

SOME RESULTS INVOLVING WEAK COMPACTNESS IN $C(X)$, $C(\nu X)$ AND $C(X)'$

by I. TWEDDLE

(Received 4th September 1973)

1. Introduction

The main aim of the present note is to compare $C(X)$ and $C(\nu X)$, the spaces of real-valued continuous functions on a completely regular space X and its real 1-1 compactification νX , with regard to weak compactness and weak countable compactness. In a sense to be made precise below, it is shown that $C(X)$ and $C(\nu X)$ have the same absolutely convex weakly countably compact sets. In certain circumstances countable compactness may be replaced by compactness, in which case one obtains a nice representation of the Mackey completion of the dual space of $C(X)$ (Theorems 5, 6, 7).

These results all depend to some extent on Theorem 1, where it is shown that the same absolutely convex subsets of $C(X)$ are compact for the weak topology and the topology of pointwise convergence. This fact is used to give a proof of a recent result of H. Buchwalter (1, Théorème 2.6) which asserts that $C(X)$ and $C(\nu X)$ have the same *disques bornés complétants* for their topologies of compact convergence. (A *disque borné complétant* in a locally convex space is a bounded absolutely convex set whose span is a Banach space with respect to the associated norm. Such a set will be referred to here as a *dbc-set*.) Various convergence criteria are also considered in Section 3.

Section 4 is concerned with conditions under which $C(X)$ is barrelled or σ -barrelled in its topology of compact convergence. In (2, Théorème 4.1), H. Buchwalter and J. Schmets have given various conditions equivalent to σ -barrelledness for $C(X)$. Theorem 9 contains some further results in this direction, while Theorem 10 is an analogue for the barrelled case of one of their results.

I am very grateful to Professor Schmets and Professor Buchwalter for providing me with preprint copies of (2), and to the referee for simplifying the proof of Theorem 5 (ii).

2. Notation

It will be assumed *without specific mention* that all the underlying topological spaces are non-empty and completely regular.

For $f \in C(X)$, f^ν denotes its unique extension to an element of $C(\nu X)$ and if $A \subseteq C(X)$, $A^\nu = \{f^\nu: f \in A\}$. The statement that $C(X)$ and $C(\nu X)$ have the same sets satisfying a property (P) means that A satisfies property (P) in $C(X)$ if and only if A^ν satisfies this property in $C(\nu X)$.

$C_c(X)$ denotes $C(X)$ with the topology of compact convergence and $C(X)'$ is the dual of $C_c(X)$. $C_o(X)$, $C_s(X)$, $[C(X)']_\sigma$, $[C(X)']_\tau$ denote respectively $C(X)$ with the weak topology $\sigma(C(X), C(X)')$, $C(X)$ with the topology s_X of pointwise convergence, $C(X)'$ with the weak topology $\sigma(C(X)', C(X))$ and $C(X)'$ with the Mackey topology $\tau(C(X)', C(X))$.

For each $x \in X$, δ_x denotes the element of $C(X)'$ defined by $\delta_x(f) = f(x)$.

3. Weak compactness and convergence: completion of the dual space

Let \mathcal{K} be the family of all non-empty compact subsets of X . For each $K \in \mathcal{K}$ let $H(K)$ be the subset $\{f \in C(X) : |f(x)| \leq 1, x \in K\}^0$ of $C(X)'$. Then:

- (i) each $H(K)$ is an absolutely convex compact subset of $[C(X)']_\sigma$;
- (ii) $\bigcup\{H(K) : K \in \mathcal{K}\}$ spans $C(X)'$;
- (iii) the set of extremal points of $H(K)$ is $\{\pm \delta_x : x \in K\}$.

The first and second observations follow since $H(K)$ is the polar of a neighbourhood in $C_c(X)$ and each element of $C(X)'$ is bounded on such a neighbourhood. It is well known that when X is compact, the extremal points of the closed unit ball of $C(X)'$ are precisely the point measures $\pm \delta_x$ ($x \in K$) (7, Section 25, 2 (2)). The third observation is easily deduced from this by using the fact that each $K \in \mathcal{K}$ is C -embedded in $C(X)$, (4, 3.11 (c)).

If F' is the vector subspace of $C(X)'$ spanned by the extremal points of the sets $H(K)$ ($K \in \mathcal{K}$), $(C(X), F')$ is a dual pair, and the topology $\sigma(C(X), F')$ is simply s_X . (For later reference, note that in the terminology of (13, Section 2), $\mathcal{S} = \{H(K) : K \in \mathcal{K}\}$ is an S -family for $(C(X), C(X)')$ and $C(X)'(\mathcal{S}) = F'$.) The following is now an immediate consequence of (12, Theorem 2).

Theorem 1. $C_o(X)$ and $C_s(X)$ have the same absolutely convex compact sets.

Corollary. Let $f_n \rightarrow 0$ in $C_o(X)$ and suppose that the closed absolutely convex envelope A of $\{f_n : n = 1, 2, \dots\}$ in $C_o(X)$ is compact. Then A^0 is compact in $C_o(vX)$.

Proof. The proof of (7, Section 20, 9 (6)) shows that

$$A = \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \xi_n f_n : \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\xi_n| \leq 1, \xi_n \in \mathbb{R}, n = 1, 2, \dots \right\},$$

where each series $\sum \xi_n f_n$ converges in $C_o(X)$. For any such series $\sum \xi_n f_n$ and any $y \in vX$, there exists $x \in X$ such that

$$\left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \xi_n f_n \right)^0(y) = \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \xi_n f_n \right)(x)$$

and $f_n^0(y) = f_n(x)$ ($n = 1, 2, \dots$) (4, 7C). Then $f_n^0(y) \rightarrow 0$ and

$$\left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \xi_n f_n \right)^0(y) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \xi_n f_n(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \xi_n f_n^0(y),$$

or equivalently,

$$f_n^v \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \xi_n f_n^v = \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \xi_n f_n \right)^v$$

in $C_s(vX)$. Again by (7, Section 20, 9 (6)), A^v is compact in $C_s(vX)$ and therefore also in $C_\sigma(vX)$ by the theorem.

Théorème 2.6 of (1) can now be obtained by an application of this corollary.

Theorem 2 (Buchwalter). $C_c(X)$ and $C_c(vX)$ have the same *dbc*-sets.

Proof. As in (1) it is enough to show that if D is a *dbc*-set in $C_c(X)$, D^v is bounded in $C_c(vX)$. If this is not the case, there is an element $\mu \in C(vX)'$ and a sequence (f_n) in D such that

$$|\mu(f_n^v)| \geq n^2 \quad (n = 1, 2, \dots) \quad (*).$$

If E is the span of D in $C(X)$, $(1/n)f_n \rightarrow 0$ in the norm topology defined on E by D , and since E is complete in this topology, the closed absolutely convex envelope A of $\{(1/n)f_n: n = 1, 2, \dots\}$ is a norm compact subset of E . Since $C_\sigma(X)$ induces a coarser topology on E , A is compact in $C_c(X)$. By the Corollary to Theorem 1, A^v is compact and therefore bounded in $C_\sigma(vX)$. Thus there is a constant $M \geq 0$ such that $|\mu((1/n)f_n^v)| \leq M \quad (n = 1, 2, \dots)$, which contradicts (*).

Remark. As observed in (2, Remark 1 following 3.5), in fact $C_s(X)$ and $C_c(vX)$ have the same *dbc*-sets. This may be proved as above with an application of Theorem 1.

The next two results are interpretations in the present context of some general convergence theorems of (12) and (13). The first of these is well known.

Theorem 3. (i) $f_n \rightarrow f$ in $C_\sigma(X)$ if and only if $\{f_n: n = 1, 2, \dots\}$ is bounded in $C_\sigma(X)$ and $f_n(x) \rightarrow f(x)$ for each $x \in X$.

(ii) $g_n \rightarrow g$ in $C_\sigma(vX)$ if and only if $\{g_n: n = 1, 2, \dots\}$ is bounded in $C_\sigma(vX)$ and $g_n(x) \rightarrow g(x)$ for each $x \in X$.

Proof. (i) follows immediately from (12, Corollary 2). As in the proof of the Corollary to Theorem 1, if $g_n(x) \rightarrow g(x)$ for each $x \in X$, then $g_n \rightarrow g$ in $C_s(vX)$. (ii) then follows from (i).

A series Σx_n in a locally convex space is said to have property (O) if each subseries is weakly convergent (see (14)).

Theorem 4. A series Σf_n has property (O) in $C_c(X)$ if and only if Σf_n^v has property (O) in $C_c(vX)$. Further if Σf_n has property (O) in $C_c(X)$, Σf_n^v converges unconditionally in $C_c(vX)$.

Proof. The sufficiency of the condition in the first assertion is immediate.

Conversely, if Σf_n has property (O) in $C_c(X)$, then Σf_n^v is certainly subseries convergent under s_{vX} . The necessity of the condition and the second assertion then follow immediately from (13, Corollary to Theorem 7), when the interpretation of the topology of pointwise convergence given at the beginning of the section is applied to s_{vX} .

Theorem 5. (i) $C_\sigma(X)$ and $C_\sigma(vX)$ have the same absolutely convex countably compact sets. (ii) If $C_c(vX)$ is complete, $C_c(X)$ and $C_\sigma(vX)$ have the same absolutely convex compact sets.

In both cases, if $C_c(X)$ is sequentially complete, the hypothesis of absolute convexity may be omitted.

Proof. (i) Let A be any non-empty absolutely convex countably compact subset of $C_\sigma(X)$. Let (f_n) be a sequence in A and let $f_0 \in A$ be a cluster point of (f_n) in $C_\sigma(X)$. Given $y_1, y_2, \dots, y_r \in vX$, there exist $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_r \in X$ such that

$$f_n^v(y_s) = f_n(x_s) \quad (n = 0, 1, \dots; s = 1, 2, \dots, r).$$

Then, if $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \{n : |f_n^v(y_s) - f_0^v(y_s)| < \varepsilon, s = 1, 2, \dots, r\} \\ = \{n : |f_n(x_s) - f_0(x_s)| < \varepsilon, s = 1, 2, \dots, r\}, \end{aligned}$$

from which it follows that f_0^v is a cluster point of (f_n^v) in $C_\sigma(vX)$.

Let $\mu_1, \mu_2, \dots, \mu_t \in C(vX)'$. By (9, Theorem 2.1) there is a subsequence $(f_{n(k)}^v)$ such that $f_{n(k)}^v(x) \rightarrow f_0^v(x)$ for all $x \in \bigcup_{r=1}^t \text{supp } \mu_r$. Now A is a *dbc*-set in $C_c(X)$ since it is sequentially complete in $C_\sigma(X)$ (the proof of 10, Chapter V, Lemma 2 is easily adapted to this case) and so by Theorem 2, $(f_{n(k)}^v)$ is a bounded sequence in $C_c(vX)$. Applying Theorem 3 (i) in $C_\sigma\left(\bigcup_{r=1}^t \text{supp } \mu_r\right)$ then shows that

$$\mu_r(f_{n(k)}^v) \rightarrow \mu_r(f_0^v) \quad \text{as } k \rightarrow \infty \quad (r = 1, 2, \dots, t),$$

from which it follows that for an infinite number of suffices n ,

$$f_n^v - f_0^v \in \{g \in C(vX) : |\mu_r(g)| \leq 1, r = 1, 2, \dots, t\}.$$

f_0^v is therefore a cluster point of (f_n^v) in $C_\sigma(vX)$ and A^v is a countably compact subset of $C_\sigma(vX)$.

The converse is immediate since the mapping $\theta: C_\sigma(vX) \rightarrow C_\sigma(X)$ defined by $\theta(g) = g|_X$ is continuous.

(ii) Let B be any absolutely convex compact subset of $C_\sigma(X)$. By (i) and Eberlein's theorem it follows that if $C_c(vX)$ is complete, then B^v is relatively compact in $C_\sigma(vX)$. Since the mapping θ defined in (i) is a continuous bijection and since B is closed in $C_\sigma(X)$, $B^v = \theta^{-1}(B)$ is closed and therefore compact in $C_\sigma(vX)$.

The converse follows as in (i).

Finally, if $C_c(X)$ is sequentially complete, $C_c(X)$ and $C_c(vX)$ have the same bounded sets (1, 3.15). The assumption of absolute convexity may therefore be dropped in (i) and consequently also in (ii).

Remark. If $C_\sigma(X)$ and $C_\sigma(vX)$ have the same compact sets, one can show by an argument similar to that used in the proof of Theorem 2 that they must

have the same bounded sets. However H. Buchwalter has shown that in general the families of bounded sets are not the same (1, Section 3).

If there exists a discrete space Z with measurable cardinal (4, Chapter 12), then $C_o(Z)$ and $C_o(vZ)$ do not have the same absolutely convex compact sets. Since $C_c(Z)$ is a product of copies of \mathbb{R} , $[C(Z)]_\tau$ is a direct sum of copies of \mathbb{R} and so is complete. Since Z is not realcompact (4, 12.2), there is an element $y \in vZ \setminus Z$ and the mapping $f \rightarrow f^o(y)$ is a linear form on $C(Z)$ which must be discontinuous on some absolutely convex compact subset A of $C_o(Z)$ (10, Chapter VI, Theorem 3). A^o cannot then be compact in $C_o(vZ)$ (see Theorem 7 below).

Theorem 6. *If there is a sequence (X_n) of bounded subsets of X such that $X = \text{cl} \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} X_n$, then $C_o(X)$ and $C_o(vX)$ have the same absolutely convex compact sets.*

Proof. Let A be an absolutely convex compact subset of $C_o(X)$. By Theorem 5 (i), A^o is countably compact in $C_o(vX)$. Now each X_n is also a bounded subset of vX and so its closure in vX is compact (4, 8E). Thus by hypothesis vX has a σ -compact dense subset and so by (9, Theorem 2.5) A^o is compact in $C_o(vX)$. It now follows from Theorem 1 that A^o is compact in $C_o(vX)$. As before, the converse is immediate.

While the problem of comparing the absolutely convex compact subsets of $C_o(X)$ and $C_o(vX)$ would seem to be of interest for its own sake, the next result gives it an added importance.

Theorem 7. *If $C_o(X)$ and $C_o(vX)$ have the same absolutely convex compact sets, then $[C(vX)]_\tau$ is the completion of $[C(X)]_\tau$.*

Proof. The mapping $\theta: C_o(vX) \rightarrow C_o(X)$ defined by $\theta(g) = g|_X$ is bijective and continuous. Hence its transpose θ' maps $C(X)'$ injectively onto a vector subspace G' of $C(vX)'$ which is dense in $[C(vX)]_\tau$. By hypothesis, if G' is provided with the topology induced by $\tau(C(vX)', C(vX))$, θ' defines a topological isomorphism of $[C(X)]_\tau$ onto G' .

The result will follow if it is shown that $[C(vX)]_\tau$ is complete. M. de Wilde and J. Schmets have shown that $C_c(vX)$ is ultrabornological (3, Théorème). Consequently $C(vX)'$ may be represented as a closed vector subspace of a product $\prod_{\gamma \in \Gamma} E'_\gamma$, where each E'_γ is the dual space of a Banach space E_γ (10, Chapter V, Propositions 3, 25, 28). Since a product of complete spaces is complete and each E'_γ is complete under $\tau(E'_\gamma, E_\gamma)$ (10, Chapter VI, Corollary 2 of Proposition 1), $C(vX)'$ must be complete in the topology induced by the product of these Mackey topologies. This is a topology of the dual pair $(C(vX)', C(vX))$, so that $[C(vX)]_\tau$ is also complete (10, Chapter VI, Proposition 5).

Now suppose that $C_c(vX)$ is sequentially complete. For each series Σf_n in $C_c(X)$ having property (O), let $A(\Sigma f_n)$ denote the closed absolutely convex envelope of $\left\{ \sum_{r=1}^n f_r : n = 1, 2, \dots \right\}$ in $C_\sigma(X)$. By Theorem 4, $C_c(X)$ and $C_c(vX)$ have the same series with property (O). It follows from (14, Lemma 1), as in the corollary to Theorem 1, that for each such series Σf_n , the closed absolutely convex envelope of $\left\{ \sum_{r=1}^n f_r^v : n = 1, 2, \dots \right\}$ in $C_\sigma(vX)$ is compact, and so $A(\Sigma f_n)$ is compact in $C_\sigma(X)$. The topology ξ on $C(X)'$ of uniform convergence on all sets of the form $A(\Sigma f_n)$ is then a topology of the dual pair $(C(X)', C(X))$.

The celebrated results of L. Nachbin (8) and T. Shirota (11) show that $C_c(vX)$ is bornological, so that by hypothesis and the remark following Proposition 2 of (14), $C(vX)'$ is complete in the topology η of uniform convergence on the sets $(A(\Sigma f_n))^v$.

An argument similar to that in the first paragraph of the proof of Theorem 7 then establishes the following.

Theorem 8. *In the above notation, if $C_c(vX)$ is sequentially complete, the ξ -completion of $C(X)'$ is $C(vX)'$ with the topology η .*

Remark. It may seem more natural so assume in Theorem 5 (ii) that $C_c(vX)$ is quasicomplete and in Theorem 8 that $C_c(X)$ is sequentially complete. However, S. Warner has shown that quasicompleteness and completeness are equivalent for such function spaces (15, Theorem 1) and as pointed out by H. Buchwalter, if $C_c(X)$ is sequentially complete so also is $C_c(vX)$ (1, following proof of 4.4).

Some results related to the material of this section are mentioned in (6).

4. σ -barrelled and barrelled spaces

A separated locally convex space is said to be σ -barrelled if each weak* bounded sequence in its dual space forms an equicontinuous set. In (2, Théorème 4.1), H. Buchwalter and J. Schmets consider conditions equivalent to σ -barrelledness for $C_c(X)$; in particular they show that $C_c(X)$ is σ -barrelled if and only if $[C(X)']_\tau$ is sequentially complete. Theorem 10 is the analogue of this result for the barrelled case. Theorem 9 contains some further conditions equivalent to σ -barrelledness.

A real separated locally convex space E with dual E' is said to have property (J) if for each $x \in E$ and each non-empty $\sigma(E', E)$ -closed bounded set B there exists $x' \in B$ such that

$$\langle x, x' \rangle = \sup \{ \langle x, y' \rangle : y' \in B \}.$$

The following characterisation of property (J) may be well known. A proof is included for completeness.

Lemma. *E has property (J) if and only if each $\sigma(E', E)$ -bounded sequence has a $\sigma(E', E)$ -cluster point.*

Proof. Let B be any non-empty $\sigma(E', E)$ -closed bounded set and let $x \in E$. Choose a sequence (x'_n) in B such that

$$\langle x, x'_n \rangle \rightarrow \sup \{ \langle x, y' \rangle : y' \in B \}.$$

If (x'_n) has a $\sigma(E', E)$ -cluster point x' , then $x' \in B$ and

$$\langle x, x' \rangle = \sup \{ \langle x, y' \rangle : y' \in B \}.$$

This establishes the sufficiency of the condition.

Now suppose that (x'_n) is a $\sigma(E', E)$ -bounded sequence in E' which has no $\sigma(E', E)$ -cluster point. Let x' be a $\sigma(E^*, E)$ -cluster point of (x'_n) . (E^* denotes the algebraic dual of E .) Since $x' \neq 0$, there exists $x \in E$ such that $\langle x, x' \rangle > 0$. Choose a subsequence (y'_n) of (x'_n) such that $\langle x, y'_n \rangle \rightarrow \langle x, x' \rangle$ and construct a subset B of E' as follows:

(a) if there is a subsequence (z'_n) of (y'_n) such that

$$\langle x, z'_n \rangle < \langle x, x' \rangle \quad (n = 1, 2, \dots),$$

put $B = \{z'_n : n = 1, 2, \dots\}$;

(b) if not and there is a subsequence (w'_n) of (y'_n) such that

$$\langle x, w'_n \rangle > \langle x, x' \rangle \quad (n = 1, 2, \dots),$$

put $B = \{-w'_n : n = 1, 2, \dots\}$;

(c) if neither of these conditions is satisfied, there is a subsequence (u'_n) of (y'_n) such that

$$\langle x, u'_n \rangle = \langle x, x' \rangle \quad (n = 1, 2, \dots).$$

Put $B = \{(1 - 1/n)u'_n : n = 1, 2, \dots\}$.

In each case B is $\sigma(E', E)$ -closed and bounded and x does not attain its supremum on B . This establishes the necessity of the condition.

A separated locally convex space is said to be *sequentially barrelled* if each weak* null sequence forms an equicontinuous set (16, Section 4). Although there are sequentially barrelled spaces which are not σ -barrelled, the two ideas coincide for $C_c(X)$.

Theorem 9. *The following are equivalent:*

- (i) $C_c(X)$ is σ -barrelled;
- (ii) $C_c(X)$ is sequentially barrelled;
- (iii) $C_c(X)$ has property (J);
- (iv) each absolutely convex bounded metrisable subset of $[C(X)']_\sigma$ is equicontinuous.

Proof. It is clear that (i) \Rightarrow (ii). The proof that (ii) \Rightarrow (i) is a slight modification of the proof of (2, Théorème 4.1, (e) \Rightarrow (a)). Let (μ_n) be a bounded sequence in $[C(X)']_\sigma$. Then $(1/n)\mu_n \rightarrow 0$ in $[C(X)']_\sigma$. Thus if $C_c(X)$ is sequentially barrelled,

$$\text{supp } \{ \mu_n : n = 1, 2, \dots \} = \text{supp } \{ (1/n)\mu_n : n = 1, 2, \dots \}$$

is compact, and the rest follows as in (2).

(i) \Rightarrow (iii). This follows immediately from the Lemma.

(iii) \Rightarrow (i). If $C_c(X)$ has property (J), $[C(X)']_\sigma$ must be sequentially complete by the Lemma. The result now follows by (2, Théorème 4.1, (b) \Leftrightarrow (a)).

(i) \Rightarrow (iv). Let B be any absolutely convex bounded metrisable subset of $[C(X)']_\sigma$. By (5, Proposition 1.3 and Theorem 1.4), the closure \bar{B} of B in $[C(X)']_\sigma$ is also metrisable, and by hypothesis it is countably compact. \bar{B} is therefore compact in $[C(X)']_\sigma$, and since a compact metric space is separable, it follows that \bar{B} , and therefore also B , is equicontinuous.

(iv) \Rightarrow (i). Let (μ_n) be any Cauchy sequence in $[C(X)']_\sigma$. By (5, Corollary to Theorem 1.4), the closed absolutely convex envelope of $\{\mu_n: n = 1, 2, \dots\}$ in $[C(X)']_\sigma$ is metrisable and therefore equicontinuous. It now follows that $[C(X)']_\sigma$ is sequentially complete and the result follows as in (iii) \Rightarrow (i).

Theorem 10. $C_c(X)$ is barrelled if and only if $[C(X)']_\tau$ is quasicomplete.

Proof. If $C_c(X)$ is barrelled, $[C(X)']_\sigma$ is quasicomplete. This implies that $[C(X)']_\tau$ is also quasicomplete.

To establish the reverse implication, it is enough to show that each non-empty closed bounded subset of X is compact (8, Theorem 1; 11, Theorem 1). Let Y be such a subset and let \mathcal{F} be an ultrafilter in Y . Then as in the proof of (11, Theorem 1):

- (i) \mathcal{F} converges to an element x of vX ;
- (ii) $\mathcal{G} = \{\{\delta_x: x \in G\}: G \in \mathcal{F}\}$ is an ultrafilter in $B = \{\delta_x: x \in Y\}$ and for each $f \in C(X)$, $\lim \langle f, \mathcal{G} \rangle = f^o(x)$.

Since $[C(X)']_\tau$ is quasicomplete it is also sequentially complete, and so $C_c(X)$ is σ -barrelled (2, Théorème 4.1). B is bounded in $[C(X)']_\sigma$ and therefore each sequence in B has a cluster point in $[C(X)']_\sigma$. Since $[C(X)']_\tau$ is quasicomplete, it follows from Eberlein's theorem that B is relatively compact in $[C(X)']_\sigma$. The linear form $f \rightarrow f^o(x)$ must therefore be an element of $C(X)'$. Consequently $x \in X$. Since Y is closed in X , $x \in Y$ and Y is therefore compact.

Added in Proof: After submission of this note, papers (17) and (18) appeared. Corollary 7.4 of (17) contains a generalisation of Theorem 1 while Theorem 10.6 of (18) is a version of this result. In both cases the methods used are quite different from these employed here.

REFERENCES

- (1) H. BUCHWALTER, Sur le théorème de Nachbin-Shirota, *J. Math. pures et appl.* 51 (1972), 399-418.
- (2) H. BUCHWALTER and J. SCHMETS, Sur quelques propriétés de l'espace $C_s(T)$, *J. Math. pures et appl.* 52 (1973), 337-352.
- (3) M. DE WILDE and J. SCHMETS, Caractérisation des espaces $C(X)$ ultrabornologiques, *Bull. Soc. Roy. Sc. Liège*, 40e année, 3-4 (1971), 119-121.

- (4) L. GILLMAN and M. JERISON, *Rings of Continuous Functions* (Van Nostrand Reinhold Company, New York, 1960).
- (5) N. J. KALTON, Some forms of the closed graph theorem, *Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.* **70** (1971), 401-408.
- (6) R. B. KIRK, Topologies on spaces of Baire measures, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* **79** (1973), 542-545.
- (7) G. KÖTHE, *Topological Vector Spaces I* (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1969).
- (8) L. NACHBIN, Topological vector spaces of continuous functions, *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **40** (1954), 471-474.
- (9) J. D. PRYCE, A device of R. J. Whitley's applied to pointwise compactness in spaces of continuous functions, *Proc. London Math. Soc.* (3) **23** (1971), 532-546.
- (10) A. P. ROBERTSON and W. J. ROBERTSON, *Topological Vector Spaces* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1963).
- (11) T. SHIROTA, On locally convex vector spaces of continuous functions, *Proc. Japan Acad.* **30** (1954), 294-298.
- (12) I. TWEDDLE, Weak compactness in locally convex spaces, *Glasgow Math. J.* **9** (1968), 123-127.
- (13) I. TWEDDLE, Vector-valued measures, *Proc. London Math. Soc.* (3) **20** (1970), 469-489.
- (14) I. TWEDDLE, Unconditional convergence and vector-valued measures, *J. London Math. Soc.* (2) **2** (1970), 603-610.
- (15) S. WARNER, The topology of compact convergence on continuous function spaces, *Duke Math. J.* **25** (1958), 265-282.
- (16) J. H. WEBB, Sequential convergence in locally convex spaces, *Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.* **64** (1968), 341-364.
- (17) C. CONSTANTINESCU, Šmulian-Eberlein spaces, *Comm. Math. Helv.* **48** (1973), 254-317.
- (18) R. B. KIRK, Complete topologies on spaces of Baire measure, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **184** (1973), 1-29.

UNIVERSITY OF STIRLING