span}(\eta) \cap \text{dom}(\beta) \neq \emptyset$  but  $\text{span}(\eta) \not\subseteq \text{dom}(\beta)$ . Then, there is  $i \geq 0$  such that  $x_i \in \text{dom}(\beta)$  but  $x_{i+1} \notin \text{dom}(\beta)$ . By (3.1) and Proposition 3.1,  $\text{span}(\eta) \cap \text{dom}(\beta) = \{x_0, \dots, x_i\}$ ,  $x_i\beta \notin \text{dom}(\alpha)$ , and

$$x_0\beta \xrightarrow{\alpha} x_1\beta \xrightarrow{\alpha} \dots \xrightarrow{\alpha} x_i\beta. \tag{3.3}$$

Since  $x_i\beta \notin \text{dom}(\alpha)$ , it follows by Proposition 2.4 that there is  $\lambda_1 = \langle \dots y_1 y_0 \rangle \in P_\alpha$  such that  $x_i\beta = y_0$ , or there is  $\tau_1 = [y_0 \dots y_k] \in Q_\alpha$  such that  $x_i\beta = y_k$ . Hence, by (3.3), for the initial segment  $\tau' = [x_0 \dots x_i]$  of  $\eta$ ,  $\beta$  maps  $\tau'$  onto the terminal segment  $[y_{i-1} \dots y_0]$  of  $\lambda_1$  or onto the terminal segment  $[y_{k-i} \dots y_k]$  of  $\tau_1$ . We have proved (1) and (2). The proofs of (3) and (4) are similar.

Let  $\sigma = (x_0 \dots x_{k-1}) \in A_\alpha$ . Then

$$x_0 \xrightarrow{\alpha} x_1 \xrightarrow{\alpha} \dots \xrightarrow{\alpha} x_{k-1} \xrightarrow{\alpha} x_0.$$

Suppose that  $\text{span}(\sigma) \cap \text{dom}(\beta) \neq \emptyset$ , that is,  $x_i \in \text{dom}(\beta)$  for some  $i$ . Then, by Proposition 3.1,  $\text{span}(\sigma) \subseteq \text{dom}(\beta)$  and

$$x_0\beta \xrightarrow{\alpha} x_1\beta \xrightarrow{\alpha} \dots \xrightarrow{\alpha} x_{k-1}\beta \xrightarrow{\alpha} x_0\beta,$$

and so  $\beta$  maps  $\sigma$  onto  $\sigma_1 = (x_0\beta \dots x_{k-1}\beta) \in A_\alpha$ . This proves (5).

Let  $\lambda = \langle \dots x_2 x_1 x_0 \rangle \in P_\alpha$ , so

$$\dots \xrightarrow{\alpha} x_2 \xrightarrow{\alpha} x_1 \xrightarrow{\alpha} x_0. \tag{3.4}$$

Suppose that  $\text{span}(\lambda) \cap \text{dom}(\beta) \neq \emptyset$ . Let  $i$  be the smallest nonnegative integer such that  $x_i \in \text{dom}(\beta)$ . By (3.4) and Proposition 3.1,  $\text{span}(\lambda) \cap \text{dom}(\beta) = \{\dots, x_{i+1}, x_i\}$ ,  $x_i\beta \notin \text{dom}(\alpha)$ , and

$$\dots \xrightarrow{\alpha} x_{i+2}\beta \xrightarrow{\alpha} x_{i+1}\beta \xrightarrow{\alpha} x_i\beta. \tag{3.5}$$

Since  $x_i\beta \notin \text{dom}(\alpha)$ , it follows by Proposition 2.4 that there is  $\lambda_1 = \langle \dots y_1 y_0 \rangle \in P_\alpha$  such that  $x_i\beta = y_0$ , or there is  $\tau_1 = [y_0 \dots y_k] \in Q_\alpha$  such that  $x_i\beta = y_k$ . But the latter

is impossible since we would have  $y_0 \notin \text{dom}(\alpha)$  and  $y_0 = x_{i+k}\beta \in \text{dom}(\alpha)$ . Hence, by (3.5), for the initial segment  $\lambda' = \langle \dots x_{i+1} x_i \rangle$  of  $\lambda$ ,  $\beta$  maps  $\lambda'$  onto  $\lambda_1$ . We have proved (6). The proof of (7) is similar.

Conversely, suppose that  $\beta$  satisfies (1)–(7). We will prove that (1)–(3) of Proposition 3.1 hold for  $\beta$ . Let  $x, y \in X$ . Suppose that  $x \xrightarrow{\alpha} y$  and  $y \in \text{dom}(\beta)$ . If  $y \in \text{span}(\eta)$  for some  $\eta \in A_\alpha$ , then  $x \in \text{dom}(\beta)$  and  $x\beta \xrightarrow{\alpha} y\beta$  by (1) and (2). Similarly,  $x \in \text{dom}(\beta)$  and  $x\beta \xrightarrow{\alpha} y\beta$  in each of the remaining possibilities: if  $y \in \text{span}(\omega)$  for some  $\omega \in B_\alpha$  by (3) and (4); if  $y \in \text{span}(\sigma)$  for some  $\sigma \in A_\alpha$  by (5); if  $y \in \text{span}(\lambda)$  for some  $\lambda \in P_\alpha$  by (6); and finally, if  $y \in \text{span}(\tau)$  for some  $\tau \in Q_\alpha$  by (7).

Suppose that  $x \xrightarrow{\alpha} y$ ,  $x \in \text{dom}(\beta)$ , and  $y \notin \text{dom}(\beta)$ . This is only possible when  $\beta$  satisfies (2), (4), (6), or (7) with  $x$  being the terminal point of the relevant initial segment, and so  $x\beta \notin \text{dom}(\alpha)$ . Finally, suppose that  $x \notin \text{dom}(\alpha)$  and  $x \in \text{dom}(\beta)$ . This can only happen when  $x$  is the terminal point of some  $\lambda \in P_\alpha$  or some  $\tau \in Q_\alpha$ , and so  $x\beta \notin \text{dom}(\alpha)$  by (6) and (7).

Hence  $\beta$  satisfies (1)–(3) of Proposition 3.1, and so  $\beta \in C(\alpha)$ . □

#### 4. Inverse and completely regular centralisers

In this section, for an arbitrary  $\alpha \in \mathcal{I}(X)$ , we characterise the regular elements of  $C(\alpha)$ . We also determine for which  $\alpha \in \mathcal{I}(X)$  the centraliser  $C(\alpha)$  is an inverse semigroup, and for which  $\alpha \in \mathcal{I}(X)$  it is a completely regular semigroup.

An element  $a$  of a semigroup  $S$  is called *regular* if  $a = axa$  for some  $x \in S$ . If all elements of  $S$  are regular, we say that  $S$  is a *regular semigroup*. An element  $a' \in S$  is called an *inverse* of  $a \in S$  if  $a = aa'a$  and  $a' = a'ad'$ . Since regular elements are precisely those that have inverses (if  $a = axa$  then  $a' = xax$  is an inverse of  $a$ ), we may define a regular semigroup as a semigroup in which each element has an inverse [9, p. 51].

Two important classes of regular semigroups are inverse semigroups [26] and completely regular semigroups [27]. A semigroup  $S$  is called an *inverse semigroup* if every element of  $S$  has exactly one inverse [26, Definition II.1.1]. An alternative definition is that  $S$  is an inverse semigroup if it is a regular semigroup and its idempotents (elements  $e \in S$  such that  $ee = e$ ) commute [9, Theorem 5.1.1]. A semigroup  $S$  is called a *completely regular semigroup* if every element of  $S$  is in some subgroup of  $S$  [9, p. 103].

For  $\beta \in P(X)$  and  $Y \subseteq X$ , we denote by  $Y\beta$  the image of  $Y$  under  $\beta$ , that is,  $Y\beta = \{x\beta : x \in Y \cap \text{dom}(\beta)\}$ .

**DEFINITION 4.1.** Let  $\alpha \in \mathcal{I}(X)$ ,  $M_\alpha = A_\alpha \cup B_\alpha \cup C_\alpha \cup P_\alpha \cup Q_\alpha$ , and  $\beta \in C(\alpha)$ . We define a partial transformation  $\Psi_\beta$  on  $M_\alpha$  by

$$\begin{aligned} \text{dom}(\Psi_\beta) &= \{\varepsilon \in M_\alpha : \text{span}(\varepsilon) \cap \text{dom}(\beta) \neq \emptyset\}, \\ \varepsilon\Psi_\beta &= \text{the unique } \varepsilon_1 \in M_\alpha \text{ such that } (\text{span}(\varepsilon))\beta \subseteq \text{span}(\varepsilon_1). \end{aligned}$$

Note that  $\Psi_\beta$  is well defined and injective by Theorem 3.4; that is,  $\Psi_\beta \in \mathcal{I}(M_\alpha)$ .

The following lemma follows immediately from Definition 4.1 and Theorem 3.4.

**LEMMA 4.2.** *Let  $\alpha \in I(X)$ . Then for all  $\beta, \gamma \in C(\alpha)$ :*

- (1)  $\Psi_{\beta\gamma} = \Psi_\beta\Psi_\gamma$ ;
- (2)  $A_\alpha\Psi_\beta \subseteq A_\alpha \cup B_\alpha \cup P_\alpha \cup Q_\alpha$ ;
- (3)  $B_\alpha\Psi_\beta \subseteq B_\alpha \cup P_\alpha$ ;
- (4) if  $\sigma \in C_\alpha \cap \text{dom}(\Psi_\beta)$ , then  $\sigma\Psi_\beta$  is a cycle in  $C_\alpha$  of the same length as  $\sigma$ ;
- (5)  $P_\alpha\Psi_\beta \subseteq P_\alpha$ ;
- (6)  $Q_\alpha\Psi_\beta \subseteq Q_\alpha \cup P_\alpha$ .

**LEMMA 4.3.** *Let  $\alpha \in I(X)$  and let  $\beta, \gamma \in C(\alpha)$  be such that  $\beta = \beta\gamma\beta$ . Then  $A_\alpha\Psi_\beta \subseteq A_\alpha$ ,  $B_\alpha\Psi_\beta \subseteq B_\alpha$  and  $Q_\alpha\Psi_\beta \subseteq Q_\alpha$ .*

**PROOF.** First, notice that  $\Psi_\beta = \Psi_{\beta\gamma\beta}$  (since  $\beta = \beta\gamma\beta$ ), and so  $\Psi_\beta = \Psi_\beta\Psi_\gamma\Psi_\beta$  (by Lemma 4.2). Let  $\eta \in A_\alpha \cap \text{dom}(\Psi_\beta)$ . Then, by Lemma 4.2,  $\eta\Psi_\beta \in A_\alpha \cup B_\alpha \cup P_\alpha \cup Q_\alpha$ . Suppose that  $\eta\Psi_\beta \in B_\alpha$  and let  $\omega = \eta\Psi_\beta$ . Then

$$\eta\Psi_\beta = \eta(\Psi_\beta\Psi_\gamma\Psi_\beta) = ((\eta\Psi_\beta)\Psi_\gamma)\Psi_\beta = (\omega\Psi_\gamma)\Psi_\beta.$$

But then  $\omega\Psi_\gamma = \eta$  (since  $\Psi_\beta$  is injective), which contradicts Lemma 4.2 (since  $\omega \in B_\alpha$  and  $\eta \in A_\alpha$ ). Hence  $\eta\Psi_\beta \notin B_\alpha$ . By similar arguments,  $\eta\Psi_\beta$  cannot belong to  $P_\alpha$  or  $Q_\alpha$ , and so  $\eta\Psi_\beta \in A_\alpha$ . We have proved that  $A_\alpha\Psi_\beta \subseteq A_\alpha$ . The proofs that the remaining two inclusions hold are similar. □

**LEMMA 4.4.** *Let  $\alpha \in I(X)$  and let  $\beta, \gamma \in C(\alpha)$  be such that  $\beta = \beta\gamma\beta$ . Then:*

- (1) if  $\eta = [x_0 x_1 \dots] \in A_\alpha \cap \text{dom}(\Psi_\beta)$  and  $\eta\Psi_\beta = [y_0 y_1 \dots] \in A_\alpha$ , then  $x_0\beta = y_0$ ;
- (2) if  $\lambda = \langle \dots x_1 x_0 \rangle \in P_\alpha \cap \text{dom}(\Psi_\beta)$  and  $\lambda\Psi_\beta = \langle \dots y_1 y_0 \rangle \in P_\alpha$ , then  $x_0 \in \text{dom}(\beta)$  and  $x_0\beta = y_0$ ;
- (3) if  $\tau = [x_0 \dots x_k] \in Q_\alpha \cap \text{dom}(\Psi_\beta)$  and  $\tau\Psi_\beta = [y_0 \dots y_m] \in Q_\alpha$ , then  $k = m$ ,  $x_0\beta = y_0$ ,  $x_k \in \text{dom}(\beta)$ , and  $x_k\beta = y_k$ .

**PROOF.** Suppose that  $\eta = [x_0 x_1 \dots] \in A_\alpha \cap \text{dom}(\Psi_\beta)$  and  $\eta\Psi_\beta = \eta_1 = [y_0 y_1 \dots] \in A_\alpha$ . Then, by Theorem 3.4,  $\text{span}(\eta) \subseteq \text{dom}(\beta)$  and  $\beta$  maps  $\eta$  onto  $[y_j y_{j+1} \dots]$  for some  $j$ . Since  $\beta = \beta\gamma\beta$ , we have  $x_0\beta = ((x_0\beta)\gamma)\beta = (y_j\gamma)\beta$  and so  $y_j\gamma = x_0$  (since  $\beta$  is injective). Thus, by Theorem 3.4 again,  $\gamma$  maps  $\eta_1$  onto  $[x_i x_{i+1} \dots]$  for some  $i \geq 0$ . But since  $y_j\gamma = x_0$ , this is only possible when  $i = j = 0$ . Hence  $x_0\beta = y_j = y_0$ . We have proved (1).

Suppose that  $\lambda = \langle \dots x_1 x_0 \rangle \in P_\alpha \cap \text{dom}(\Psi_\beta)$  and  $\lambda\Psi_\beta = \lambda_1 = \langle \dots y_1 y_0 \rangle \in P_\alpha$ . Then, by Theorem 3.4,  $\beta$  maps some initial segment of  $\lambda$ , say  $\langle \dots x_{i+1} x_i \rangle$ , onto  $\lambda_1$ . Since  $\beta = \beta\gamma\beta$ , we have  $x_i\beta = ((x_i\beta)\gamma)\beta = (y_0\gamma)\beta$  and so  $y_0\gamma = x_i$ . Thus, by Theorem 3.4 again,  $\gamma$  maps  $\eta_1$  onto  $\eta$ . Thus  $x_i = y_0\gamma = x_0$ , so  $x_0 = x_i \in \text{dom}(\beta)$  and  $x_0\beta = x_i\beta = y_0$ . We have proved (2).

Suppose that  $\tau = [x_0 \dots x_k] \in Q_\alpha \cap \text{dom}(\Psi_\beta)$  and  $\tau\Psi_\beta = \tau_1 = [y_0 \dots y_m] \in Q_\alpha$ . Then, by Theorem 3.4,  $\beta$  maps some initial segment of  $\tau$ , say  $[x_0 \dots x_i]$ , onto some terminal segment of  $\tau_1$ , say  $[y_j \dots y_m]$ . Then  $x_0\beta = ((x_0\beta)\gamma)\beta = (y_j\gamma)\beta$ , and so  $y_j\gamma = x_0$ . But then, by Theorem 3.4,  $\gamma$  maps some initial segment on  $\tau_1$ , say  $[y_0 \dots y_p]$ ,

onto some terminal segment of  $\tau$ , say  $[x_t \dots x_k]$ . Thus  $x_0 = y_j \gamma = x_{t+j}$ , which implies that  $j = t = 0$ . Hence  $\beta$  maps  $[x_0 \dots x_i]$  onto  $[y_0 \dots y_m]$ , and  $\gamma$  maps  $[y_0 \dots y_p]$  onto  $[x_0 \dots x_k]$ . It follows that  $i = m$  and  $p = k$ , so  $m = i \leq k = p \leq m$ . Hence  $k = m$  and  $\beta$  maps  $\tau$  onto  $\tau_1$ , so  $x_0 \beta = y_0$ ,  $x_k \in \text{dom}(\beta)$ , and  $x_k \beta = y_k$ . We have proved (3).  $\square$

We can now characterise the regular elements of  $C(\alpha)$ .

**THEOREM 4.5.** *Let  $\alpha \in I(X)$  and  $\beta \in C(\alpha)$ . Then  $\beta$  is a regular element of  $C(\alpha)$  if and only if, for every  $\varepsilon \in M_\alpha$ :*

- (1) *if  $\text{span}(\varepsilon) \cap \text{dom}(\beta) \neq \emptyset$  then  $\text{span}(\varepsilon) \subseteq \text{dom}(\beta)$ ; and*
- (2) *if  $\text{span}(\varepsilon) \cap \text{im}(\beta) \neq \emptyset$  then  $\text{span}(\varepsilon) \subseteq \text{im}(\beta)$ .*

**PROOF.** Suppose that  $\beta$  is a regular element of  $C(\alpha)$ , that is,  $\beta = \beta\gamma\beta$  for some  $\gamma \in C(\alpha)$ . Let  $\varepsilon \in M_\alpha = A_\alpha \cup B_\alpha \cup C_\alpha \cup P_\alpha \cup Q_\alpha$ .

Suppose that  $\varepsilon = [x_0 x_1 \dots] \in A_\alpha$  and  $\text{span}(\varepsilon) \cap \text{dom}(\beta) \neq \emptyset$ . Then  $\varepsilon \Psi_\beta \in A_\alpha$  by Lemma 4.3, and so  $\text{span}(\varepsilon) \subseteq \text{dom}(\beta)$  by Theorem 3.4. Suppose that  $\varepsilon = \langle \dots x_1 x_0 \rangle \in P_\alpha$  and  $\text{span}(\varepsilon) \cap \text{dom}(\beta) \neq \emptyset$ . Then  $\varepsilon \Psi_\beta \in P_\alpha$  by Lemma 4.3. Let  $\varepsilon_1 = \varepsilon \Psi_\beta = \langle \dots y_1 y_0 \rangle$ . By Lemma 4.4,  $x_0 \in \text{dom}(\beta)$  and  $x_0 \beta = y_0$ . Thus  $\beta$  maps  $\varepsilon$  onto  $\varepsilon_1$ , and so  $\text{span}(\varepsilon) \subseteq \text{dom}(\beta)$ . If  $\varepsilon \in B_\alpha \cup C_\alpha \cup Q_\alpha$ , then (1) follows by similar arguments.

Suppose that  $\varepsilon = [y_0 y_1 \dots] \in A_\alpha$  and  $\text{span}(\varepsilon) \cap \text{im}(\beta) \neq \emptyset$ . Then  $\varepsilon \in \text{im}(\Psi_\beta)$ , that is,  $\varepsilon = \varepsilon_1 \Psi_\beta$  for some  $\varepsilon_1 \in M_\alpha$ . By Lemmas 4.2 and 4.3,  $\varepsilon_1 \in A_\alpha$ . Let  $\varepsilon_1 = [x_0 x_1 \dots]$ . By Lemma 4.4,  $x_0 \beta = y_0$ . Hence  $\beta$  maps  $\varepsilon_1$  onto  $\varepsilon$ , and so  $\text{span}(\varepsilon) \subseteq \text{im}(\beta)$ . Suppose that  $\varepsilon = [y_0 \dots y_m] \in Q_\alpha$  and  $\text{span}(\varepsilon) \cap \text{dom}(\beta) \neq \emptyset$ . Then  $\varepsilon \in \text{im}(\Psi_\beta)$ , that is,  $\varepsilon = \varepsilon_1 \Psi_\beta$  for some  $\varepsilon_1 \in M_\alpha$ . By Lemmas 4.2 and 4.3,  $\varepsilon_1 \in Q_\alpha$ . Let  $\varepsilon_1 = [x_0 \dots x_k]$ . By Lemma 4.4,  $k = m$ ,  $x_0 \beta = y_0$ ,  $x_k \in \text{dom}(\beta)$ , and  $x_k \beta = y_k$ . Hence  $\beta$  maps  $\varepsilon_1$  onto  $\varepsilon$ , and so  $\text{span}(\varepsilon) \subseteq \text{im}(\beta)$ . If  $\varepsilon \in B_\alpha \cup C_\alpha \cup P_\alpha$ , then (2) follows by similar arguments.

Conversely, suppose that (1) and (2) hold for every  $\varepsilon \in M_\alpha$ . We will define  $\gamma \in C(\alpha)$  such that  $\beta = \beta\gamma\beta$ . Set  $\text{dom}(\gamma) = \bigcup \{ \text{span}(\varepsilon_1) : \varepsilon_1 \in \text{im}(\Psi_\beta) \}$  and note that  $\text{dom}(\gamma) = \text{im}(\beta)$ . Let  $\varepsilon_1 = \lambda_1 \in \text{im}(\Psi_\beta) \cap P_\alpha$ . Then  $\lambda_1 = \varepsilon \Psi_\beta$  for some  $\varepsilon \in M_\alpha$ .

Suppose that  $\varepsilon \in A_\alpha$ . Then, by Theorem 3.4,  $\beta$  maps some initial segment  $\tau'$  of  $\varepsilon$  onto a terminal segment of  $\lambda_1$ , and  $\text{span}(\varepsilon) \cap \text{dom}(\beta) = \text{span}(\tau')$ . But this is impossible since  $\text{span}(\varepsilon) \subseteq \text{dom}(\beta)$  by (1). Suppose that  $\varepsilon \in B_\alpha$ . Then, by Theorem 3.4,  $\beta$  maps some initial segment  $\lambda'$  of  $\varepsilon$  onto  $\lambda$ , and  $\text{span}(\varepsilon) \cap \text{dom}(\beta) = \text{span}(\lambda')$ . Again, this contradicts (1). Suppose that  $\varepsilon \in Q_\alpha$ . Then, by Theorem 3.4,  $\beta$  maps some initial segment  $\tau'$  of  $\varepsilon$  onto some terminal segment  $\tau_1$  of  $\lambda_1$ . But then  $\text{span}(\lambda_1) \cap \text{im}(\beta) = \text{span}(\tau_1)$ , which contradicts (2).

Thus  $\varepsilon = \lambda \in P_\alpha$  and  $\beta$  maps an initial segment of  $\lambda$  onto  $\lambda_1$ . By (1), that initial segment must be  $\lambda$ . We have proved that for every  $\lambda_1 \in \text{im}(\Psi_\beta) \cap P_\alpha$ , there is a (necessarily unique)  $\lambda \in P_\alpha$  such that  $\beta$  maps  $\lambda$  onto  $\lambda_1$ . By similar arguments, for every  $\eta_1 \in \text{im}(\Psi_\beta) \cap A_\alpha$  ( $\omega_1 \in \text{im}(\Psi_\beta) \cap B_\alpha$ ,  $\tau_1 \in \text{im}(\Psi_\beta) \cap Q_\alpha$ ) there is a unique  $\eta \in A_\alpha$  ( $\omega \in B_\alpha$ ,  $\tau \in Q_\alpha$ ) such that  $\beta$  maps  $\eta$  onto  $\eta_1$  ( $\omega$  onto  $\omega_1$ ,  $\tau$  onto  $\tau_1$ ).

Let  $\eta_1 \in \text{im}(\Psi_\beta) \cap A_\alpha$ . Define  $\gamma$  on  $\text{span}(\eta_1)$  in such a way that  $\gamma$  maps  $\eta_1$  onto  $\eta$  (where  $\eta$  is as in the preceding paragraph). Let  $\omega_1, \lambda_1, \tau_1 \in \text{im}(\Psi_\beta)$  with  $\omega_1 \in B_\alpha$ ,  $\lambda_1 \in P_\alpha$ , and  $\tau_1 \in Q_\alpha$ . We define  $\gamma$  on  $\text{span}(\omega_1)$ , on  $\text{span}(\lambda_1)$ , and on  $\text{span}(\tau_1)$

in a similar way with the following restriction: if  $\omega_1 = \langle \dots y_{-1} y_0 y_1 \dots \rangle$  and  $\omega = \langle \dots x_{-1} x_0 x_1 \dots \rangle$  with  $x_0\beta = y_p$ , then  $y_i\gamma = x_{i-p}$  for every  $i$ .

By the definition of  $\gamma$  and Theorem 3.4,  $\gamma \in I(X)$ ,  $\gamma \in C(\alpha)$ , and  $\beta = \beta\gamma\beta$ . Hence  $\beta$  is a regular element of  $C(\alpha)$ . □

The class of regular semigroups is larger than the class of inverse semigroups. For example, the semigroups  $P(X)$  and  $T(X)$  of partial and full transformations on a set  $X$  are regular semigroups but not inverse semigroups (unless  $|X| = 1$ ). However, for every subsemigroup  $S$  of  $I(X)$ ,  $S$  is a regular semigroup if and only if  $S$  is an inverse semigroup. This is because  $I(X)$  is an inverse semigroup, and so its idempotents commute (see the beginning of this section).

**THEOREM 4.6.** *Let  $\alpha \in I(X)$ . Then  $C(\alpha)$  is an inverse semigroup if and only if  $\alpha = \emptyset$  or  $\alpha$  is a permutation on its domain.*

**PROOF.** First note that a nonzero  $\alpha \in I(X)$  is a permutation on its domain if and only if it is a join of double rays and cycles; that is, if and only if  $A_\alpha = P_\alpha = \emptyset$  and  $Q_\alpha = \{[x_0] : x_0 \notin \text{span}(\alpha)\}$ .

Suppose that  $C(\alpha)$  is inverse and  $\alpha \neq \emptyset$ . Then, since  $\alpha \in C(\alpha)$ , there exists  $\beta \in C(\alpha)$  with  $\alpha = \alpha\beta\alpha = \alpha(\alpha\beta)$  (since  $\beta\alpha = \alpha\beta$ ) and it follows that  $\text{im}(\alpha) \subseteq \text{dom}(\alpha\beta) \subseteq \text{dom}(\alpha)$ . Also,  $\alpha\beta$  is idempotent, so  $\alpha\beta = \beta\alpha = \text{id}_Y$  for some  $Y$  containing  $\text{dom}(\alpha)$  (since  $\alpha = \alpha\beta\alpha = \text{id}_Y\alpha$ ). It follows that  $\text{dom}(\alpha) \subseteq \text{im}(\alpha)$  (since if  $x \in \text{dom}(\alpha)$ , then  $x \in Y$ , and so  $x = x \text{id}_Y = x(\beta\alpha) \in \text{im}(\alpha)$ ). Therefore,  $\text{dom}(\alpha) = \text{im}(\alpha)$ , and so, since  $\alpha$  is injective, it is a permutation on its domain.

Conversely, if  $\alpha = \emptyset$  then  $C(\alpha) = I(X)$  is an inverse semigroup. Suppose that  $\alpha \neq \emptyset$  and  $\alpha$  is a permutation on its domain. Let  $\beta \in C(\alpha)$ . We will prove that  $\beta$  is regular. Let  $\varepsilon \in B_\alpha \cup C_\alpha \cup Q_\alpha$  (recall that  $A_\alpha = P_\alpha = \emptyset$ ). We claim that if  $\text{span}(\varepsilon) \cap \text{dom}(\beta) \neq \emptyset$  ( $\text{span}(\varepsilon) \cap \text{im}(\beta) \neq \emptyset$ ), then  $\text{span}(\varepsilon) \subseteq \text{dom}(\beta)$  ( $\text{span}(\varepsilon) \subseteq \text{im}(\beta)$ ). Let  $\varepsilon = \omega \in B_\alpha$ . Suppose that  $\text{span}(\omega) \cap \text{dom}(\beta) \neq \emptyset$ . Then  $\text{span}(\omega) \subseteq \text{dom}(\beta)$  by Theorem 3.4 (since  $P_\alpha = \emptyset$ ). Suppose that  $\text{span}(\omega) \cap \text{im}(\beta) \neq \emptyset$ . Then, by Theorem 3.4 again,  $\beta$  maps some  $\omega_1 \in B_\alpha$  onto  $\omega$  (since  $A_\alpha = \emptyset$ ), and so  $\text{span}(\omega) \subseteq \text{im}(\beta)$ . The claim is true for  $\varepsilon \in C_\alpha$  by a similar argument, and it is certainly true for  $\varepsilon = [x_0] \in Q_\alpha$ . (Recall that  $\alpha$  does not have any chain of length greater than 0.) Thus  $\beta$  is regular by Theorem 4.5. Hence  $C(\alpha)$  is a regular semigroup, and so an inverse semigroup (since the idempotents in  $C(\alpha)$  commute). □

Let  $\alpha \in I(X)$ . If  $C(\alpha)$  is a completely regular semigroup, then it is an inverse semigroup. As the next result shows, the class of completely regular centralisers in  $I(X)$  is much smaller than the class of inverse centralisers. For  $n \geq 1$ , we denote by  $C_\alpha^n$  the subset of  $C_\alpha$  consisting of all cycles in  $C_\alpha$  of length  $n$ .

**THEOREM 4.7.** *Let  $\alpha \in I(X)$ . Then  $C(\alpha)$  is a completely regular semigroup if and only if:*

- (1)  $\alpha = \emptyset$  or  $\alpha$  is a permutation on its domain; and
- (2)  $|B_\alpha| \leq 1$ ,  $|Q_\alpha| \leq 1$ , and  $|C_\alpha^n| \leq 1$  for every  $n \geq 1$ .

**PROOF.** Suppose that  $C(\alpha)$  is a completely regular semigroup. Then (1) holds by Theorem 4.6. Suppose that  $\omega = \langle \dots x_{-1} x_0 x_1 \dots \rangle$  and  $\omega_1 = \langle \dots y_{-1} y_0 y_1 \dots \rangle$  are two distinct double rays in  $B_\alpha$ . Define  $\beta \in \mathcal{I}(X)$  by  $\text{dom}(\beta) = \text{span}(\omega)$  and  $x_i\beta = y_i$  for every  $i$ . Then  $\beta \in C(\alpha)$  by Theorem 3.4, and  $\beta^2 = \emptyset$ . Thus  $\beta$  is not in a subgroup of  $C(\alpha)$  since there is no group with at least two elements and a zero. Hence  $|B_\alpha| \leq 1$ . By similar arguments,  $|Q_\alpha| \leq 1$  and  $|C_\alpha^n| \leq 1$  for every  $n \geq 1$ . Thus (2) holds.

Conversely, suppose that (1) and (2) are satisfied. If  $\alpha = \emptyset$ , then  $X = \{x_0\}$  by (2), and so  $C(\alpha) = \mathcal{I}(X) = \{0, \text{id}_X\}$  is a completely regular semigroup. Suppose that  $\alpha \neq \emptyset$  and let  $\beta \in C(\alpha)$ . If  $\beta = \emptyset$ , then  $\beta$  is an element of a subgroup of  $C(\alpha)$ , namely  $\{0\}$ . Suppose that  $\beta \neq \emptyset$  and let  $Z = \text{dom}(\beta)$ . By (1) and Theorem 4.6,  $\beta$  is regular. Hence, by (2) and Theorem 4.5,

$$Z = \text{dom}(\beta) = \text{im}(\beta) = \bigcup \{ \text{span}(\varepsilon) : \varepsilon \in \text{dom}(\Psi_\beta) \}. \tag{4.1}$$

Hence, the idempotent  $\varepsilon_z \in \mathcal{I}(X)$  with  $\text{dom}(\varepsilon_z) = Z$  is an element of  $C(\alpha)$ . We will define  $\gamma \in C(\alpha)$  with  $\text{dom}(\gamma) = Z$  such that  $\beta\gamma = \gamma\beta = \varepsilon_z$ . Let  $\omega = \langle \dots x_{-1} x_0 x_1 \dots \rangle \in B_\alpha \cap \text{dom}(\Psi_\beta)$ . Since  $|B_\alpha| \leq 1$ ,  $\beta$  must map  $\omega$  onto itself, that is, there is  $p$  such that  $x_i\beta = x_{i+p}$  for every  $i$ . We define  $\gamma$  on  $\text{span}(\omega)$  by  $x_i\gamma = x_{i-p}$  for every  $i$ . Let  $\sigma = \langle x_0 \dots x_{n-1} \rangle \in C_\alpha \cap \text{dom}(\Psi_\beta)$ . Since  $|C_\alpha^n| \leq 1$ ,  $\beta$  must map  $\sigma$  onto itself, that is, there is  $p \in \{0, \dots, n-1\}$  such that  $x_i\beta = x_{i+p}$  for every  $i \in \{0, \dots, n-1\}$ . We define  $\gamma$  on  $\text{span}(\sigma)$  by  $x_i\gamma = x_{i-p}$  for every  $i \in \{0, \dots, n-1\}$ . Let  $[x_0] \in Q_\alpha \cap \text{dom}(\Psi_\beta)$ . Since  $|Q_\alpha| \leq 1$ ,  $\beta$  must map  $[x_0]$  onto itself, that is,  $x_0\beta = x_0$ . We define  $x_0\gamma = x_0$ .

By the definition of  $\gamma$ , Theorem 3.4, and (4.1), we have  $\gamma \in C(\alpha)$ ,  $\text{dom}(\gamma) = \text{im}(\gamma) = Z$ , and  $\beta\gamma = \gamma\beta = \varepsilon_z$ . Hence the subsemigroup  $\langle \beta, \gamma \rangle$  of  $C(\alpha)$  generated by  $\beta$  and  $\gamma$  is a group. It follows that  $C(\alpha)$  is a completely regular semigroup. □

### 5. Green’s relations

In this section we determine Green’s relations in  $C(\alpha)$ , including the partial orders of  $\mathcal{L}$ -,  $\mathcal{R}$ -, and  $\mathcal{J}$ -classes, for an arbitrary  $\alpha \in \mathcal{I}(X)$  such that  $\text{dom}(\alpha) = X$ .

Denote by  $\Gamma(X)$  the subsemigroup of  $\mathcal{I}(X)$  consisting of all  $\alpha \in \mathcal{I}(X)$  such that  $\text{dom}(\alpha) = X$ . Green’s relations of the centraliser of  $\alpha \in \Gamma(X)$  relative to  $\Gamma(X)$  have been determined in [18]. However, except for the relation  $\mathcal{L}$ , the results for the centraliser of  $\alpha \in \Gamma(X)$  relative to  $\mathcal{I}(X)$  are quite different.

If  $S$  is a semigroup and  $a, b \in S$ , we say that  $a \mathcal{L} b$  if  $S^1a = S^1b$ ,  $a \mathcal{R} b$  if  $aS^1 = bS^1$ , and  $a \mathcal{J} b$  if  $S^1aS^1 = S^1bS^1$ , where  $S^1$  is the semigroup  $S$  with an identity adjoined. We define  $\mathcal{H}$  as the intersection of  $\mathcal{L}$  and  $\mathcal{R}$ , and  $\mathcal{D}$  as the join of  $\mathcal{L}$  and  $\mathcal{R}$ , that is, the smallest equivalence relation on  $S$  containing both  $\mathcal{L}$  and  $\mathcal{R}$ . These five equivalence relations are known as *Green’s relations* [9, p. 45]. The relations  $\mathcal{L}$  and  $\mathcal{R}$  commute [9, Proposition 2.1.3], and consequently  $\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{L} \circ \mathcal{R} = \mathcal{R} \circ \mathcal{L}$ . Green’s relations are one of the most important tools in studying semigroups.

If  $\mathcal{G}$  is one of Green’s relations and  $a \in S$ , we denote the equivalence class of  $a$  with respect to  $\mathcal{G}$  by  $G_a$ . Since  $\mathcal{L}$ ,  $\mathcal{R}$  and  $\mathcal{J}$  are defined in terms of principal ideals in  $S$ , which are partially ordered by inclusion, we have the induced partial orders in the sets

of the equivalence classes of  $\mathcal{L}$ ,  $\mathcal{R}$  and  $\mathcal{J}$ :  $L_a \leq L_b$  if  $S^1a \subseteq S^1b$ ,  $R_a \leq R_b$  if  $aS^1 \subseteq bS^1$ , and  $J_a \leq J_b$  if  $S^1aS^1 \subseteq S^1bS^1$ .

Green's relations in the symmetric inverse semigroup are well known [9, Exercise 5.11.2]. For all  $\alpha, \beta \in I(X)$ :

- (a)  $\alpha \mathcal{L} \beta$  if and only if  $\text{im}(\alpha) = \text{im}(\beta)$ ;
- (b)  $\alpha \mathcal{R} \beta$  if and only if  $\text{dom}(\alpha) = \text{dom}(\beta)$ ;
- (c)  $\alpha \mathcal{J} \beta$  if and only if  $|\text{dom}(\alpha)| = |\text{dom}(\beta)|$ ;
- (d)  $\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{J}$ .

Let  $S$  be a semigroup and let  $\mathcal{G}$  be one of Green's relation in  $S$ . For a subsemigroup  $U$  of  $S$ , denote by  $\mathcal{G}^U$  the corresponding Green's relation in  $U$ . We always have

$$\mathcal{G}^U \subseteq \mathcal{G} \cap (U \times U)$$

[9, p. 56]. We will say that  $\mathcal{G}^U$  is  $S$ -inheritable if

$$\mathcal{G}^U = \mathcal{G} \cap (U \times U).$$

For example, if  $U$  is a regular subsemigroup of  $S$ , then  $\mathcal{L}^U$ ,  $\mathcal{R}^U$ , and  $\mathcal{H}^U$  are  $S$ -inheritable [9, Proposition 2.4.2]. If  $\mathcal{G}^U$  is  $S$ -inheritable, then a description of  $\mathcal{G}$  carries over to  $\mathcal{G}^U$ . We will see that  $\mathcal{L}$  is the only  $I(X)$ -inheritable Green's relation in  $C(\alpha)$ , where  $\text{dom}(\alpha) = X$ .

Let  $\alpha \in I(X)$ . Then  $\text{dom}(\alpha) = X$  if and only if  $P_\alpha = Q_\alpha = \emptyset$ . Therefore, the following corollary follows immediately from Theorem 3.4 and Definition 4.1.

**COROLLARY 5.1.** *Let  $\alpha, \beta \in I(X)$  with  $\text{dom}(\alpha) = X$ . Then  $\beta \in C(\alpha)$  if and only if for all  $\eta \in A_\alpha$ ,  $\omega \in B_\alpha$ , and  $\sigma \in C_\alpha$  such that  $\eta, \omega, \sigma \in \text{dom}(\Psi_\beta)$ , the following conditions are satisfied.*

- (1) *There is  $\eta_1 = [y_0 y_1 \dots] \in A_\alpha$  or  $\omega_1 = \langle \dots y_{-1} y_0 y_1 \dots \rangle \in B_\alpha$  such that  $\beta$  maps  $\eta$  onto  $[y_j y_{j+1} \dots]$  for some  $j$ .*
- (2)  *$\beta$  maps  $\omega$  onto some  $\omega_1 \in B_\alpha$ .*
- (3)  *$\beta$  maps  $\sigma$  onto some  $\sigma_1 \in C_\alpha$ .*

We will use Corollary 5.1 frequently, not always referring to it explicitly.

**THEOREM 5.2.** *Let  $\alpha \in I(X)$  with  $\text{dom}(\alpha) = X$ , and let  $\beta, \gamma \in C(\alpha)$ . Then  $L_\beta \leq L_\gamma$  if and only if  $\text{im}(\beta) \subseteq \text{im}(\gamma)$ . Consequently,  $\beta \mathcal{L} \gamma$  if and only if  $\text{im}(\beta) = \text{im}(\gamma)$ .*

**PROOF.** Suppose that  $L_\beta \leq L_\gamma$ . Then  $\beta = \delta\gamma$  for some  $\delta \in C(\alpha)$ , and so  $\text{im}(\beta) = \text{im}(\delta\gamma) \subseteq \text{im}(\gamma)$ . Conversely, suppose that  $\text{im}(\beta) \subseteq \text{im}(\gamma)$ . Then  $\beta = \delta\gamma$  for some  $\gamma \in I(X)$ . We may assume that  $\text{dom}(\delta) = \text{dom}(\beta)$ . It now suffices to show that  $\delta \in C(\alpha)$ . Since  $\text{dom}(\alpha) = X$ ,  $\beta \in C(\alpha)$ , and  $\text{dom}(\beta) = \text{dom}(\delta)$ , it follows by Proposition 3.1 that for every  $x \in X$ ,

$$x \in \text{dom}(\delta) \Leftrightarrow x\alpha \in \text{dom}(\delta). \tag{5.1}$$

We claim that  $\text{dom}(\alpha\delta) = \text{dom}(\delta\alpha)$ . Indeed, it follows from (5.1) and  $\text{dom}(\alpha) = X$  that for every  $x \in X$ ,

$$x \in \text{dom}(\alpha\delta) \Leftrightarrow x\alpha \in \text{dom}(\delta) \Leftrightarrow x \in \text{dom}(\delta) \Leftrightarrow x \in \text{dom}(\delta\alpha).$$

We have  $(\alpha\delta)\gamma = \alpha\beta = \beta\alpha = (\delta\gamma)\alpha = (\delta\alpha)\gamma$  and  $\text{im}(\delta) \subseteq \text{dom}(\gamma)$  (since  $\beta = \delta\gamma$  and  $\text{dom}(\beta) = \text{dom}(\gamma)$ ). Let  $x$  be an element of the common domain of  $\alpha\delta$  and  $\delta\alpha$ . Then  $x(\alpha\delta) \in \text{im}(\delta)$ , and so  $x(\alpha\delta) \in \text{dom}(\gamma)$ . Thus  $(x(\alpha\delta))\gamma = (x(\delta\alpha))\gamma$  (since  $(\alpha\delta)\gamma = (\delta\alpha)\gamma$ ), and so  $x(\alpha\delta) = x(\delta\alpha)$  (since  $\gamma$  is injective). Hence  $\alpha\delta = \delta\alpha$ , which concludes the proof.  $\square$

As we have already mentioned, other Green’s relations in  $C(\alpha)$  are not  $\mathcal{I}(X)$ -inheritable. For their characterisation, we will need the following notation.

**NOTATION 5.3.** Let  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathcal{I}(X)$  with  $\beta \in C(\alpha)$ . Suppose that  $\eta = [x_0 x_1 \dots] \in A_\alpha \cap \text{dom}(\Psi_\beta)$  and  $\eta\Psi_\beta = [y_0 y_1 \dots] \in A_\alpha$ . Then  $\beta$  maps  $\eta$  onto  $[y_i y_{i+1} \dots]$  for some  $i \geq 0$ . We denote the integer  $i$  by  $(\eta\Psi_\beta)_0$ . In other words,  $i = (\eta\Psi_\beta)_0$  if and only if  $y_i = x_0\beta$ .

It may happen that  $\eta_1 = \eta\Psi_\beta = \eta\Psi_\gamma$  for some  $\gamma \in C(\alpha)$  with  $\gamma \neq \beta$ . Then the notation  $(\eta_1)_0$  would be ambiguous. However, we will always write such an  $\eta_1$  in the form  $\eta\Psi_\beta$  (or  $\eta\Psi_\gamma$ ) so that the ambiguity will never arise.

**PROPOSITION 5.4.** Let  $\alpha \in \mathcal{I}(X)$  with  $\text{dom}(\alpha) = X$ , and let  $\beta, \gamma \in C(\alpha)$ . Then  $R_\beta \leq R_\gamma$  if and only if:

- (1)  $\text{dom}(\Psi_\beta) \subseteq \text{dom}(\Psi_\gamma)$ ; and
- (2) for every  $\eta \in A_\alpha \cap \text{dom}(\Psi_\beta)$ , if  $\eta\Psi_\beta \in A_\alpha$ , then  $\eta\Psi_\gamma \in A_\alpha$  and  $(\eta\Psi_\gamma)_0 \leq (\eta\Psi_\beta)_0$ .

**PROOF.** Suppose that  $R_\beta \leq R_\gamma$ , that is,  $\beta = \gamma\delta$  for some  $\delta \in C(\alpha)$ . Then, by Lemma 4.2,  $\Psi_\beta = \Psi_{\gamma\delta} = \Psi_\gamma\Psi_\delta$ , and so  $\text{dom}(\Psi_\beta) \subseteq \text{dom}(\Psi_\gamma)$ . Let  $\eta = [x_0 x_1 \dots] \in A_\alpha \cap \text{dom}(\Psi_\beta)$  and suppose that  $\eta\Psi_\beta = [y_0 y_1 \dots] \in A_\alpha$ . Then  $(\eta\Psi_\gamma)\Psi_\delta = \eta(\Psi_\gamma\Psi_\delta) = \eta\Psi_\beta \in A_\alpha$ , and so  $\eta\Psi_\gamma = [z_0 z_1 \dots] \in A_\alpha$  (since  $\omega\Psi_\delta \in B_\alpha$  for every  $\omega \in B_\alpha$ ). Let  $i = (\eta\Psi_\beta)_0$  and  $j = (\eta\Psi_\gamma)_0$ , that is,  $x_0\beta = y_i$  and  $x_0\gamma = z_j$ . We have  $[z_0 z_1 \dots]\Psi_\delta = [y_0 y_1 \dots]$ , so  $\delta$  maps  $[z_0 z_1 \dots]$  onto  $[y_p y_{p+1} \dots]$  for some  $p \geq 0$ . Then  $y_i = x_0\beta = (x_0\gamma)\delta = z_j\delta = y_{p+j}$ . Thus  $i = p + j$ , and so  $(\eta\Psi_\gamma)_0 = j \leq i = (\eta\Psi_\beta)_0$ .

Conversely, suppose that (1) and (2) are satisfied. We will define  $\delta \in C(\alpha)$  such that  $\beta = \gamma\delta$ . Set  $\text{dom}(\delta) = \bigcup \{\text{span}(\varepsilon\Psi_\gamma) : \varepsilon \in \text{dom}(\Psi_\beta)\}$ . Note that this definition makes sense since  $\text{dom}(\Psi_\beta) \subseteq \text{dom}(\Psi_\gamma)$ . Let  $\eta = [x_0 x_1 \dots] \in A_\alpha \cap \text{dom}(\Psi_\beta)$  and suppose that  $\eta\Psi_\beta = [y_0 y_1 \dots] \in A_\alpha$ . Then  $\eta\Psi_\gamma = [z_0 z_1 \dots] \in A_\alpha$  by (2). Let  $y_i = x_0\beta$  and  $z_j = x_0\gamma$ , and note that  $j \leq i$  by (2). We define  $\delta$  on  $\text{span}(\eta\Psi_\gamma)$  in such a way that  $\delta$  maps  $[z_0 z_1 \dots]$  onto  $[y_{i-j} y_{i-j+1} \dots]$ . Note that  $x_0(\gamma\delta) = z_j\delta = y_{i-j+j} = y_i = x_0\beta$ .

Let  $\eta = [x_0 x_1 \dots] \in A_\alpha \cap \text{dom}(\Psi_\beta)$  and suppose that  $\eta\Psi_\beta = \langle \dots y_{-1} y_0 y_1 \dots \rangle \in B_\alpha$ . By Lemma 4.2,  $\eta\Psi_\gamma = [z_0 z_1 \dots] \in A_\alpha$  or  $\eta\Psi_\gamma = \langle \dots z_{-1} z_0 z_1 \dots \rangle \in B_\alpha$ . In either case, let  $y_i = x_0\beta$  and  $z_j = x_0\gamma$ . If  $\eta\Psi_\gamma = [z_0 z_1 \dots]$ , we define  $\delta$  on  $\text{span}(\eta\Psi_\gamma)$  in such a way that  $\delta$  maps  $[z_0 z_1 \dots]$  onto  $[y_{i-j} y_{i-j+1} \dots]$ . If  $\eta\Psi_\gamma = \langle \dots z_{-1} z_0 z_1 \dots \rangle \in B_\alpha$ , we define  $\delta$  on  $\text{span}(\eta\Psi_\gamma)$  in such a way that  $\delta$  maps  $\langle \dots z_{-1} z_0 z_1 \dots \rangle$  onto  $\langle \dots y_{-1} y_0 y_1 \dots \rangle$  and  $z_j\delta = y_i$ . Note that in both cases  $x_0(\gamma\delta) = y_i = x_0\beta$ .

Let  $\omega = \langle \dots x_{-1} x_0 x_1 \dots \rangle \in B_\alpha \cap \text{dom}(\Psi_\beta)$ . By Lemma 4.2,  $\omega\Psi_\beta = \langle \dots y_{-1} y_0 y_1 \dots \rangle \in B_\alpha$  and  $\omega\Psi_\gamma = \langle \dots z_{-1} z_0 z_1 \dots \rangle \in B_\alpha$ . Let  $y_i = x_0\beta$  and  $z_j = x_0\gamma$ . We define  $\delta$  on  $\text{span}(\omega\Psi_\gamma)$  in such a way that  $\delta$  maps  $\langle \dots z_{-1} z_0 z_1 \dots \rangle$  onto  $\langle \dots y_{-1} y_0 y_1 \dots \rangle$  and  $z_j\delta = y_i$ .

Finally, let  $\sigma = (x_0 \dots x_{n-1}) \in C_\alpha \cap \text{dom}(\Psi_\beta)$ . By Lemma 4.2,  $\sigma\Psi_\beta = (y_0 \dots y_{n-1}) \in C_\alpha$  and  $\sigma\Psi_\gamma = (z_0 \dots z_{n-1}) \in C_\alpha$ . Let  $y_i = x_0\beta$  and  $z_j = x_0\gamma$ . We define  $\delta$  on  $\text{span}(\sigma\Psi_\gamma)$  in such a way that  $\delta$  maps  $(z_0 \dots z_{n-1})$  onto  $(y_0 \dots y_{n-1})$  and  $z_j\delta = y_j$ .

By the definition of  $\delta$  and Corollary 5.1, we have  $\delta \in \mathcal{I}(X)$ ,  $\delta \in C(\alpha)$ , and  $\beta = \gamma\delta$ . Hence  $R_\beta \leq R_\gamma$ , which concludes the proof.  $\square$

Proposition 5.4 immediately gives us a characterisation of the relation  $\mathcal{R}$  in  $C(\alpha)$ .

**THEOREM 5.5.** *Let  $\alpha \in \mathcal{I}(X)$  with  $\text{dom}(\alpha) = X$ , and let  $\beta, \gamma \in C(\alpha)$ . Then  $\beta \mathcal{R} \gamma$  if and only if  $\text{dom}(\Psi_\beta) = \text{dom}(\Psi_\gamma)$  and for all  $\eta \in A_\alpha \cap \text{dom}(\Psi_\beta)$  and  $k \geq 0$ ,*

$$\eta\Psi_\beta \in A_\alpha \quad \text{and} \quad (\eta\Psi_\beta)_0 = k \Leftrightarrow \eta\Psi_\gamma \in A_\alpha \quad \text{and} \quad (\eta\Psi_\gamma)_0 = k.$$

For semigroups  $S$  and  $T$ , we write  $S \leq T$  to mean that  $S$  is a subsemigroup of  $T$ . Recall that  $\Gamma(X) = \{\alpha \in \mathcal{I}(X) : \text{dom}(\alpha) = X\}$ . For  $\alpha \in \Gamma(X)$ , denote by  $C'(\alpha)$  the centraliser of  $\alpha$  in  $\Gamma(X)$ , and by  $C(\alpha)$  the centraliser of  $\alpha$  in  $\mathcal{I}(X)$ . Then clearly  $C'(\alpha) \leq C(\alpha)$ .

We note that the relation  $\mathcal{R}$  in  $C'(\alpha)$  is not  $C(\alpha)$ -inheritable. Indeed, let  $X = \{x_0^1, x_1^1, x_2^1, \dots\} \cup \{x_0^2, x_1^2, x_2^2, \dots\} \cup \dots$ , and consider

$$\alpha = [x_0^1 x_1^1 x_2^1 \dots] \sqcup [x_0^2 x_1^2 x_2^2 \dots] \sqcup \dots \in \Gamma(X).$$

Define  $\beta, \gamma \in \Gamma(X)$  by  $x_i^n\beta = x_i^{n+1}$  and  $x_i^n\gamma = x_i^{2n}$ . Then  $(\beta, \gamma) \in \mathcal{R}$  in  $C(\alpha)$  by Theorem 5.5. However,  $|A_\alpha \setminus A_\alpha\Psi_\beta| = 1$  and  $|A_\alpha \setminus A_\alpha\Psi_\gamma| = \aleph_0$ , and so  $(\beta, \gamma) \notin \mathcal{R}$  in  $C'(\alpha)$  by [18, Theorem 4.7].

Recall that for  $\alpha \in \mathcal{I}(X)$  and  $n \geq 1$ ,  $C_\alpha^n = \{\sigma \in C_\alpha : \sigma \text{ has length } n\}$ .

**THEOREM 5.6.** *Let  $\alpha \in \mathcal{I}(X)$  with  $\text{dom}(\alpha) = X$ , and let  $\beta, \gamma \in C(\alpha)$ . Then  $\beta \mathcal{D} \gamma$  if and only if there is a bijection  $f : \text{dom}(\Psi_\beta) \rightarrow \text{dom}(\Psi_\gamma)$  such that for all  $\varepsilon \in \text{dom}(\Psi_\beta)$ ,  $n \geq 1$ , and  $k \geq 0$ :*

- (1) if  $\varepsilon \in A_\alpha$  ( $\varepsilon \in B_\alpha$ ,  $\varepsilon \in C_\alpha^n$ ), then  $\varepsilon f \in A_\alpha$  ( $\varepsilon f \in B_\alpha$ ,  $\varepsilon f \in C_\alpha^n$ );
- (2)  $\varepsilon\Psi_\beta \in A_\alpha$  and  $(\varepsilon\Psi_\beta)_0 = k \Leftrightarrow (\varepsilon f)\Psi_\gamma \in A_\alpha$  and  $((\varepsilon f)\Psi_\gamma)_0 = k$ .

**PROOF.** Suppose that  $\beta \mathcal{D} \gamma$ . Then, since  $\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{L} \circ \mathcal{R}$ , there is  $\delta \in C(\alpha)$  such that  $\beta \mathcal{L} \delta$  and  $\delta \mathcal{R} \gamma$ . Let  $\varepsilon \in \text{dom}(\Psi_\beta)$ . Then, by Theorem 5.2 and Definition 4.1, there is a unique  $\varepsilon_1 \in \text{dom}(\Psi_\delta)$  such that  $\varepsilon\Psi_\beta = \varepsilon_1\Psi_\delta$ . Define  $f : \text{dom}(\Psi_\beta) \rightarrow \text{dom}(\Psi_\gamma)$  by  $\varepsilon f = \varepsilon_1$ . Note that  $f$  indeed maps  $\text{dom}(\Psi_\beta)$  to  $\text{dom}(\Psi_\gamma)$  since  $\text{dom}(\Psi_\gamma) = \text{dom}(\Psi_\delta)$  by Theorem 5.5.

Suppose that  $\varepsilon_1 = \varepsilon f = \varepsilon' f = \varepsilon'_1$ , where  $\varepsilon, \varepsilon' \in \text{dom}(\Psi_\beta)$ . Then  $\varepsilon\Psi_\beta = \varepsilon_1\Psi_\delta = \varepsilon'_1\Psi_\delta = \varepsilon'\Psi_\beta$ , and so  $\varepsilon = \varepsilon'$  since  $\Psi_\beta$  is injective. Let  $\varepsilon_1 \in \text{dom}(\Psi_\gamma)$ . Then  $\varepsilon_1 \in \text{dom}(\Psi_\delta)$ , and so  $\varepsilon_1\Psi_\delta \in \text{im}(\Psi_\delta)$ . Since  $\text{im}(\Psi_\delta) = \text{im}(\Psi_\beta)$ , there is  $\varepsilon \in \text{dom}(\Psi_\beta)$  such that  $\varepsilon\Psi_\beta = \varepsilon_1\Psi_\delta$ , so  $\varepsilon f = \varepsilon_1$ . We have proved that  $f$  is a bijection.

Let  $\varepsilon \in \text{dom}(\Psi_\beta)$ . To prove (1), suppose that  $\varepsilon \in A_\alpha$  and  $\varepsilon_1 = \varepsilon f$ . If  $\varepsilon\Psi_\beta \in A_\alpha$  then  $\varepsilon_1\Psi_\delta = \varepsilon\Psi_\beta \in A_\alpha$ , and so  $\varepsilon_1 \in A_\alpha$  by Lemma 4.2. Suppose that  $\varepsilon\Psi_\beta = \langle \dots y_{i-1} y_0 y_1 \dots \rangle \in B_\alpha$ . Then, since  $\varepsilon \in A_\alpha$ ,  $\beta$  maps  $\varepsilon$  onto  $[y_i y_{i+1} \dots]$  for some  $i$ . We have  $\varepsilon_1\Psi_\delta = \varepsilon\Psi_\beta$ , so  $\varepsilon_1 \in A_\alpha$  or  $\varepsilon_1 \in B_\alpha$ . The latter is impossible, however, since  $\delta$  would map  $\varepsilon_1$  onto  $\varepsilon\Psi_\beta$ , which would imply that  $\text{span}(\varepsilon\Psi_\beta) \subseteq \text{im}(\delta)$  and contradict the fact

that  $\text{im}(\beta) = \text{im}(\delta)$ . We have proved that if  $\varepsilon \in A_\alpha$  then  $\varepsilon f \in A_\alpha$ . The proofs of (1) in the two remaining cases, when  $\varepsilon \in B_\alpha$  and when  $\varepsilon \in C_\alpha^n$ , are similar.

To prove (2), suppose that  $\varepsilon \Psi_\beta \in A_\alpha$  and  $\varepsilon_1 = \varepsilon f$ . Then  $\varepsilon_1 \Psi_\delta = \varepsilon \Psi_\beta \in A_\alpha$ , and so  $\varepsilon_1 \in A_\alpha$  by Lemma 4.2. By Theorem 5.5,  $\varepsilon_1 \in \text{dom}(\Psi_\gamma)$ ,  $\varepsilon_1 \Psi_\gamma \in A_\alpha$ , and  $(\varepsilon_1 \Psi_\delta)_0 = (\varepsilon_1 \Psi_\gamma)_0$ . But  $\text{im}(\beta) = \text{im}(\delta)$  implies that  $(\varepsilon_1 \Psi_\beta)_0 = (\varepsilon_1 \Psi_\delta)_0$ , so  $(\varepsilon_1 \Psi_\beta)_0 = (\varepsilon_1 \Psi_\gamma)_0$ . The proof of the converse of (2) is similar.

Conversely, suppose that there exists a bijection  $f : \text{dom}(\Psi_\beta) \rightarrow \text{dom}(\Psi_\gamma)$  such that (1) and (2) are satisfied for all  $\varepsilon \in \text{dom}(\Psi_\beta)$ ,  $n \geq 1$ , and  $k \geq 0$ . We will construct  $\delta \in C(\alpha)$  such that  $\beta \mathcal{L} \delta$  and  $\delta \mathcal{R} \gamma$ . We set  $\text{dom}(\delta) = \bigcup \{ \text{span}(\varepsilon_1) : \varepsilon_1 \in \text{dom}(\Psi_\gamma) \}$  (which is equal to  $\text{dom}(\gamma)$ ). Let  $\varepsilon_1 = \varepsilon f \in \text{dom}(\Psi_\gamma)$ .

Let  $\varepsilon_1 \in A_\alpha$ . Then  $\varepsilon \in A_\alpha$  by (1). Suppose that  $\varepsilon \Psi_\beta = [y_0 y_1 \dots] \in A_\alpha$  with  $i = (\varepsilon \Psi_\beta)_0$ . By (2),  $\varepsilon_1 \Psi_\gamma \in A_\alpha$  and  $(\varepsilon_1 \Psi_\gamma)_0 = i$ . We define  $\delta$  on  $\text{span}(\varepsilon_1)$  in such a way that  $\delta$  maps  $\varepsilon_1$  onto  $[y_i y_{i+1} \dots]$ . Suppose that  $\varepsilon \Psi_\beta = \langle \dots y_{-1} y_0 y_1 \dots \rangle \in B_\alpha$ . Then  $\beta$  maps  $\varepsilon$  onto  $[y_i y_{i+1} \dots]$  for some  $i$ . By (2),  $\varepsilon_1 \Psi_\gamma \notin A_\alpha$ , so  $\varepsilon_1 \Psi_\gamma \in B_\alpha$ . We define  $\delta$  on  $\text{span}(\varepsilon_1)$  in such a way that  $\delta$  maps  $\varepsilon_1$  onto  $[y_i y_{i+1} \dots]$ .

Let  $\varepsilon_1 \in B_\alpha$ . Then  $\varepsilon \in B_\alpha$  by (1), and  $\varepsilon \Psi_\beta, \varepsilon_1 \Psi_\gamma \in B_\alpha$  by Lemma 4.2. We define  $\delta$  on  $\text{span}(\varepsilon_1)$  in such a way that  $\delta$  maps  $\varepsilon_1$  onto  $\varepsilon \Psi_\beta$ . Finally, let  $\varepsilon_1 \in C_\alpha^n$ , where  $n \geq 1$ . Then  $\varepsilon \in C_\alpha^n$  by (1), and  $\varepsilon_1 \Psi_\gamma \in C_\alpha^n$  by Lemma 4.2. We define  $\delta$  on  $\text{span}(\varepsilon_1)$  in such a way that  $\delta$  maps  $\varepsilon_1$  onto  $\varepsilon \Psi_\beta$ .

By the definition of  $\delta$ , Corollary 5.1, Theorems 5.2 and 5.5, we have  $\delta \in \mathcal{I}(X)$ ,  $\delta \in C(\alpha)$ ,  $\beta \mathcal{L} \delta$ , and  $\delta \mathcal{R} \gamma$ . Hence  $\beta \mathcal{D} \gamma$ , which concludes the proof. □

In the semigroup  $\mathcal{I}(X)$ , we have  $\mathcal{J} = \mathcal{D}$ . We will see that, in general, this is not true in  $C(\alpha)$ . The following theorem describes the partial order of the  $\mathcal{J}$ -classes in  $C(\alpha)$ .

**THEOREM 5.7.** *Let  $\alpha \in \mathcal{I}(X)$  with  $\text{dom}(\alpha) = X$ , and let  $\beta, \gamma \in C(\alpha)$ . Then  $J_\beta \leq J_\gamma$  if and only if there is an injection  $g : \text{dom}(\Psi_\beta) \rightarrow \text{dom}(\Psi_\gamma)$  such that, for all  $\varepsilon \in \text{dom}(\Psi_\beta)$  and  $n \geq 1$ , the following conditions are satisfied.*

- (1) *If  $\varepsilon \in A_\alpha$ , then  $\varepsilon g \in A_\alpha \cup B_\alpha$ .*
- (2) *If  $\varepsilon \in B_\alpha$  ( $\varepsilon \in C_\alpha^n$ ), then  $\varepsilon g \in B_\alpha$  ( $\varepsilon g \in C_\alpha^n$ ).*
- (3) *If  $\varepsilon \Psi_\beta \in A_\alpha$ , then  $(\varepsilon g) \Psi_\gamma \in A_\alpha$  and  $((\varepsilon g) \Psi_\gamma)_0 \leq (\varepsilon \Psi_\beta)_0$ .*

**PROOF.** Suppose that  $J_\beta \leq J_\gamma$ , that is,  $\beta = \delta \gamma \kappa$  for some  $\delta, \kappa \in C(\alpha)$ . Then, by Lemma 4.2,  $\Psi_\beta = \Psi_{\delta \gamma \kappa} = \Psi_\delta \Psi_\gamma \Psi_\kappa$ , and so if  $\varepsilon \in \text{dom}(\Psi_\beta)$ , then  $\varepsilon \in \text{dom}(\Psi_\delta)$  and  $\varepsilon \Psi_\delta \in \text{dom}(\Psi_\gamma)$ . Define  $g : \text{dom}(\Psi_\beta) \rightarrow \text{dom}(\Psi_\gamma)$  by  $\varepsilon g = \varepsilon \Psi_\delta$ . Then  $g$  is injective since  $\Psi_\delta$  is injective.

Let  $\varepsilon \in \text{dom}(\Psi_\beta)$  and  $n \geq 1$ . Then  $g$  satisfies (1) and (2) by Lemma 4.2. Suppose that  $\varepsilon \Psi_\beta = [y_0 y_1 \dots] \in A_\alpha$ . Then  $\varepsilon = [x_0 x_1 \dots] \in A_\alpha$  by Lemma 4.2, and  $((\varepsilon g) \Psi_\gamma) \Psi_\kappa = \varepsilon (\Psi_\delta \Psi_\gamma \Psi_\kappa) = \varepsilon \Psi_\beta \in A_\alpha$ . Thus  $(\varepsilon g) \Psi_\gamma = [z_0 z_1 \dots] \in A_\alpha$  (since  $\omega \Psi_\kappa \in B_\alpha$  for every  $\omega \in B_\alpha$ ) and  $[z_0 z_1 \dots] \Psi_\kappa = [y_0 y_1 \dots]$ . Let  $\varepsilon g = \varepsilon \Psi_\delta = [v_0 v_1 \dots]$  and note that  $[v_0 v_1 \dots] \Psi_\gamma = [z_0 z_1 \dots]$ . Let  $x_0 \beta = y_i$ ,  $x_0 \delta = v_p$ ,  $v_0 \gamma = z_j$ , and  $z_0 \kappa = y_q$  (so  $i = (\varepsilon \Psi_\beta)_0$  and  $j = ((\varepsilon g) \Psi_\gamma)_0$ ). Then  $y_i = x_0 \beta = (x_0 \delta)(\gamma \kappa) = (v_p \gamma) \kappa = z_{p+j} \kappa = y_{p+j+q}$ . Thus  $i = p + j + q$ , and so  $((\varepsilon g) \Psi_\gamma)_0 = j = i - p - q \leq i = (\varepsilon \Psi_\beta)_0$ . This proves (3).

Conversely, suppose that there exists an injection  $g : \text{dom}(\Psi_\beta) \rightarrow \text{dom}(\Psi_\gamma)$  such that (1)–(3) are satisfied for all  $\varepsilon \in \text{dom}(\Psi_\beta)$  and  $n \geq 1$ . We will construct  $\delta, \kappa \in C(\alpha)$  such that  $\beta = \delta\gamma\kappa$ . Set

$$\begin{aligned} \text{dom}(\delta) &= \bigcup \{ \text{span}(\varepsilon) : \varepsilon \in \text{dom}(\Psi_\beta) \}, \\ \text{dom}(\kappa) &= \bigcup \{ \text{span}(\varepsilon_1) : \varepsilon_1 = (\varepsilon g)\Psi_\gamma \text{ for some } \varepsilon \in \text{dom}(\Psi_\beta) \}. \end{aligned}$$

(Note that  $\text{dom}(\delta) = \text{dom}(\beta)$ .) Suppose that  $\varepsilon \in \text{dom}(\Psi_\beta)$ .

Let  $\varepsilon = \eta = [x_0 \ x_1 \ \dots] \in A_\alpha$ .

Suppose that  $\eta\Psi_\beta = [y_0 \ y_1 \ \dots] \in A_\alpha$ . Then  $(\eta g)\Psi_\gamma = [z_0 \ z_1 \ \dots] \in A_\alpha$  by (3), and so  $\eta g = [v_0 \ v_1 \ \dots] \in A_\alpha$  by Lemma 4.2. Let  $x_0\beta = y_i$  and  $v_0\gamma = z_j$ . Then  $j \leq i$  by (3). We define  $\delta$  on  $\text{span}(\eta)$  in such a way that  $\delta$  maps  $[x_0 \ x_1 \ \dots]$  onto  $[v_0 \ v_1 \ \dots]$ ; and  $\kappa$  on  $\text{span}((\eta g)\Psi_\gamma)$  in such a way that  $\kappa$  maps  $[z_0 \ z_1 \ \dots]$  onto  $[y_{i-j} \ y_{i-j+1} \ \dots]$ . Note that  $x_0(\delta\gamma\kappa) = v_0(\gamma\kappa) = z_j\kappa = y_{i-j+j} = y_i = x_0\beta$ .

Suppose that  $\eta\Psi_\beta = \langle \dots \ y_{-1} \ y_0 \ y_1 \ \dots \rangle \in B_\alpha$ . By (1) and Lemma 4.2, there are three possible cases to consider.

**Case 1.**  $\eta g = [v_0 \ v_1 \ \dots] \in A_\alpha$  and  $(\eta g)\Psi_\gamma = [z_0 \ z_1 \ \dots] \in A_\alpha$ .

Let  $x_0\beta = y_i$  and  $v_0\gamma = z_j$ . We define  $\delta$  on  $\text{span}(\eta)$  in such a way that  $\delta$  maps  $[x_0 \ x_1 \ \dots]$  onto  $[v_0 \ v_1 \ \dots]$ ; and  $\kappa$  on  $\text{span}((\eta g)\Psi_\gamma)$  in such a way that  $\kappa$  maps  $[z_0 \ z_1 \ \dots]$  onto  $[y_{i-j} \ y_{i-j+1} \ \dots]$ .

**Case 2.**  $\eta g = [v_0 \ v_1 \ \dots] \in A_\alpha$  and  $(\eta g)\Psi_\gamma = \langle \dots \ z_{-1} \ z_0 \ z_1 \ \dots \rangle \in B_\alpha$ .

Let  $x_0\beta = y_i$  and  $v_0\gamma = z_j$ . We define  $\delta$  on  $\text{span}(\eta)$  in such a way that  $\delta$  maps  $[x_0 \ x_1 \ \dots]$  onto  $[v_0 \ v_1 \ \dots]$ ; and  $\kappa$  on  $\text{span}((\eta g)\Psi_\gamma)$  in such a way that  $\kappa$  maps  $\langle \dots \ z_{-1} \ z_0 \ z_1 \ \dots \rangle$  onto  $\langle \dots \ y_{-1} \ y_0 \ y_1 \ \dots \rangle$  and  $z_j\kappa = y_i$ .

**Case 3.**  $\eta g = \langle \dots \ v_{-1} \ v_0 \ v_1 \ \dots \rangle \in B_\alpha$  and  $(\eta g)\Psi_\gamma = \langle \dots \ z_{-1} \ z_0 \ z_1 \ \dots \rangle \in B_\alpha$ .

In this case, we define  $\delta$  and  $\kappa$  exactly as in Case 2.

Let  $\varepsilon = \omega = \langle \dots \ x_{-1} \ x_0 \ x_1 \ \dots \rangle \in B_\alpha$ . Then  $\omega\Psi_\beta = \langle \dots \ y_{-1} \ y_0 \ y_1 \ \dots \rangle \in B_\alpha$ ,  $\omega g = \langle \dots \ v_{-1} \ v_0 \ v_1 \ \dots \rangle \in B_\alpha$  (by (2)), and  $(\eta g)\Psi_\gamma = \langle \dots \ z_{-1} \ z_0 \ z_1 \ \dots \rangle \in B_\alpha$ . Let  $x_0\beta = y_i$  and  $v_0\gamma = z_j$ . We define  $\delta$  on  $\text{span}(\omega)$  in such a way that  $\delta$  maps  $\langle \dots \ x_{-1} \ x_0 \ x_1 \ \dots \rangle$  onto  $\langle \dots \ v_{-1} \ v_0 \ v_1 \ \dots \rangle$  and  $x_0\delta = v_0$ ; and  $\kappa$  on  $\text{span}((\eta g)\Psi_\gamma)$  in such a way that  $\kappa$  maps the double chain  $\langle \dots \ z_{-1} \ z_0 \ z_1 \ \dots \rangle$  onto  $\langle \dots \ y_{-1} \ y_0 \ y_1 \ \dots \rangle$  and  $z_j\kappa = y_i$ .

Finally, let  $\varepsilon = \sigma = (x_0 \ \dots \ x_{n-1}) \in C_\alpha^n$ , where  $n \geq 1$ . Then  $\sigma\Psi_\beta = (y_0 \ \dots \ y_{n-1}) \in C_\alpha^n$ ,  $\sigma g = (v_0 \ \dots \ v_{n-1}) \in C_\alpha^n$  (by (2)), and  $(\sigma g)\Psi_\gamma = (z_0 \ \dots \ z_{n-1}) \in C_\alpha^n$ . Let  $x_0\beta = y_i$  and  $v_0\gamma = z_j$ . We define  $\delta$  on  $\text{span}(\omega)$  in such a way that  $\delta$  maps  $(x_0 \ \dots \ x_{n-1})$  onto  $(v_0 \ \dots \ v_{n-1})$  and  $x_0\delta = v_0$ ; and  $\kappa$  on  $\text{span}((\eta g)\Psi_\gamma)$  in such a way that  $\kappa$  maps  $(z_0 \ \dots \ z_{n-1})$  onto  $(y_0 \ \dots \ y_{n-1})$  and  $z_j\kappa = y_i$ .

By the definitions of  $\delta$  and  $\kappa$  and Corollary 5.1, we have  $\delta, \kappa \in I(X)$ ,  $\delta, \kappa \in C(\alpha)$ , and  $\beta = \delta\gamma\kappa$ . Hence  $J_\beta \leq J_\gamma$ . □

Theorem 5.7 gives us a characterisation of the relation  $\mathcal{J}$  in  $C(\alpha)$ .

**THEOREM 5.8.** *Let  $\alpha \in \mathcal{I}(X)$  with  $\text{dom}(\alpha) = X$ , and let  $\beta, \gamma \in C(\alpha)$ . Then  $\beta \mathcal{J} \gamma$  if and only if there are injections  $g_1 : \text{dom}(\Psi_\beta) \rightarrow \text{dom}(\Psi_\gamma)$  and  $g_2 : \text{dom}(\Psi_\gamma) \rightarrow \text{dom}(\Psi_\beta)$  such that for all  $\varepsilon_1 \in \text{dom}(\Psi_\beta)$ ,  $\varepsilon_2 \in \text{dom}(\Psi_\gamma)$ ,  $n \geq 1$ , and  $i \in \{1, 2\}$ , the following conditions are satisfied.*

- (1) *If  $\varepsilon_i \in A_\alpha$ , then  $\varepsilon_i g_i \in A_\alpha \cup B_\alpha$ .*
- (2) *If  $\varepsilon_i \in B_\alpha$  ( $\varepsilon_i \in C_\alpha^n$ ), then  $\varepsilon_i g_i \in B_\alpha$  ( $\varepsilon_i g_i \in C_\alpha^n$ ).*
- (3) *If  $\varepsilon_1 \Psi_\beta \in A_\alpha$ , then  $(\varepsilon_1 g_1) \Psi_\gamma \in A_\alpha$  and  $((\varepsilon_1 g_1) \Psi_\gamma)_0 \leq (\varepsilon_1 \Psi_\beta)_0$ .*
- (4) *If  $\varepsilon_2 \Psi_\gamma \in A_\alpha$ , then  $(\varepsilon_2 g_2) \Psi_\beta \in A_\alpha$  and  $((\varepsilon_2 g_2) \Psi_\beta)_0 \leq (\varepsilon_2 \Psi_\gamma)_0$ .*

The injections  $g_1$  and  $g_2$  from Theorem 5.8 cannot be replaced by a bijection  $g : \text{dom}(\Psi_\beta) \rightarrow \text{dom}(\Psi_\gamma)$ . Indeed, let

$$X = \{x_0^1, x_1^1, x_2^1, \dots\} \cup \{x_0^2, x_1^2, x_2^2, \dots\} \cup \dots \cup \{y_0^1, y_1^1, y_2^1, \dots\} \cup \{y_0^2, y_1^2, y_2^2, \dots\} \cup \dots,$$

and consider

$$\alpha = [x_0^1 x_1^1 x_2^1 \dots] \sqcup [x_0^2 x_1^2 x_2^2 \dots] \sqcup \dots \sqcup [y_0^1 y_1^1 y_2^1 \dots] \sqcup [y_0^2 y_1^2 y_2^2 \dots] \sqcup \dots \in \Gamma(X).$$

Define  $\beta, \gamma \in \mathcal{I}(X)$  by  $\text{dom}(\beta) = \{x_i^{2n} : n \geq 1, i \geq 0\}$ ,  $x_i^{2n} \beta = y_i^{2n}$ ,  $\text{dom}(\gamma) = \{x_i^{2n-1} : n \geq 1, i \geq 0\}$ ,  $x_i^{2n-1} \gamma = y_{i+1}^{2n-1}$  and  $x_i^{2n-1} \gamma = y_i^{2n-1}$  for  $n \geq 2$ . Then (1)–(4) of Theorem 5.8 are satisfied with  $[x_0^{2n} x_1^{2n} x_2^{2n} \dots] g_1 = [x_0^{2n+1} x_1^{2n+1} x_2^{2n+1} \dots]$  and  $[x_0^{2n-1} x_1^{2n-1} x_2^{2n-1} \dots] g_2 = [x_0^{2n} x_1^{2n} x_2^{2n} \dots]$  ( $n \geq 1$ ), so  $\beta \mathcal{J} \gamma$ .

However, no bijection  $g : \text{dom}(\Psi_\beta) \rightarrow \text{dom}(\Psi_\gamma)$  can satisfy (3) of Theorem 5.8. Suppose that such a bijection exists. Then  $\varepsilon_1 g = [x_0^1 x_1^1 x_2^1 \dots]$  for some  $\varepsilon_1 \in \text{dom}(\Psi_\beta)$  (since  $g$  is onto). But then  $((\varepsilon_1 g) \Psi_\gamma)_0 = 1$  (since  $x_0^1 \gamma = y_1^1$ ) and  $(\varepsilon_1 \Psi_\beta)_0 = 0$  (since  $x_0^{2n} \beta = y_0^{2n}$  for every  $n \geq 1$ ), and so (3) is violated.

By the foregoing argument, there is no bijection  $f : \text{dom}(\Psi_\beta) \rightarrow \text{dom}(\Psi_\gamma)$  such that (2) of Theorem 5.6 is satisfied. Hence  $(\beta, \gamma) \notin \mathcal{D}$  in  $C(\alpha)$ .

### Acknowledgement

The author would like to thank the referee for simplifying the proofs of Theorems 4.6 and 5.2.

### References

- [1] J. Araújo, M. Kinyon and J. Konieczny, ‘Minimal paths in the commuting graphs of semigroups’, *European J. Combin.* **32** (2011), 178–197.
- [2] J. Araújo and J. Konieczny, ‘Automorphism groups of centralizers of idempotents’, *J. Algebra* **269** (2003), 227–239.
- [3] J. Araújo and J. Konieczny, ‘Semigroups of transformations preserving an equivalence relation and a cross-section’, *Comm. Algebra* **32** (2004), 1917–1935.
- [4] J. Araújo and J. Konieczny, ‘General theorems on automorphisms of semigroups and their applications’, *J. Aust. Math. Soc.* **87** (2009), 1–17.
- [5] J. Araújo and J. Konieczny, ‘Centralizers in the full transformation semigroup’, *Semigroup Forum*, to appear.
- [6] G. Ayik, H. Ayik and J. M. Howie, ‘On factorisations and generators in transformation semigroups’, *Semigroup Forum* **70** (2005), 225–237.

- [7] C. Bates, D. Bundy, S. Perkins and P. Rowley, 'Commuting involution graphs for symmetric groups', *J. Algebra* **266** (2003), 133–153.
- [8] P. M. Higgins, 'Digraphs and the semigroup of all functions on a finite set', *Glasgow Math. J.* **30** (1988), 41–57.
- [9] J. M. Howie, *Fundamentals of Semigroup Theory* (Oxford University Press, New York, 1995).
- [10] A. Iranmanesh and A. Jafarzadeh, 'On the commuting graph associated with the symmetric and alternating groups', *J. Algebra Appl.* **7** (2008), 129–146.
- [11] D. Jakubíková, 'Systems of unary algebras with common endomorphisms. I, II', *Czechoslovak Math. J.* **29**(104) (1979), 406–420, 421–429.
- [12] V. A. Kolmykov, 'On the commutativity relation in a symmetric semigroup', *Siberian Math. J.* **45** (2004), 931–934.
- [13] V. A. Kolmykov, 'Endomorphisms of functional graphs', *Discrete Math. Appl.* **16** (2006), 423–427.
- [14] V. A. Kolmykov, 'On commuting mappings', *Mat. Zametki* **86** (2009), 389–393 (in Russian).
- [15] J. Konieczny, 'Green's relations and regularity in centralizers of permutations', *Glasgow Math. J.* **41** (1999), 45–57.
- [16] J. Konieczny, 'Semigroups of transformations commuting with idempotents', *Algebra Colloq.* **9** (2002), 121–134.
- [17] J. Konieczny, 'Semigroups of transformations commuting with injective nilpotents', *Comm. Algebra* **32** (2004), 1951–1969.
- [18] J. Konieczny, 'Centralizers in the semigroup of injective transformations on an infinite set', *Bull. Austral. Math. Soc.* **82** (2010), 305–321.
- [19] J. Konieczny, 'Infinite injective transformations whose centralizers have simple structure', *Cent. Eur. J. Math.* **9** (2011), 23–35.
- [20] J. Konieczny and S. Lipscomb, 'Centralizers in the semigroup of partial transformations', *Math. Japon.* **48** (1998), 367–376.
- [21] I. Levi, 'Normal semigroups of one-to-one transformations', *Proc. Edinburgh Math. Soc.* **34** (1991), 65–76.
- [22] S. Lipscomb, *Symmetric Inverse Semigroups*, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, 46 (American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1996).
- [23] V. A. Liskovec and V. Z. Feinberg, 'On the permutability of mappings', *Dokl. Akad. Nauk BSSR* **7** (1963), 366–369 (in Russian).
- [24] M. Novotný, 'Sur un problème de la théorie des applications', *Publ. Fac. Sci. Univ. Massaryk* **1953** (1953), 53–64 (Czech).
- [25] M. Novotný, 'Über Abbildungen von Mengen', *Pacific J. Math.* **13** (1963), 1359–1369 (in German).
- [26] M. Petrich, *Inverse Semigroups* (John Wiley & Sons, New York, 1984).
- [27] M. Petrich and N. R. Reilly, *Completely Regular Semigroups* (John Wiley & Sons, New York, 1999).
- [28] W. R. Scott, *Group Theory* (Prentice Hall, Englewood Cliffs, NJ, 1964).
- [29] L. A. Skornjakov, 'Unary algebras with regular endomorphism monoids', *Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged)* **40** (1978), 375–381.
- [30] F. Szechtman, 'On the automorphism group of the centralizer of an idempotent in the full transformation monoid', *Semigroup Forum* **70** (2005), 238–242.
- [31] M. W. Weaver, 'On the commutativity of a correspondence and a permutation', *Pacific J. Math.* **10** (1960), 705–711.

JANUSZ KONIECZNY, Department of Mathematics,  
University of Mary Washington, Fredericksburg, VA 22401, USA  
e-mail: [jkoniecz@umw.edu](mailto:jkoniecz@umw.edu)