

## A NOTE ON EPI-CONVERGENCE

GERALD BEER

**ABSTRACT.** Let  $LSC(X)$  denote the set of extended real valued lower semicontinuous functions on a metrizable space  $X$ . If  $f, f_1, f_2, f_3, \dots$  is a sequence in  $LSC(X)$ , we say  $\langle f_n \rangle$  is *epi-convergent* to  $f$  provided the sequence of epigraphs  $\langle \text{epi} f_n \rangle$  is Kuratowski-Painlevé convergent to  $\text{epi} f$ . In this note we address the following question: what conditions on  $f$  and/or on  $X$  are necessary and sufficient for this mode of convergence to force epigraphical convergence with respect to the stronger Hausdorff metric and Vietoris topologies?

**1. Introduction.** Let  $2^X$  be the closed subsets of a metric space  $\langle X, d \rangle$ , and let  $CL(X)$  be the nonempty closed subsets. Classical convergence for sequences in  $2^X$  attributed to Painlevé by Hausdorff [Ha], is now often called *Kuratowski-Painlevé convergence*. Given a sequence  $A_1, A_2, A_3, A_4, \dots$  of (possibly empty) closed subsets of  $\langle X, d \rangle$ , we write

$$\text{Li } A_n = \{x \in X : \text{there exists a sequence } \langle a_n \rangle \text{ convergent to } x \text{ with} \\ a_n \in A_n \text{ for all but finitely many integers } n\},$$

$$\text{Ls } A_n = \{x \in X : \text{there exist positive integers } n_1 < n_2 < n_3 < \dots \\ \text{and } a_k \in A_{n_k} \text{ such that } \langle a_k \rangle \rightarrow x\}.$$

Clearly, the sets  $\text{Li } A_n$  and  $\text{Ls } A_n$  are closed, and  $\text{Li } A_n \subset \text{Ls } A_n$ . The sequence  $\langle A_n \rangle$  is declared *Kuratowski-Painlevé convergent* [Ku, AF] to a (closed) subset  $A$  of  $X$  if  $A = \text{Li } A_n = \text{Ls } A_n$ , or equivalently, if both inclusions  $\text{Ls } A_n \subset A$  and  $A \subset \text{Li } A_n$  hold. When this is satisfied we write  $A = K - \lim A_n$ .

Kuratowski-Painlevé convergence plays a fundamental role in modern one-sided analysis, where the basic functional objects are extended real valued lower semicontinuous functions rather than continuous ones, and functions are associated with their epigraphs rather than their graphs [At, AF, DG, RW, DM]. Recall the *epigraph* of an extended real valued function  $f: X \rightarrow [-\infty, +\infty]$  on a metrizable space  $X$  is the set

$$\text{epi } f \equiv \{(x, \alpha) : x \in X, \alpha \in R, \text{ and } \alpha \geq f(x)\}.$$

In this context, a sequence  $\langle f_n \rangle$  of lower semicontinuous functions is called *epi-convergent* to a lower semicontinuous function  $f$  provided  $\text{epi } f = K - \lim \text{epi } f_n$ .

It is well-known that for sequences of nonempty closed sets,  $A = K - \lim A_n$  provided  $\langle A_n \rangle$  converges to  $A$  in *Hausdorff distance* [CV, KT], defined on  $CL(X)$  by the formula

---

Received by the editors February 3, 1993; revised July 21, 1993.

AMS subject classification: Primary: 54B20, 26A15; secondary: 54C35.

Key words and phrases: epi-convergence, lower semicontinuous function, Kuratowski-Painlevé convergence, Fell topology, Hausdorff distance, Vietoris topology.

© Canadian Mathematical Society 1994.

$H_d(A, B) = \max\{\sup_{a \in A} d(a, B), \sup_{b \in B} d(b, A)\}$ . Furthermore, the converse holds if and only if  $X$  is compact [Be2]. If we equip  $X \times R$  with a metric compatible with the product uniformity, one might guess that when  $X$  is compact, then Kuratowski-Painlevé convergence of sequences of epigraphs forces their convergence in Hausdorff distance. In fact, it was observed in [Be1] that for a sequence  $f, f_1, f_2, \dots$  of bounded real valued lower semicontinuous functions defined on a compact metric space  $X$ , Kuratowski-Painlevé convergence of epigraphs implies Hausdorff metric convergence. However, this fails in  $LSC(X)$ . We characterize here those limit functions  $f$  for which this implication is true.

When  $X$  is compact, the Hausdorff metric topology  $\tau_{H_d}$  on  $CL(X)$  coincides with the Vietoris topology  $\tau_V$ , also called the *finite topology*, having as a subbase all sets of form

$$V^{hit} \equiv \{A \in 2^X : A \cap V \neq \emptyset\}, \quad F^{miss} \equiv \{A \in 2^X : A \cap F = \emptyset\}$$

where  $V$  runs over the open subsets of  $X$  and  $F$  runs over the closed subsets of  $X$  [Mi, KT]. Like the Hausdorff metric topology, we have  $A = \tau_V - \lim A_n \Rightarrow A = K - \lim A_n$  [FLL] and the converse holds if and only if  $X$  is compact. The class of lower semicontinuous functions  $f$  for which  $\text{epi} f = K - \lim f_n \Rightarrow \text{epi} f = \tau_V - \lim \text{epi} f_n$  differs from the class for which  $\text{epi} f = K - \lim f_n \Rightarrow \text{epi} f = H_d - \lim \text{epi} f_n$ . We also characterize this class.

**2. Preliminaries.** Let  $\langle X, d \rangle$  be a metric space. If  $x \in X$  and  $\alpha > 0$ , let  $U_\alpha[x]$  denote the open ball with center  $x$  and radius  $\alpha$ , and if  $A \subset X$ , write  $U_\alpha[A]$  for the open enlargement  $\bigcup_{a \in A} U_\alpha[a]$ . It is clear that the Hausdorff distance between  $A$  and  $B$  in  $CL(X)$  can be rewritten as

$$H_d(A, B) = \inf\{\alpha > 0 : U_\alpha[A] \supset B \text{ and } U_\alpha[B] \supset A\}.$$

Hausdorff distance so defined is an infinite valued metric on  $CL(X)$ , that inherits completeness and compactness of the underlying metric space [CV, KT]. The induced Hausdorff metric topology is not changed provided we replace  $d$  by a metric that defines the same uniformity. Thus if replace  $d$  by  $d' = \min\{d, 1\}$  we get a finite valued metric compatible with  $\tau_{H_d}$ . For a metric on  $X \times R$ , we find it simplest to use *box metric*  $\rho$  defined by  $\rho[(x_1, \alpha_1), (x_2, \alpha_2)] = \max\{d(x_1, x_2), |\alpha_1 - \alpha_2|\}$ . As we have said,  $\tau_{H_d} = \tau_V$  on  $CL(X)$  if and only if  $X$  is compact; more precisely,  $\tau_{H_d} \supset \tau_V$  if and only if the gap  $\inf\{d(a, b) : a \in A, b \in B\}$  between disjoint elements of  $A$  and  $B$  of  $CL(X)$  is positive, whereas  $\tau_{H_d} \subset \tau_V$  if and only if  $\langle X, d \rangle$  is totally bounded [Mi].

It is known (see, e.g., [FLL, Be2, DM]) that in any metric space—in fact, in any first countable space—Kuratowski-Painlevé convergence is compatible with a topology of the Vietoris type called the *Fell topology*  $\tau_F$  [Fe], having as a subbase all sets of the form

$$V^{hit} \equiv \{A \in 2^X : A \cap V \neq \emptyset\}, \quad K^{miss} \equiv \{A \in 2^X : A \cap K = \emptyset\}$$

where  $V$  runs over the open subsets of  $X$  and  $K$  runs over the compact subsets of  $X$ . This means that in  $2^X, A = K - \lim A_n$  if and only if  $A = \tau_F - \lim A_n$ . The Fell topology has a remarkable property: it is always compact, independent of the character of the underlying space (for three different proofs, see [At, Fe, No]). On the other hand, assuming the

continuum hypothesis, the topology is sequentially compact if and only if  $\langle X, d \rangle$  is separable [Si]. The following are equivalent [Po]: (1)  $X$  is locally compact; (2)  $\langle 2^X, \tau_F \rangle$  is Hausdorff. In this case,  $\langle 2^X, \tau_F \rangle$  is compact Hausdorff and  $\langle \text{CL}(X), \tau_F \rangle$  is locally compact Hausdorff.

By a *lower semicontinuous function*  $f: \langle X, d \rangle \rightarrow [-\infty, +\infty]$ , we mean a function with closed epigraph. Equivalently,  $f$  is a lower semicontinuous function if and only if for each  $\alpha \in R$ , its *sublevel set at height  $\alpha$*   $\text{slv}(f; \alpha) \equiv \{x \in X : f(x) \leq \alpha\}$  is a closed subset of  $X$ . We denote the set of lower semicontinuous functions on  $X$  by  $\text{LSC}(X)$ . If  $f \in \text{LSC}(X)$ , we write  $\text{dom} f$  for  $\{x \in X : f(x) \text{ is finite}\}$ . We call  $f$  *proper* provided  $f(x) > -\infty$  for each  $x$ , and  $\text{dom} f \neq \emptyset$ .  $\text{LSC}_0(X)$  will denote the set of proper lower semicontinuous functions on  $X$ .

Although we will not use the following formulation, epi-convergence in  $\text{LSC}(X)$  can be given a local characterization [At, Theorem 1.39]: at each  $x \in X$ , (1) whenever  $\langle x_n \rangle$  is convergent to  $x$ , we have  $f(x) \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(x_n)$ , and (2) there exists a sequence  $\langle x_n \rangle$  convergent to  $x$  such that  $f(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(x_n)$ . Epi-convergence neither implies nor is implied by pointwise convergence; the two modes of convergence are linked by the notion of equi-lower semicontinuity [SW, DSW, Ma].

Identifying elements of  $\text{LSC}(X)$  with their epigraphs in  $X \times R$ , the Fell topology on the lower semicontinuous functions is usually called *the topology of epi-convergence*, but it is also the *inf-vague topology* by the probabilists (see, e.g., [Ve, No]). As  $\text{LSC}(X)$  is closed in  $\langle 2^{X \times R}, \tau_F \rangle$ , the function space  $\langle \text{LSC}(X), \tau_F \rangle$  is always compact, too. Compatibility of Kuratowski-Painlevé convergence in  $\text{LSC}(X)$  with the Fell topology means that whenever  $f, f_1, f_2, f_3, \dots$  is a sequence in  $\text{LSC}(X)$ , then  $\text{epi} f = K - \lim f_n$  if and only if (i) whenever  $V$  is open in  $X \times R$  and  $\text{epi} f \cap V \neq \emptyset$ , then eventually,  $\text{epi} f_n \cap V \neq \emptyset$ , and (ii) whenever  $K$  is compact in  $X \times R$  and  $\text{epi} f \cap K = \emptyset$ , then eventually,  $\text{epi} f_n \cap K = \emptyset$ .

**3. Epi-convergence versus Hausdorff metric convergence of epigraphs.** As we have defined Hausdorff distance only between nonempty closed subsets, we only investigate the relationship between epi-convergence and Hausdorff metric convergence of epigraphs when the limit function  $f \in \text{LSC}(X)$  has nonempty epigraph. Again, we are interested in the question: if  $\langle X, d \rangle$  is a compact metric space and  $\rho$  is the box metric on  $X \times R$ , under what conditions on  $f$  does  $\text{epi} f = K - \lim \text{epi} f_n$  imply  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} H_\rho(\text{epi} f_n, \text{epi} f) = 0$ ?

Actually, there is no need to assume at the outset that  $X$  is compact, for no such function  $f$  with  $\text{epi} f \neq \emptyset$  can exist more generally. To see this, first observe that  $f$  must be bounded below, for otherwise  $\text{epi} f = K - \lim \text{epi}(f \vee -n)$ , but for each  $n$ ,  $H_\rho(\text{epi}(f \vee -n), \text{epi} f) = +\infty$ . For future reference, notice that for each  $n$ ,  $f \vee -n \in \text{LSC}_0(X)$ . Now if  $X$  is noncompact, choose  $\langle x_n \rangle$  in  $X$  with no cluster point. Then if  $f \in \text{LSC}(X)$ ,  $\text{epi} f \neq \emptyset$ , and  $\inf_{x \in X} f(x) = \alpha$  is finite, for each  $n$ , define  $f_n \in \text{LSC}_0(X)$  by

$$f_n(x) = \begin{cases} \alpha - 1 & \text{if } x = x_n \\ f(x) & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}.$$

Clearly,  $\text{epi} f = K - \lim \text{epi} f_n$  but for each  $n$ ,  $H_\rho(\text{epi} f_n, \text{epi} f) \geq 1$ .

We now come to our characterization theorem.

**THEOREM 1.** *Let  $\langle X, d \rangle$  be a metric space, and let  $\rho$  be the box metric on  $X \times R$ . Suppose  $f$  is a lower semicontinuous function on  $X$  with  $\text{epi} f \neq \emptyset$ . The following are equivalent:*

- (1)  $X$  is compact,  $f$  is proper, and  $\text{dom} f \equiv \{x \in X : f(x) \in R\}$  is dense in  $X$ ;
- (2) whenever  $\langle f_n \rangle$  is a sequence in  $\text{LSC}(X)$  with  $\text{epi} f = K - \lim \text{epi} f_n$ , then  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} H_\rho(\text{epi} f_n, \text{epi} f) = 0$ ;
- (3) whenever  $\langle f_n \rangle$  is a sequence in  $\text{LSC}_0(X)$  with  $\text{epi} f = K - \lim \text{epi} f_n$ , then  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} H_\rho(\text{epi} f_n, \text{epi} f) = 0$ .

**PROOF.** (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2). Let  $\varepsilon > 0$  be arbitrary. Since  $X = \text{cl}(\bigcup_{k=1}^\infty \text{slv}(f; k))$ ,  $\langle \text{slv}(f; k) \rangle$  is Kuratowski-Painlevé convergent to  $X$ . Since  $X$  is compact, convergence in the Hausdorff metric holds, and we can find  $k \in Z^+$  with  $X \subset U_{\varepsilon/3}[\text{slv}(f; k)]$ .

By the compactness of  $X$ ,  $f$  assumes a minimum value on  $X$  which we denote by  $\alpha$ . Now let  $F$  be a finite  $\varepsilon/3$ -dense subset of the compact set  $\text{epi} f \cap (X \times [\alpha, k])$ . By epi-convergence, there exists an index  $N$  such that for each  $n \geq N$ , we have  $F \subset U_{\varepsilon/3}[\text{epi} f_n]$ . Since  $\text{epi} f$  recedes in the vertical direction, we obtain  $\text{epi} f \subset U_\varepsilon[\text{epi} f_n]$  for each  $n \geq N$ .

To show that  $\text{epi} f_n \subset U_\varepsilon[\text{epi} f]$  eventually, let  $K$  be this nonempty compact subset of  $X \times R$ :

$$K \equiv (X \times [\alpha - \varepsilon, k]) \cap (U_\varepsilon[\text{epi} f])^c.$$

By the convergence of  $\langle \text{epi} f_n \rangle$  to  $\text{epi} f$  in the Fell topology, there exist  $N_1 \in Z^+$  such that for each  $n \geq N_1$ , we have  $\text{epi} f_n \cap K = \emptyset$ . Since the horizontal set  $X \times \{\alpha - \varepsilon\}$  lies in  $K$  and  $\text{epi} f_n$  recedes in the vertical direction, we have

$$\text{epi} f_n \subset (X \times (k, +\infty)) \cup U_\varepsilon[\text{epi} f] \subset U_{\varepsilon/3}[\text{epi} f] \cup U_\varepsilon[\text{epi} f] = U_\varepsilon[\text{epi} f].$$

Thus, for all sufficiently large indices  $n$ , both of the inclusions  $\text{epi} f \subset U_\varepsilon[\text{epi} f_n]$  and  $\text{epi} f_n \subset U_\varepsilon[\text{epi} f]$  are satisfied, as required.

(2)  $\Rightarrow$  (3). This is trivial.

(3)  $\Rightarrow$  (1). We have already observed that if (3) holds, then  $X$  must be compact and  $f$  must be lower bounded. Since  $\text{epi} f \neq \emptyset$ ,  $f$  is proper. Now suppose that  $\text{cl} \text{dom} f$  is a proper subset of  $X$ . Choose  $x_0 \in X$  with  $d(x_0, \text{dom} f) > 0$ . For each  $n \in Z^+$  define  $f_n \in \text{LSC}_0(X)$  by

$$f_n(x) = \begin{cases} n & \text{if } x = x_0 \\ f(x) & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}.$$

Although  $\text{epi} f = K - \lim \text{epi} f_n$ , for each  $n$ , we have  $H_\rho(\text{epi} f_n, \text{epi} f) \geq d(x_0, \text{dom} f) > 0$ , which contradicts (3). ■

**4. Epi-convergence versus Vietoris convergence of epigraphs.** Although the Vietoris topology and the Hausdorff metric topologies agree on the nonempty closed subsets of a compact metric space  $\langle X, d \rangle$ , this is clearly not the case in  $\text{CL}(X \times R)$ , even for epigraphs of lower semicontinuous functions. For example, for any metric space  $\langle X, d \rangle$ , we have  $X \times R = \tau_V - \lim X \times [-n, +\infty)$ . More generally, if  $f \equiv -\infty$  and  $\text{epi} f = K - \lim \text{epi} f_n$ , then  $\text{epi} f = \tau_V - \lim \text{epi} f_n$ , so that for noncompact  $X$ , we can always find

a function  $f \in \text{LSC}(X)$  satisfying  $\text{epi} f = K - \lim \text{epi} f_n \Rightarrow \text{epi} f = \tau_V - \lim \text{epi} f_n$ . As it turns out, we can find no such  $f \in \text{LSC}_0(X)$  unless  $X$  is compact, and in this case,  $f$  must be real valued. The precise situation is described in the next result.

**THEOREM 2.** *Let  $\langle X, d \rangle$  be a metric space, and suppose  $f \in \text{LSC}(X)$ . The following are equivalent:*

- (1) *dom  $f$  is compact and  $\sup_{x \in X} f(x) < +\infty$ ;*
- (2) *whenever  $\langle f_n \rangle$  is a sequence in  $\text{LSC}(X)$  with  $\text{epi} f = K - \lim \text{epi} f_n$ , then  $\text{epi} f = \tau_V - \lim \text{epi} f_n$ ;*
- (3) *whenever  $\langle f_n \rangle$  is a sequence in  $\text{LSC}_0(X)$  with  $\text{epi} f = K - \lim \text{epi} f_n$ , then  $\text{epi} f = \tau_V - \lim \text{epi} f_n$ .*

**PROOF.** (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2). Suppose  $f \in \text{LSC}(X)$  satisfies condition (1),  $\langle f_n \rangle$  is a sequence in  $\text{LSC}(X)$ , and  $\text{epi} f = K - \lim \text{epi} f_n$ , i.e.,  $\text{epi} f = \tau_F - \lim \text{epi} f_n$ . Since the ‘‘lower halves’’ [FLL] of the Fell and Vietoris topologies agree, to show that  $\text{epi} f = \tau_V - \lim \text{epi} f_n$ , it suffices to show that if  $A \in \text{CL}(X)$  and  $\text{epi} f \cap A = \emptyset$ , then  $\text{epi} f_n \cap A = \emptyset$  eventually. Choose  $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$  with  $\sup_{x \in X} f(x) \leq \beta$ . Since  $\text{dom} f \times [\beta, +\infty) \subset \text{epi} f$  and  $(\text{dom} f)^c \times \mathbb{R} \subset \text{epi} f$ , we have  $A \subset \text{dom} f \times (-\infty, \beta)$ . Write  $\alpha = \min_{x \in \text{dom} f} f(x)$ , which exists by compactness, and let  $K$  be the following compact subset of  $X \times \mathbb{R}$ :

$$K \equiv (\text{dom} f \times \{\alpha - 1\}) \cup (A \cap (\text{dom} f \times [\alpha - 1, \beta])).$$

By the choice of  $\alpha$ , we have  $\text{epi} f \cap K = \emptyset$ , and so there exists  $N \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  such that for each  $n \geq N$ , we have  $\text{epi} f_n \cap K = \emptyset$ . We claim that for each such  $n$ , we have  $\text{epi} f_n \cap A = \emptyset$ . We compute

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{epi} f_n \cap A \\ &= \text{epi} f_n \cap A \cap (\text{dom} f \times (-\infty, \beta)) \\ & \quad \subset (\text{epi} f_n \cap A \cap (\text{dom} f \times [\alpha - 1, \beta])) \cup (\text{epi} f_n \cap A \cap (\text{dom} f \times (-\infty, \alpha - 1])) \\ &= \text{epi} f_n \cap A \cap (\text{dom} f \times (-\infty, \alpha - 1]) \subset \text{epi} f_n \cap (\text{dom} f \times (-\infty, \alpha - 1]) = \emptyset, \end{aligned}$$

because  $\text{epi} f_n \cap (\text{dom} f \times (-\infty, \alpha - 1]) \neq \emptyset$  implies  $\text{epi} f_n \cap (\text{dom} f \times \{\alpha - 1\}) \neq \emptyset$ , which would contradict  $\text{epi} f_n \in K^{\text{miss}}$ .

(2)  $\Rightarrow$  (3). This is trivial.

(3)  $\Rightarrow$  (1). Assuming (3), we first show that  $\sup_{x \in X} f(x) < +\infty$ . If this fails, we can find for each  $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  a point  $x_n \in X$  with  $f(x_n) > n$  (note that the  $x_n$  need not be distinct). Let  $A = \{(x_n, n) : n \in \mathbb{Z}^+\}$ , a closed subset of  $X \times \mathbb{R}$  disjoint from  $\text{epi} f$ . For each  $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  define  $f_n \in \text{LSC}_0(X)$  by the formula

$$f_n(x) = \begin{cases} n & \text{if } x = x_n \\ \max\{f(x), -n\} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}.$$

Although,  $\text{epi} f = K - \lim \text{epi} f_n$ , each  $\text{epi} f_n$  hits the closed set  $A$ , and so  $\langle \text{epi} f_n \rangle$  fails to converge to  $\text{epi} f$  in the Vietoris topology, contradicting (3). This shows that  $f$  is bounded

above. To finish the proof, we must show that  $\text{dom} f$  is a compact subset of  $X$ . If this fails, then there exists a sequence  $\langle x_n \rangle$  with distinct terms in  $\text{dom} f$  that has no cluster point in  $\text{dom} f$ , although it might have a cluster point  $p$  for which  $f(p) = -\infty$ . Then  $A = \{(x_n, -|f(x_n)| - n) : n \in \mathbb{Z}^+\}$  is a closed subset of  $X \times \mathbb{R}$  disjoint from  $\text{epi} f$ . For each  $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  define  $f_n \in \text{LSC}_0(X)$  by the formula

$$f_n(x) = \begin{cases} -|f(x_n)| - n & \text{if } x = x_n \\ \max\{f(x), -n\} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}.$$

Again,  $\text{epi} f = K - \lim \text{epi} f_n$ , but each  $\text{epi} f_n$  hits the closed set  $A$ . ■

**COROLLARY.** *Let  $\langle X, d \rangle$  be a compact metric space. Then the Fell topology, the Hausdorff metric topology, and Vietoris topology all agree on the family of bounded real valued lower semicontinuous functions defined on  $X$ , where functions are identified with their epigraphs.*

#### REFERENCES

- [At] H. Attouch, *Variational convergence for functions and operators*, Pitman, New York, 1984.
- [AF] J.-P. Aubin and H. Frankowska, *Set-valued analysis*, Birkhäuser, Boston, 1990.
- [Be1] G. Beer, *Upper semicontinuous functions and the Stone approximation theorem*, J. Approx. Theory **34**(1982), 1–11.
- [Be2] ———, *Metric spaces with nice closed balls and distance functions for closed sets*, Bull. Austral. Math. Soc. **35**(1987), 81–96.
- [CV] C. Castaing and M. Valadier, *Convex analysis and measurable multifunctions*, Lecture Notes in Math. **580**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1977.
- [DM] G. Dal Maso, *An introduction to  $\Gamma$ -convergence*, Birkhäuser, Boston, 1993.
- [DG] E. De Giorgi, *Convergence problems for functionals and operators*. In: Recent methods in nonlinear analysis, (eds. E. De Giorgi, E. Magenes and U. Mosco), Pitagora Editrice, Bologna, 1979, 131–188.
- [DSW] S. Dolecki, G. Salinetti and R. Wets, *Convergence of functions: equi-semicontinuity*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **276**(1983), 409–429.
- [Fe] J. Fell, *A Hausdorff topology for the closed subsets of a locally compact non-Hausdorff space*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **13**(1962), 472–476.
- [FLL] S. Francaviglia, A. Lechicki and S. Levi, *Quasi-uniformization of hyperspaces and convergence of nets of semicontinuous multifunctions*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **112**(1985), 347–370.
- [Ha] F. Hausdorff, *Set theory*, Chelsea Publishing Co., New York, New York, 1957.
- [KT] E. Klein and A. Thompson, *Theory of correspondences*, Wiley, New York, 1984.
- [Ku] K. Kuratowski, *Topology*, Vol. 1, Academic Press, New York, 1966.
- [Ma] M.-L. Mazure, *Equi-semicontinuité inférieure,  $\Gamma$ -convergence, et convergence simple*, Séminaire d'Analyse Convexe Montpellier **7**(1981).
- [Mi] E. Michael, *Topologies on spaces of subsets*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **71**(1951), 152–182.
- [No] T. Norberg, *On Vervaat's sup vague topology*, Ark. Mat. **28**(1990), 139–144.
- [Po] H. Poppe, *Eine bemerkung über trennungaxiome im raum der abgeschlossenen teilmengen eines topologischen raumes*, Arch. Math. **16**(1965), 197–199.
- [RW] R. T. Rockafellar and R. Wets, *Variational systems, an introduction*. In: Multifunctions and integrands, (ed. G. Salinetti), Lecture Notes in Math. **1091**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1984, 1–54.
- [SW] G. Salinetti and R. Wets, *On the relations between two types of convergence for convex functions*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **60**(1977), 211–226.

- [Si] W. Sierpinski, *Sur l'inversion du théoreme de Bolzano-Weierstrass generalisé*, Fund. Math. **34**(1947), 155–156.
- [Ve] W. Vervaat, *Random upper semicontinuous functions and extremal processes*, Report **MS-8801**, Center for Math. and Comp. Sci., Amsterdam, 1988.

*Department of Mathematics*  
*California State University*  
*Los Angeles, California 90032*  
*U.S.A.*