

Contents Volume 14:2 June 1991

Schoemaker, P. J. H. The quest for optimality: A positive heuristic of science?	205
Open Peer Commentary	
Anderson, J. R. Optimality and human memory	215
Baron, J. Optimality as an evaluative standard in the study of decision-making	216
Bookstein, F. L. Optimality as a mathematical rhetoric for zeroes	216
Byrne, R. W. The quest for plausibility: A negative heuristic for science?	217
Cabanac, M. Criteria for optimality	218
Crow, J. F. Some optimality principles in evolution	218
Daly, M. Natural selection doesn't have goals, but it's the reason organisms do	219
Davison, M. Organisms, scientists and optimality	220
Dayan, P. & Oberlander, J. Vaulting optimality	221
Helweg, D. A. & Roitblat, H. L. Optimality and constraint	222
Hyland, M. E. Types of optimality: Who is the steersman?	223
Larichev, O. Natural science, social science and optimality	224
Lea, S. E. G. Why optimality is not worth arguing about	225
Levine, D. S. The example of psychology: Optimism, not optimality	225
Mazur, J. E. Straining the word "optimal"	227
Miller, D. A. & Zucker, S. W. Complexity and optimality	227
Miller, G. F. Two dynamic criteria for validating claims of optimality	228
Mongin, P. The infinite regress of optimization	229
Paelinck, J. H. P. Don't just sit there, optimise something	230
Rinnooy Kan, A. H. G. Optimality as a prescriptive tool	230
Sahlin, N.-E. Should the quest for optimality worry us?	231
Shafir, E. Rational agents, real people and the quest for optimality	232
Sober, E. Extremum descriptions, process laws and minimality heuristics	232
Steele, K. M. Avoid the push-pull dilemma in explanation	233
Stolarz-Fantino, S. & Fantino, E. Optimal confusion	234
Toda, M. The human being as a bumbling optimalist: A psychologist's viewpoint	235
Wierzbicki, A. P. Is economics still immersed in the old concepts of the Enlightenment era?	236
Author's Response	
Schoemaker, P. J. H. The strategy of optimality revisited	237

Thornhill, N. W. An evolutionary analysis of rules regulating human inbreeding and marriage	247
Open Peer Commentary	
Barkow, J. H. Evolved self-interest and the cross-cultural survey	261
Beckerman, S. The cross cultural method and the incest taboo	263
Betzig, L. A little more mortar for a firm foundation	264
Bittles, A. H. Societal stratification, consanguinity and fertility	264
Bixler, R. H. Multiple causes, eye witnesses and imaginative fertility	265
Crow, J. F. Deleterious versus beneficial effects of inbreeding	266
Crusio, W. E. No evolution without genetic variation	267
Dow, M. M. & Pollock, G. B. Galton's problem for strict adaptationists	267
Dunbar, R. I. M. Marriage rules in perspective	268
Freedman, D. G. On incestuous attraction and natural selection between populations	269
Glucksberg, S. Rules regulating inbreeding and marriage: Evolutionary or socioeconomic?	269
Hann, K. L. The nature of the data	270
Hyland, M. E. What were the incest rules of the Upper Paleolithic People? Putting evolution into an evolutionary analysis	271
Kline, P. Evolutionary analysis: Antithetical or irrelevant to psychoanalytic theory?	271
Leavitt, G. C. Evolutionary analysis: Biological or cultural?	272
Livingstone, F. B. What happened to the universality of the incest taboo?	273
MacQueen, K. M. Power as a contextual variable in the analysis of human inbreeding rules	273
Meiselman, K. C. Beyond the Westermarck effect: The role of denial and nurturant bonding in incest avoidance	274
Money, J. Correlation is not causation	275
Moore, J. Another definition of "human" falls	275
Paul, R. A. Psychoanalytic theory and incest avoidance rules	276
Russell, P. A. Evolutionary theories must fit the data better than other theories	277
Spain, D. H. Muddled theory and misinterpreted data: Comments on yet another attempt to identify a so-called Westermarck effect and, in the process, to refute Freud	278
Voland, E. Rules regulating inbreeding, cultural variability and the great heuristic problem of evolutionary anthropology	279
Wilson, M. & Daly, M. The metaphorical extension of "incest": A human universal?	280
Author's Response	
Thornhill, N. W. Mental mechanisms underlying inbreeding rule making	281

Barkow, J. H. *Précis of Darwin, sex and status: Biological approaches to mind and culture* 295

Open Peer Commentary

Buss, D. M. Toward an empirical foundation for evolutionary psychology	301	Nesse, R. M. Human nature and the Holy Grail	312
Caporael, L. R. Folk psychology redux	302	Plotkin, H. C. Multiple causes of human behavior	313
Charlesworth, W. R. Sleepwalking is out, but is dualism back in?	303	Rasmussen, D. R. Thought-provoking speculations with need of rigor	313
Crawford, C. & Lindberg, T. The reemergence of evolutionary psychology?	305	Simons, R. C. Maladaptation and hierarchically organized explanatory levels	314
Cronk, L. Hypothesis testing and social engineering	305	Smith, P. K. Hostile aggression as social skills deficit or evolutionary strategy?	315
Daly, M. Too many errors	306	Tobin, H. & Logue, A. W. Being aware of consciousness and cultures	316
Feierman, J. R. When the mind goes awry: Schizophrenia and the emergence of culture	307	Van den Berghe, P. L. Once more with feeling: Genes, mind and culture	317
Gibson, K. R. Genetically determined neural modules versus mental constructional acts in the genesis of human intelligence	308	Vine, I. Can a sociobiology of mind discard the will?	318
Hailman, J. P. Focus on language origins	309	Wetherick, N. E. Freud and sociobiology	319
Horowitz, M. J. Person schemas: Evolutionary, individual developmental and social sources	309		
Lumsden, D. P. The awakened brain: From Wright's psychozoology to Barkow's selfless persons	311	Author's Response	
		Barkow, J. H. Joinings, discontinuities and details: <i>Darwin, sex and status</i> revisited	320

Continuing Commentary

On Plomin, R. & Daniels, D. (1987) Why are children in the same family so different from one another? BBS 10:1–60. 335

Daruna, J. H. & Greenberg, R. D. On the source of individual differences within families	335	Author's Response	
Dudley, R. M. Why are adoptees so similar in IQ?	336	Plomin, R. Why children in the same family are so different from one another	336

On MacNeilage, P. F., Studdert-Kennedy, M. G. & Lindblom, B. (1987) Primate handedness reconsidered. BBS 10:247–303. 338

Annett, M. Predicting from the right shift theory	338	Author's Response	
Elliott, D. Human handedness reconsidered	341	MacNeilage, P. F., Studdert-Kennedy, M. G. & Lindblom, B. Primate handedness: The other theory, the other hand and the other attitude	344
Hauser, M., Perry, S., Manson, J. H., Ball, H., Williams, M., Pearson, E. & Berard, J. It's all in the hands of the beholder: New data on free-ranging rhesus monkeys	342		

On Johnston, T. D. (1988) Developmental explanation and the ontogeny of birdsong: Nature/nurture redux. BBS 11:617–663. 349

Bolhuis, J. & Johnson, M. Sensory templates: Mechanism or metaphor?	349	Author's Response	
Khayutin, S. N. & Alexandrov, L. I. Ab ovo with song!	350	Johnston, T. D. On the unmodifiability of views and the innateness of behavior	351
Van Valen, L. M. Instinct and innateness: Information in causes	351		

On Gottlieb, G. L., Corcos, D. M. & Agarwal, G. C. (1989) Strategies for the control of voluntary movements with one mechanical degree of freedom. BBS 12:189–250. 352

Darling, W. G. Potential difficulties in the evaluation of motor strategies using EMG patterns	352	Lee, R. G. Are there multiple movement strategies?	356
Eagleson, R. Motor strategy selection by cognitive controllers	353	Marteniuk, R. G. & Carnahan, H. The issue of motor equivalence	356
Kwan, H. C., Yeap, T. H., Barrett, D. & Jiang, B. C. Network relaxation as biological computation	354	Teasdale, N., Stelmach, G. E. & Mueller, F. Strategies for the control of voluntary movements in patients with Parkinson's disease	357

Winters, J. M. & Seif-Naraghi, A. H. Strategies for goal-directed fast movements are byproducts of satisfying performance criteria	357	Author's Response Corcos, D. M., Gutman, S. R., Agarwal, G. C. & Gottlieb, G. L. Movement strategies and the necessity for task differentiation	359
<i>On</i> Lightfoot, D. (1989) The child's trigger experience: Degree-0 learnability. BBS 12:321-375.			364
Bickerton, D. Haunted by the specter of creole genesis	364	Author's Response Lightfoot, D. Simple triggers and creoles	366
<i>On</i> Chevalier-Skolnikoff, S. (1989) Spontaneous tool use and sensorimotor intelligence in <i>Cebus</i> compared with other monkeys and apes. BBS 12:561-627.			368
Doré, F. Y. A neo-Piagetian theory can contribute to comparative studies of cognitive development	368	Author's Response Chevalier-Skolnikoff, S. Procedures and chronology	371
Parker, S. T. Corrections to Chevalier-Skolnikoff's Appendix: Collaborative origins of <i>Cebus</i> and gorilla data	370		

BBS Associates

Please send BBS your electronic mail ('email') address

BBS is relying more and more on electronic mail to communicate with Associates (especially for circulating abstracts of recently accepted target articles so prospective commentators can nominate themselves).

If you have an email address, please inform BBS right away at:

harnad@clarity.princeton.edu

or

harnad@pucc.bitnet

(If you do not yet have an email address, we urge you to get one!)

--- PSYCOLOQUY Electronic Journal: Call for Papers ---

An electronic "BBS of the Air" has been created under the sponsorship of the Science Directorate of the American Psychological Association. PSYCOLOQUY is an international, interdisciplinary electronic forum for BBS-style "Scholarly Skywriting" and has already been selected as one of the best new magazines of 1990 in the Library Journal's annual survey (by Bill Katz, April 15, 1991).

Authors are invited to post to *psyc@pucc.bitnet* brief squibs (preferably not much more than a screenful, though very short articles are potentially acceptable too) reporting recent ideas or findings on which you wish to invite peer feedback in the form of interactive "skywriting" discussion with the world psychological community.

PSYCOLOQUY provides the unique possibility of "test-piloting" material that may eventually become a target article for open peer commentary in BBS. It also provides much more rapid feedback than BBS can, and with a global scope and interactiveness that no other medium of scholarly communication can even begin to match.

All contributions are refereed by members of PSYCOLOQUY's Editorial Board, which will cover all areas of psychology, neuroscience, behavioral biology, cognitive science and related fields, as BBS does. (Nominations for the Editorial Board are also invited.)

Subscription to PSYCOLOQUY is free, but you must have an electronic mail ("email") address. To subscribe, send the following one-line message to *listserv@pucc.bitnet*:
sub psyc Lastname Firstname
(substituting your last name and first name). After signing on, post your submissions to *psyc@pucc.bitnet*. PSYCOLOQUY can also be received on Usenet as *sci.psychology.digest*.

Co-Editors:

scientific contributions:

Stevan Harnad
Visiting Fellow
Psychology Department
Princeton University

applied/professional/clinical contributions:

Perry London
Dean
Graduate School of Applied and Professional Psychology
Rutgers University