

## RINGS WHOSE INDECOMPOSABLE INJECTIVE MODULES ARE UNISERIAL

DAVID A. HILL

**Introduction.** A module is *uniserial* in case its submodules are linearly ordered by inclusion. A ring  $R$  is *left (right) serial* if it is a direct sum of uniserial left (right)  $R$ -modules. A ring  $R$  is *serial* if it is both left and right serial. It is well known that for artinian rings the property of being serial is equivalent to the finitely generated modules being a direct sum of uniserial modules [8]. Results along this line have been generalized to more arbitrary rings [6], [13].

This article is concerned with investigating rings whose indecomposable injective modules are uniserial. The following question is considered which was first posed in [4]. If an artinian ring  $R$  has all indecomposable injective modules uniserial, does this imply that  $R$  is serial? The answer is yes if  $R$  is a finite dimensional algebra over a field. In this paper it is shown, provided  $R$  modulo its radical is commutative, that  $R$  has every left indecomposable injective uniserial implies that  $R$  is right serial.

The following definitions and notation will be needed. All rings have an identity, and all modules are unital. The Jacobson radical will be denoted by  $J$ . A submodule  $K$  is *large* in a module  $M$  in case  $K \cap L \neq 0$  for every non-zero submodule  $L$  of  $M$ . The *injective hull* of  $M$ , denoted by  $E(M)$ , is an injective module such that there exists a monomorphism  $i: M \rightarrow E(M)$  with the property that  $i(M)$  is large in  $E(M)$ .

The *socle* of  $M$ , denoted by  $S(M)$ , is the largest semi-simple submodule of  $M$ . If  $R$  is artinian and  $M$  is any  $R$ -module then  $M/JM$ , denoted by  $T(M)$ , is a direct sum of simples and is called the *top* of  $M$ .

If  $M$  is a semi-simple module the number of simple direct summands of  $M$  will be denoted by  $C(M)$ . The notation  $M^{(n)}$  will be used to denote the direct sum of  $n$ -copies of  $M$ . The notation  ${}_R M (M_R)$  will often be used to signify that  $M$  is a left (right)  $R$ -module. We shall say that  $M$  is an  $R - S$  bimodule where  $R$  and  $S$  are rings in case  ${}_R M_S$  and  $(rm)s = r(ms)$  for  $r \in R, s \in S, m \in M$ .

### 1. Rings with indecomposable injectives uniserial.

1.1 PROPOSITION. *Let  $R$  be an artinian ring such that every indecomposable injective left  $R$ -module is uniserial. Then every factor ring of  $R$  has this*

---

Received July 19, 1979 and in revised form May 8, 1981. Part of this work was done with a grant from CNPq.

property. Conversely, if  $R/J^2$  has every indecomposable injective left  $R$ -module uniserial, then so does  $R$ .

*Proof.* Let  $R/I$  be a factor ring of  $R$  and  $E_{R/I}$  be an indecomposable injective over  $R/I$ . As  $S(E_{R/I})$  is simple, the injective hull of  $E_{R/I}$  is uniserial since it is a submodule of a uniserial module.

The final statement follows using an argument dual to the one in [8].

**1.2 LEMMA.** *Let  $R$  be a ring such that every indecomposable injective left  $R$ -module is uniserial. Then any ring Morita equivalent to  $R$  has this property.*

*Proof.* This result follows from the Morita theorems and will be left to the reader.

A ring is said to be *basic* in case  $1 = e_1 + \dots + e_n$  where  $e_1, \dots, e_n$  are primitive orthogonal idempotents and  $Re_i \cong Re_j$  for  $i \neq j$ . Since any artinian ring is Morita equivalent to a basic artinian ring, 1.2 allows us to restrict our attention to basic rings.

The following lemma was essentially proved in [4].

**1.3 LEMMA.** *Let  $R$  be a basic artinian ring with  $J^2 = 0$ . Suppose that every indecomposable left injective  $R$ -module is uniserial. Let  $e$  and  $f$  be primitive idempotents of  $R$  such that  $fJe \neq 0$ , then  $fJ \cong T(eR)^{(n)}$ .*

*Proof.* This result follows from [4, Theorem 2.4].

Letting  $e\bar{R}e = eRe/eJe$  and  $f\bar{R}f = fRf/fJf$ , it is clear that  $fJ = fJe$  is a left  $f\bar{R}f$  right  $e\bar{R}e$  vector space. Likewise, it is not difficult to show that the left  $fRf$  action on  $fJe$  corresponds to the left  $R$  action, and the right  $eRe$  action on  $fJe$  corresponds to the right  $R$  action.

**1.4 LEMMA.** *Let  $R$  be as in 1.3. Consider the indecomposable projective left  $R$ -module  $Re$  where  $e$  is a primitive idempotent. Suppose  $fJe \neq 0$  for some primitive idempotent  $f$ . Then  $fJe$  contains no proper non-zero left  $fRf$  right  $eRe$  submodules.*

*Proof.* Suppose  ${}_fRf(Ie)_{eRe}$  is a non-zero left  $fRf$  right  $eRe$  submodule of  $fJe$ . Consider an indecomposable injective uniserial module  $E = Re/Ke$ , with  $Ke$  a maximal submodule of  $Je$ . Since

$$r_E(fI) = \{x \in E \mid fI \cdot x = 0\} = Je/Ke,$$

[4, Lemma 2.3] implies that  $fIe = fJe$ .

**2. The case when  $J^2 = 0$ .** Throughout this section let  $R$  be a basic artinian ring with  $J^2 = 0$  and such that every left indecomposable injective module is uniserial. The purpose of this section will be to characterize those artinian rings with  $J^2 = 0$  whose indecomposable injectives are

uniserial. To prove the following lemmas, we shall need a number of facts and definitions.

For  $R$  an artinian ring with  $J^2 = 0$  and such that every indecomposable left injective module is uniserial, let  $e$  be a primitive idempotent. We shall assume there exists a primitive idempotent  $f$  such that  $fJe \neq 0$ . Thus  $fJ = fJe$  is a left  $f\bar{R}f$  right  $e\bar{R}e$  vector space. Let  $Ie$  be a maximal (possibly  $Ie = 0$  if  $Je$  is simple) proper semi-simple left ideal contained in  $Je$  with the property that the complement of  $Ie$  in  $Je$  is isomorphic to a copy of  $T(Rf)$ . That is,  $Ie \oplus Rx = Je$  with  $Rx \cong T(Rf)$ . The hypothesis that  $fJe \neq 0$  guarantees the existence of such maximal left subideals.

Define

$$e\Phi e = \{e\varphi e \in Re \mid Ie\varphi e \subseteq Ie\}.$$

Then it is easily seen that  $e\Phi e$  is a subring of  $eRe$  and  $eJe \subseteq e\Phi e$ . Likewise it is not difficult to show that  $e\Phi e = e\Phi e/eJe$  is a division subring of  $e\bar{R}e$ . Also it is clear that  $Ie, fIe, Je, fJe$  are left  $R$  right  $e\Phi e$  modules and that  $fJe$  and  $fIe$  are left  $f\bar{R}f$  right  $e\Phi e$  vector spaces. As  $Re/Ie$  is uniserial, it is the injective hull of  $T(Rf)$ . Likewise, we have that

$$\text{End}_R (Re/Ie) \cong e\Phi e/eIe.$$

Let  $M$  be a left  $R$ -module and  $S = \text{End}_R (M)$ . Then  $M$  is naturally an  $S$ -module in the obvious way. The module  $M$  is said to be *balanced* in case the natural ring homomorphism  $R \rightarrow \text{End}_S (M)$  is surjective. If  $M$  is injective then  $M$  is a *cogenerator* in case  $M$  contains an isomorphic copy of each simple left  $R$ -module.

**2.1 LEMMA.** *Let  $e\Phi e, e, f, Ie$  be as defined previously. Suppose  $\gamma \in \text{End}_{e\Phi e} (Re/Ie)$  satisfies  $\text{Im} (\gamma) \subseteq Je/Ie \subseteq \ker (\gamma)$ . Then  $\gamma$  is given by a left multiplication of an element in  $R$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $E = E_1 \oplus \dots \oplus E_n$  be a minimal injective left cogenerator for  $R$  where each  $E_i$  is an indecomposable uniserial injective module. Set  $S_i = \text{End}_R (E_i)$  and  $S = \text{End}_R (E)$ . Thus for some  $\alpha \leq n$ ,

$$E_\alpha \cong Re/Ie \quad \text{and} \quad e\Phi e/eIe \cong S_\alpha.$$

So we may assume that  $\gamma \in \text{End}_{S_\alpha} (E_\alpha)$ . Since  $E$  is an injective cogenerator in an artinian ring  $R$ ,  $E$  is balanced [1, page 218, exercise 32]. Extend  $\gamma$  to  $\gamma' : E \rightarrow E$  by defining  $\gamma'(E_j) = 0$  for  $j \neq \alpha$  and  $\gamma'(x) = \gamma(x)$ , ( $x \in E_\alpha$ ). It need only be shown that  $\gamma'$  is an  $S$ -homomorphism in order to prove the lemma.

Each element  $s \in S$  can be represented as an  $n \times n$  matrix where the  $ij$ 'th entry  $s_{ij}$  is an  $R$ -homomorphism from  $E_j$  to  $E_i$ . We make the following observations: For  $j \neq i$ ,  $s_{ij}(JE_j) = 0$ . Otherwise  $s_{ij}$  is an isomorphism between  $E_i$  and  $E_j$ , a contradiction to  $E$  minimal. Since  $E_\alpha$  has composi-

tion length = 2, these remarks imply that

$$\text{Im } (s_{\alpha j}) \subseteq JE_{\alpha}, \quad (j \neq \alpha).$$

Using these remarks and letting  $s \in S, x \in E$  where  $x_j = \pi_j(x), (j = 1, \dots, n)$ , yields

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma'(s(x)) &= \gamma' \left( \sum_{j=1}^n s_{1j}(x_j), \dots, \right. \\ &\quad \left. \sum s_{\alpha j}(x_j), \dots \right) = \gamma \left( \sum s_{\alpha j}(x_j) \right) = \gamma(s_{\alpha\alpha}x_{\alpha}). \end{aligned}$$

Also,

$$\begin{aligned} s\gamma'(x) &= s \cdot (0, \dots, \gamma(x_{\alpha}), \dots, 0) \\ &= (s_{1\alpha}\gamma(x_{\alpha}), \dots, s_{\alpha\alpha}\gamma(x_{\alpha}), \dots, s_{n\alpha}\gamma(x_{\alpha})) = s_{\alpha\alpha}\gamma(x_{\alpha}). \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\gamma$  is an  $S_{\alpha}$  homomorphism, the above two equations are equal. Thus  $\gamma'$  is an  $S$ -homomorphism. This means that  $\gamma'$  (and therefore  $\gamma$ ) is given by a left multiplication of an element in  $R$ .

Another version of the next proposition was proved by V. P. Camillo and K. R. Fuller when  $R$  is local,  $J^2 = 0$ , and  $C(RJ) = 2$  [2].

2.2 PROPOSITION. *Let  $e, f, Ie$ , and  $e\bar{\Phi}e$  be as defined previously. Then*

$$\dim_{e\bar{\Phi}e} (\bar{R}e_{e\bar{\Phi}e}) \leq C(RJe) < \infty.$$

*Proof.* Let  $n = C(RJe)$ . Suppose that

$$m = \dim_{e\bar{\Phi}e} (\bar{R}e_{e\bar{\Phi}e}) > n.$$

So consider an  $e\bar{\Phi}e$  independent set  $\{\tau_i\}_{i=0}^n \subset \bar{R}e = e\bar{R}e$  where  $\tau_0 = e$ . Also  $Je = Ie \oplus Rt$  with  $Rt \cong T(Rf)$ . Define for  $i$  ( $1 \leq i \leq n - 1$ ) the  $e\bar{\Phi}e$ -homomorphisms  $\psi_i : Re/Je \rightarrow Je/Ie$  as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_i(\tau_i\varphi) &= t\varphi + Ie, \quad (\varphi \in e\Phi e) \quad \text{and} \\ \psi_i(\tau_j) &= 0, \quad \text{for } j \neq i, \quad (0 \leq j \leq n). \end{aligned}$$

It is routine to verify that  $\psi_i$  can be extended to all of  $Re/Je$  and defines a  $e\bar{\Phi}e$  homomorphism. Consider,

$$Re/Je \xrightarrow{\epsilon} Re/Je \xrightarrow{\psi_i} Je/Ie \xrightarrow{i} Re/Je$$

where  $\epsilon$  is the natural epimorphism of  $Re/Je$  onto  $Re/Je$  and  $i$  is the natural monomorphism of  $Je/Ie$  into  $Re/Je$ . Therefore,  $\gamma_i = i\psi_i\epsilon$  defines a  $e\bar{\Phi}e$ -endomorphism of  $Re/Je$  such that

$$\text{Im } (\gamma_i) \subseteq Je/Ie \subseteq \ker (\gamma_i).$$

Applying 2.1 for each  $\gamma_i, (1 \leq i \leq n - 1)$ , there is a  $\rho_i \in Re$  such that

$$\rho_i \neq 0, \rho_i \in Ie, \rho_i\tau_i \notin Ie, \rho_i\tau_j \in Ie \quad (j \neq i), \quad \text{where } (1 \leq j \leq n).$$

Consider  $\{R\rho_1, \dots, R\rho_{n-1}\}$ . We claim that

$$Ie = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} R\rho_i.$$

Define

$$I^{(k)}e = \sum_{i=k}^{n-1} R\rho_i \quad \text{for each } 1 \leq k \leq n - 1.$$

Suppose  $\rho_k \in I^{(k)}e$ . Then

$$R\rho_k \cdot \tau_k \subseteq I^{(k)}e \cdot \tau_k.$$

But  $\rho_i\tau_k \in Ie, i \neq k$ . This implies that

$$\rho_k\tau_k \in I^{(k)}e \cdot \tau_k \subseteq Ie$$

a contradiction. Thus  $R\rho_k \cap I^{(k)} = 0$  for each  $1 \leq k \leq n - 1$ . Therefore, the sum  $\sum R\rho_k$  is direct and since  $C({}_R Ie) = n - 1, Ie = \sum R\rho_k$ .

Let  $x \in Ie$ . Then  $x$  can be written as  $x = \sum \alpha_i\rho_i, (\alpha_i \in R)$ . Using that  $\rho_i\tau_n \in Ie (1 \leq i \leq n - 1)$ , yields

$$x \cdot \tau_n = \sum \alpha_i\rho_i\tau_n \in Ie, \quad \forall x \in Ie.$$

As  $Ie \cdot \tau_n \subseteq Ie$ , we have that  $\tau_n \in e\bar{\Phi}e$ , a contradiction.

2.3 LEMMA. *Suppose  $fJe \neq 0$  for primitive idempotents  $e$  and  $f$ , and let  $e\bar{\Phi}e$  and  $Ie$  be as defined previously. Then*

$$\dim_{e\bar{\Phi}e} (fIe) = \dim_{e\bar{\Phi}e} (fJe) - 1.$$

*Proof.* Let  $0 \neq x = fx \in Je, x \notin Ie$ . It will be shown that  $x \cdot e\bar{\Phi}e \oplus Ie = Je$  as  $e\bar{\Phi}e$  vector spaces. Clearly  $Ie \oplus Rx = Je$ . For each  $\alpha \in R$ , define an  $R$ -homomorphism  $\sigma : Je \rightarrow Re/Ie$  by

$$\sigma(rx) = r\alpha x + Ie, \sigma(Ie) = 0.$$

By the injectivity of  $Re/Ie, \sigma$  can be extended to a right multiplication by an element  $\varphi \in Re$  such that  $Ie\varphi \subseteq Ie$  and  $x\varphi - \alpha x \in Ie$ . This implies that

$$Ie + x \cdot e\bar{\Phi}e = Ie + Rx = Je.$$

It is straightforward to check that the above sum is a direct sum as  $e\bar{\Phi}e$  subspaces. Thus  $Rx \cong T(Rf)$  implies

$$fIe + x \cdot e\bar{\Phi}e = fJe.$$

This yields the result.

The problem of determining the rings whose left indecomposable injectives are uniserial can be cast into the framework of linear algebra. Let  $F$  and  $K$  be skew fields and  ${}_F V_K$  a bi-vector space over  $F$  and  $K$ . Then  ${}_F V_K$  is said to be *simple* in case  ${}_F V_K$  contains no proper non-zero

$F - K$  bi-vector subspaces. Given an artinian ring whose left indecomposable injective modules are uniserial, by 1.4, 2.2 and 2.3 one can always construct from these rings skew fields  $F, K, K', F \subseteq K$ , and  $K' - F, K' - K$  vector spaces  ${}_{K'}W_F \subseteq {}_{K'}V_K$ , such that  ${}_{K'}V_K$  is simple and

$$\begin{aligned} \dim_F(W) &= \dim_F(V) - 1 < \infty \\ \dim_{K'}(W) &= \dim_{K'}(V) - 1 < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

In fact the existence of such a construction allows us to determine when such a ring is right serial. This discussion is summed up in the next theorem.

In order to prove 2.4, the following definition will be needed: A module  $N$  is said to be *injective relative to*  $M$  in case for every submodule  $K \subseteq M$  and homomorphism  $\delta : K \rightarrow N$ , there is an extension of  $\delta$  to  $M$ . When  $M = N$ ,  $N$  is said to be *quasi-injective*.

**2.4 THEOREM.** *The following two statements are equivalent:*

- (1) *Every artinian ring whose indecomposable injective left modules are uniserial is a right serial ring.*
- (2) *Every simple bi-vector space  ${}_FV_K$  over skew fields  $F \subset K$  that possesses a subspace  ${}_FW_F \subseteq {}_FV_F$  such that*

$$\begin{aligned} \dim_F W &= \dim_F V - 1 < \infty \\ \dim(W)_F &= \dim(V)_F - 1 < \infty \end{aligned}$$

*satisfies  $\dim(V)_K = 1$ .*

*Proof.* Using 1.1 and a theorem of Nakayama, it suffices to prove the theorem when  $J^2 = 0$ .

(1) implies (2): Consider a simple bi-vector space  ${}_FV_K$  over skew fields  $F \subset K$  with subspace  ${}_FW_F \subseteq {}_FV_F$  such that  $\dim_F W = \dim_F V - 1 < \infty$  and  $\dim(W)_F = \dim(V)_F - 1 < \infty$ . Let  $R$  be the ring of matrices of the form

$$R = \begin{bmatrix} F & V \\ 0 & K \end{bmatrix}.$$

So  $R$  has primitive idempotents

$$e_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad e_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

By [4, Proposition 1.2],  $Re_2/Je_2$  is injective. So it will suffice to show that  $Re_2/We_2$  is injective. Suppose  $\varphi : Ve_2/We_2 \rightarrow Re_2/We_2$  is an  $R$ -homomorphism. Since  $Ve_2/We_2$  is simple as a left  $R$ -module,  $\varphi$  is defined by its action on an element  $ve_2 + We_2$  via

$$\varphi(ve_2 + We_2) = \alpha ve_2 + We_2 \quad (ve_2 \notin We_2), \quad (\alpha \in K).$$

By hypothesis  $W \oplus vF = V$  as  $F$  vector spaces, so  $\alpha v - v\beta \in W$  for some

$\beta \in F$ . Thus  $\varphi$  can be extended to  $Re_2/We_2$  via right multiplication by  $\beta e_2$ . Therefore,  $Re_2/We_2$  is quasi-injective.

Consider  $l_R(E) = \{x \in R \mid x \cdot E = 0\}$ ,  $E = Re_2/We_2$ . As the  $F - K$  action corresponds to the  $R - R$  action on ideals of  $R$ ,  ${}_F V_K$  simple implies that  $l_R(E)e_2 \subseteq Je_2$ , or  $l_R(E)e_2 = 0$ . The first case can not occur as  $E$  is not semi-simple. Thus  $l_R(E)e_2 = 0$ . This implies that  $l_R(E)e_1 = 0$  and so  $l_R(E) = 0$ . Hence  $E$  is faithful. By a theorem of K. R. Fuller [5],  $Re_2/We_2 = E$  is injective. Therefore, using (1),  $R$  is right serial. This means that  $\dim (V)_K = 1$ .

(2) implies (1): Let  $f$  and  $e$  be primitive idempotents with  $fJe \neq 0$ . Setting  $V = fJe$ ,  $W = fIe$ ,  $K = e\bar{R}e$ ,  $K' = f\bar{R}f$ , and  $F = e\bar{F}e$  and applying 1.4, 2.2, 2.3 yields a simple bi-vector space  ${}_{K'} V_K$  with

$$\begin{aligned} \dim_{K'} (W) &= \dim_{K'} (V) - 1 < \infty \quad \text{and} \\ \dim (W)_F &= \dim (V)_F - 1 < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,  $\dim_{K'} (V/W) = \dim (V/W)_F = 1$ . Hence, the division rings  $K'$  and  $F$  are isomorphic via  $\bar{x}a = \gamma(a)\bar{x}$ , ( $\bar{x} \in V/W$ ). Applying the hypothesis,  $\dim (V)_K = 1$ . Thus  $V = fJe = fJ$  is simple, and so  $fR$  is uniserial.

**3. Rings with  $R/J$  commutative.** Since any artinian ring with  $R/J$  commutative is basic, the results of Section 2 can be applied directly.

3.1 LEMMA. *Suppose  $F, K$ , and  $K'$  are fields with  $F \subseteq K$ ,  $\dim_F (K) < \infty$ , and  ${}_{K'} V_K, {}_{K'} W_K$  are  $K' - F, K' - K$  vector spaces such that  ${}_{K'} W_F \subseteq {}_{K'} V_F$ . If  ${}_{K'} V_K$  is simple and  ${}_{K'} V_K, {}_{K'} W_F$  satisfy*

$$\begin{aligned} \dim (W_F) &= \dim (V)_F - 1 < \infty \\ \dim_{K'} (W) &= \dim_{K'} (V) - 1 < \infty \end{aligned}$$

then  $\dim (V)_K = 1$ .

*Proof.* The following notation will be used:  $\dim_F (K_F) = n$ ,  $\dim_K (V_K) = k$ . Thus  $\dim_F (V_F) = kn$ ,  $\dim_F (W_F) = kn - 1$ . We need only show that  $\dim_F (W_F) = n - 1$ .

Let  $\{1, \tau_1, \dots, \tau_{n-1}\}$  be a basis for  $K$  over  $F$ . Suppose that there exists  $\{w_1, \dots, w_n\}$  a set of non-zero  $F$ -linear independent vectors with  $w_1, \dots, w_n \in W$ . We will show that there must exist a vector  $0 \neq w \in W$  such that  $w\tau_i \in W$ , ( $1 \leq i \leq n - 1$ ) as follows: We will first show the existence of a set of non-zero  $F$ -linear independent vectors  $\{\tilde{w}_1, \dots, \tilde{w}_{n-s}\} = S$  such that for each  $\tilde{w}_i \in S$ ,

$$(1) \quad \{\tilde{w}_i, \tilde{w}_i\tau_1, \dots, \tilde{w}_i\tau_s\} \subseteq W.$$

When  $s = 0$  and let  $\tau_0 = 1$ ,  $\{w_1, \dots, w_n\}$  constitute such a set. So apply induction assuming the existence of a set of  $n - s$  non-zero,  $F$ -linear independent vectors  $\{\tilde{w}_1, \dots, \tilde{w}_{n-s}\}$  satisfying (1). Suppose there exists

at least one  $\tilde{w}_k$  with  $\tilde{w}_k \cdot \tau_{s+1} \notin W$ . If not, any subset of  $n - s - 1$   $F$ -independent vectors will do for the next step in the induction. So we may assume, re-indexing if necessary, that  $\tilde{w}_{n-s} \cdot \tau_{s+1} \notin W$ . Using  $\tilde{w}_{n-s}$  we shall construct a set of  $n - s - 1$  linearly independent non-zero vectors  $\{\tilde{w}_1, \dots, \tilde{w}_{n-s-1}\}$  such that  $\tilde{w}_i \cdot \tau_k \in W$ , ( $1 \leq i \leq n - s - 1$ ),  $0 \leq k \leq s + 1$ . So suppose that for some  $\tilde{w}_i$ ,  $1 \leq i < n - s$ ,  $\tilde{w}_i \cdot \tau_{s+1} \notin W$ . Observe that  $\tilde{w}_{n-s} \cdot \tau_{s+1} \notin W$  implies that

$$V_F = W \oplus \tilde{w}_{n-s} \cdot \tau_{s+1}F$$

using that  $\dim_F(W) = kn - 1$ . Therefore,

$$\tilde{w}_i \tau_{s+1} = \tilde{w}_{n-s} \cdot \tau_{s+1}f + w$$

where  $f \in F$ ,  $w \in W$ . Set  $\tilde{w}_i = \tilde{w}_i - \tilde{w}_{n-s} \cdot f$ , and observe that  $\tilde{w}_i \neq 0$  since  $\tilde{w}_i, \tilde{w}_{n-s}$  are linearly independent. Having made this selection for all  $\tilde{w}_i$  (or leaving  $\tilde{w}_i = \tilde{w}_i$  in case  $\tilde{w}_i \cdot \tau_{s+1} \in W$ ), it is straightforward to show that the set  $\{\tilde{w}_1, \dots, \tilde{w}_{n-s-1}\}$  is  $F$ -linearly independent. Also it is clear using the commutivity of  $K$  that  $\tilde{w}_i \cdot \tau_k \in W$ , ( $1 \leq i \leq n - s - 1$ ), ( $0 \leq k \leq s + 1$ ). Therefore by induction, we may assume that there exists  $0 \neq w \in W$  such that  $w \cdot \tau_i \in W$ , ( $1 \leq i \leq n - 1$ ).

Let  $k \in K$ . Thus  $k = f_0 + \tau_1 f_1 + \dots + \tau_{n-1} f_{n-1}$ . So

$$w \cdot k = w \cdot (f_0 + \tau_1 f_1 + \dots + \tau_{n-1} f_{n-1}) = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} w \cdot \tau_i f_i + w f_0 \in W.$$

Therefore  $w \cdot K \subseteq W$  which implies that  $K'w \cdot K \subseteq W \subseteq V$ , a contradiction. So  $\dim_F(W_F) = n - 1 = kn - 1$ . Thus,  $k = 1$ .

**3.2 THEOREM.** *Let  $R$  be an artinian ring with  $R/J$  commutative. Then every indecomposable injective left  $R$ -module is uniserial if and only if  $R$  is right serial.*

*Proof.* By 1.1 and a theorem of Nakayama [9], it suffices to consider the case when  $J^2 = 0$ . Suppose every indecomposable injective left  $R$ -module is uniserial. Let  $f$  be a primitive idempotent such that  $fJ \neq 0$ . So there exists a primitive idempotent  $e$  such that  $fJe \neq 0$  and such that by 1.3  $fJ \cong T(eR)^{(n)}$ . Applying 1.4, 2.2, and 2.3 there exist fields  $K = e\bar{R}e$ ,  $K' = f\bar{R}f$ ,  $F = e\bar{F}e$ , and vector spaces  ${}_{K'}V_K = fJe$ ,  ${}_{K'}W_F = fJe$  satisfying the hypothesis of 3.1. Thus  $\dim(V)_K = 1$ . Since  $fJ = fJe$ ,  $fJ$  is a one dimensional  $K(= e\bar{R}e)$  vector space, and so  $C(fJ_R) = 1$ .

This means that  $fR$  is right uniserial for all primitive idempotents  $f$  such that  $fJ \neq 0$ . Since  $fJ = 0$  implies that  $fR$  is simple, we must have that  $R$  is right serial.

Suppose that  $R$  is right serial. Since  $R$  is basic, let  $\{f_i\}$  be a basic set of primitive idempotents with  $1 = f_1 + \dots + f_n$ . Since  $J^2 = 0$ ,

$$0 \subseteq f_1J \subseteq (f_1 + f_2)J \subseteq \dots \subseteq J$$

is a sequence of [12, 2.6]. Applying [12, Theorem 2.7] and [12, Lemma 3.1] yields every indecomposable left injective module uniserial.

**3.3 COROLLARY.** *Let  $R$  be an artinian ring such that  $R/J$  is commutative. Then  $R$  is serial if and only if every indecomposable injective  $R$ -module is uniserial.*

*Proof.* Apply 3.2.

**3.4. PROPOSITION.** *Let  $R$  be an artinian ring which is Morita equivalent to a ring  $S$  with  $S/J(S)$  commutative. Then every indecomposable injective left  $R$ -module is uniserial if and only if  $R$  is right serial.*

*Proof.* Apply 1.2 and 3.2.

*Remark.* Can 3.2 and 3.3 be extended to arbitrary rings, or for that matter to rings  $R$  such that  $R/J$  is a finite dimensional division algebra over a field? The author knows of no counter examples.

*Acknowledgement.* The author wishes to thank the referee for valuable suggestions concerning the final form of the manuscript.

#### REFERENCES

1. F. W. Anderson and K. R. Fuller, *Rings and categories of modules* (Springer-Verlag, New York–Heidelberg–Berlin, 1973).
2. V. P. Camillo and K. R. Fuller, *Balanced and QF-1 algebras*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **34** (1972), 373–378.
3. V. Dlab and C. M. Ringel, *Decomposition of modules over right serial rings*, Math. Z. **129** (1972), 207–230.
4. K. R. Fuller, *On indecomposable injectives over artinian rings*, Pacific J. Math. **29** (1969), 115–135.
5. ——— *On direct representations of quasi-injectives and quasi-projectives*, Arch. Math. **20** (1969), 495–503.
6. G. Ivanov, *Decomposition of modules over serial rings*, Comm. in Alg. **3** (1975), 1031–1036.
7. T. Nakayama, *On frobeniusean algebras I*, Ann. of Math. **40** (1939), 611–634.
8. ——— *On frobeniusean algebras II*, Ann. of Math. **42** (1941), 1–21.
9. ——— *Note on uniserial and generalized uniserial rings*, Proc. Imp. Soc. Japan **16** (1940), 285–289.
10. E. Robert, *Projectifs et injectifs relatifs*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris. Ser. A **268** (1969), 361–364.
11. B. Roux, *Anneaux artiniens et extensions d'anneaux semi-simples*, J. Algebra **25** (1973), 295–306.
12. ——— *Modules injectifs indecomposables sur les anneaux artiniens et dualité de Morita*, Seminaire Dubreil (Algebre) **26** (1973), no. 10.
13. R. B. Warfield Jr., *Serial rings, semi-hereditary rings and finitely presented modules*, J. Algebra **37** (1975), 187–222.

*Universidade Federal da Bahia,  
Salvador, Bahia, Brasil*