

IMAGE AREA AND THE WEIGHTED SUBSPACES OF HARDY SPACES

BY
E. G. KWON

ABSTRACT. Let $H^{p,\phi}$ be the subspace of Hardy space H^p consisting of those $f \in H^p(B_n)$ satisfying $\sup_z \phi(|z|)|f(z)| < \infty$, where ϕ is a positive decreasing differentiable function on $[0, 1)$ with $\phi(1-) = 0$. Concerning image area growth, criteria for f to be of $H^{p,\phi}$ are considered extending known results for H^p .

1. **Introduction.** U will denote the open unit disc of the complex plane \mathbb{C} and $B = B_n$ will denote the unit open ball of \mathbb{C}^n . For f holomorphic in B and for Ω a subdomain of B , we let

$$\nabla f(z) = \sum_{j=1}^n D_j f(z) e_j, z = (z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n,$$

and let

$$A(\Omega, f) = \int_{\Omega} |\nabla f(z)|^2 dm(z),$$

where D_j denotes $\partial/\partial z_j$, e_j denotes the unit vector in \mathbb{C}^n whose j -th component is 1 and $m = m_{2n}$ denotes ordinary Lebesgue measure on \mathbb{C}^n which is topologically identified with the Euclidean space \mathbb{R}^{2n} . We simply denote $A(\Omega, f)$ by $A(\rho, f)$ in case $\Omega = \rho B \equiv \{z \in \mathbb{C}^n : |z| < \rho\}$, $0 < \rho \leq 1$.

Denote by \mathcal{U} the group of all unitary operators on \mathbb{C}^n . For a subdomain Ω of B , we say, by definition, that a function f defined in B satisfies ‘‘Lusin property with respect to Ω ’’ if

$$\int_{\mathcal{U}} A(U\Omega, f) dU < \infty,$$

where $U\Omega = \{Uz : z \in \Omega\}$ and dU denote the Haar measure on \mathcal{U} . See [6] and [8] for Lusin property.

It is known that if $f \in H^p(U)$ [2] for some $p : 0 < p \leq 2$ then $A(\rho, f) = o(1 - \rho)^{-2/p}$, $\rho \rightarrow 1$, and the result breaks down when $p > 2$ [3], [9]. Also known is that if $f \in H^p(U)$ then f satisfies the Lusin property with respect to triangular

Received by the editors August 9, 1988.

This research was partially supported by KOSEF.

1980 AMS Subject Classification 32A35.

© Canadian Mathematical Society 1988.

subdomains of U , and conversely [6]. Main concern of this note is to extend these one variable results under the following setting.

Let $\phi(r)$ be a positive decreasing differentiable function defined on $[0, 1)$ with $\phi(0) = 1, \phi(1-) = 0$ and extended to B via $\phi(z) = \phi(|z|)$. Let S denote the boundary of B and let $(\chi_\Omega)^\#$ denote the radialization of the characteristic function χ_Ω [3. p. 49], i.e.

$$(\chi_\Omega)^\#(z) = \int_U \chi_\Omega(Uz) dU, z \in B.$$

Let $D(\phi)$ be the family of all subdomains Ω of B such that

- (1.1) the boundary $\partial\Omega$ of Ω satisfies that $\partial\Omega \cap S = e_1 = (1, 0, \dots, 0)$, and
- (1.2) there exists r_o such that for $r, r_o < r < 1$,

$$(\chi_\Omega)^\#(re_1) \approx \int_r^1 \phi(\rho) d\rho,$$

where and hereafter $a(z) \approx b(z)$ means that there are positive constants A and B independent of z of the given domain such that $Aa(z) < b(z) < Ba(z)$. It is not difficult to see that if f satisfies the Lusin property with respect to some $\Omega \in D(\phi)$ then it also satisfies the property with respect to the other $\Omega \in D(\phi)$ [See (3.5)]. We denote $f \in LP(\phi)$ if f satisfies the Lusin property with respect to some $\Omega \in D(\phi)$.

For $0 < p < \infty, H^{p,\phi}(B)$ and $A_{p,\phi}(B)$ are defined to be the spaces of those holomorphic functions f in B respectively for which

$$(1.3) \quad \max\{\|f\|_p, |||f|||_\phi\} < \infty$$

and

$$(1.4) \quad \|f\|_{p,\phi} < \infty,$$

where

$$\|f\|_p = \sup_{0 \leq r < 1} \left\{ \int_S |f(r\zeta)|^p d\sigma(\zeta) \right\}^{1/p},$$

$$|||f|||_\phi = \sup_{z \in B} \phi(z)|f(z)|,$$

and

$$\|f\|_{p,\phi} = \left\{ - \int_0^1 d\phi(r) \int_S |f(r\zeta)|^p d\sigma(\zeta) \right\}^{1/p}.$$

Here σ denote the rotation invariant probability measure on S .

Our $H^{p,\phi}$ version on the growth of area is as follows.

THEOREM. *Let $0 < p \leq 2$. If*

$$(1.5) \quad f \in H^{p,\phi},$$

then

$$(1.6) \quad \int_0^1 \phi(\rho)^{2-p} A(\rho, f) \, d\rho < \infty,$$

and

$$(1.7) \quad f \in LP(\phi^{2-p}).$$

Conversely, let $2 \leq p < \infty$ and let f be holomorphic in B with $f(z) = O(\phi(z)^{-1})$. Then (1.6) or (1.7) implies (1.5).

This theorem, of course, has a corollary concerning little (big) “ o ” argument. Because the proofs are identical, we state it only for $H^p(B_n)$ case.

COROLLARY. Let $0 < p \leq 2 \leq q < \infty$, and let f be holomorphic in B_n .

$$(1.8) \quad \text{If } f \in H^p(B_n) \text{ then } A(\rho, f) = o(1 - \rho)^{-1-n(2-p)/p}.$$

$$(1.9) \text{ If } A(\rho, f) = O(1 - \rho)^{-\gamma} \text{ for some } \gamma, 0 < \gamma < 1 + n(2 - q)/q \text{ then } f \in H^q(B_n).$$

2. Weighted subspaces of Hardy spaces. Note that $H^{p,\phi}$ and $A_{p,\phi}$ are complete topological vector spaces equipped with the translation invariant metric appeared in (1.3) and (1.4).

LEMMA 1. Let $0 < p < q < \infty$. Then there is the continuous inclusion

$$(2.1) \quad H^{p,\phi}(B_n) \subset A_{q,\phi^{q-p}}(B_n).$$

The case where $n = 1$ and $\phi(r) = (1 - r)^\gamma$, $0 < \gamma \leq 1/p$ was proved by P. Ahern and appeared in [4. Theorem B]. The inclusion (2.1) cannot be improved to a fully Hardy-Littlewood type [5. Theorem 2]. The proof of Lemma 1 presented below is rather elementary but different from that of one variable case of Ahern. We present it for the sake of completeness.

PROOF. Let $f \in H^{p,\phi}$ and $\alpha = q - p$. We may assume f is nonconstant and $f(0) = 0$. Denote $f_\zeta(\lambda) = f(\zeta\lambda)$, $\lambda \in U$ and $f_\zeta(\theta)$ the radial limit of f_ζ at $e^{i\theta}$. Note that $f_\zeta \in H^p(U)$ a.e. ζ . If we set

$$(2.2) \quad (f_\zeta)(p, \lambda) = |f_\zeta|^{p-2} |(d/d\lambda)(f_\zeta)|^2(\lambda), \lambda \in U, \quad \text{and}$$

$$A_p(\rho, f_\zeta) = \int_{\rho U} (f_\zeta)(p, \lambda) dm_2(\lambda),$$

then the Green’s formula followed by a familiar limiting process gives that

$$(2.3) \quad \int_0^{2\pi} |f_\zeta(\theta)|^p d\theta = p^2 \int_0^1 \rho^{-1} A_p(\rho, f_\zeta) d\rho, \text{ a.e. } \zeta.$$

Since $f \in H^{p,\phi}$,

$$(f_\zeta)(p, \lambda) \geq [\phi(\lambda)^{-1} \|f\|_\phi]^{-\alpha} (f_\zeta)(q, \lambda), \zeta \in S, \lambda \in U,$$

it follows from (2.3) that

$$(2.4) \quad \begin{aligned} \int_0^{2\pi} |f_\zeta(\theta)|^p d\theta &\geq p^2 \|f\|_\phi^{-\alpha} \int_0^1 \rho^{-1} \phi(\rho)^\alpha A_q(\rho, f_\zeta) d\rho \\ &= -p^2 \|f\|_\phi^{-\alpha} \int_0^1 d\phi(r) \int_0^r \rho^{-1} A_q(\rho, f_\zeta) d\rho \end{aligned}$$

a.e. $\zeta \in S$. Now, another application of the Green’s formula as in (2.3) gives

$$(2.5) \quad q^2 \int_0^r \rho^{-1} A_q(\rho, f_\zeta) d\rho = \int_0^{2\pi} |f_\zeta(re^{i\theta})|^q d\theta.$$

Inserting (2.5) into the right hand side of (2.4) and then integrating (2.4) with respect to $d\sigma$ therefore gives

$$\|f\|_\phi^\alpha \|f\|_p^p \geq -\frac{p^2}{q^2} \int_0^1 d\phi^\alpha(r) \int_S |f(r\zeta)|^q d\sigma(\zeta).$$

This completes the proof. □

3. Proofs. Our proof depends essentially on the following elementary lemma.

LEMMA 2. *For holomorphic f in B , the following are equivalent.*

$$(3.1) \quad f \in A_{2,\phi}(B),$$

$$(3.2) \quad \int_0^1 \phi(\rho) A(\rho, f) d\rho < \infty,$$

$$(3.3) \quad f \in LP(\phi).$$

PROOF OF LEMMA 2. If f is a monomial z^α , $\alpha \geq 0$, then it follows from [7. pp. 16–17] that

$$(3.4) \quad \int_S |f(r\zeta)|^2 d\sigma(\zeta) = |f(0)|^2 + \frac{2(n-1)!}{\pi^n} \int_0^r \rho^{1-2n} A(\rho, f) d\rho.$$

By orthogonality, (3.4) holds for polynomials, and hence for holomorphic f . Now, integrating (3.4) with respect to $d\phi$,

$$\|f\|_{2,\phi} = |f(0)|^2 - \frac{2(n-1)!}{\pi^n} \int_0^1 d\phi(r) \int_0^r \rho^{1-2n} A(\rho, f) d\rho.$$

While, the last integral is

$$\frac{2(n-1)!}{\pi^n} \int_0^1 \rho^{1-2n} \phi(\rho) A(\rho, f) d\rho,$$

so that the equivalence of (3.1) and (3.2) follows.

Next, let $\Omega \in D(\phi)$. By (1.2),

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^1 \chi_{\{|z|<\rho\}}(z) \phi(\rho) d\rho &= \int_{|z|}^1 \phi(\rho) d\rho \\ &\approx \int_U \chi_\Omega(Uz) dU, \text{ for } |z| \text{ close to } 1, \end{aligned}$$

where $\chi_{\{ \cdot \}}(z)$ of course denote characteristic functions. Hence it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} (3.5) \quad \int_0^1 \phi(\rho) A(\rho, f) d\rho &= \int_0^1 \phi(\rho) d\rho \int_{\rho B} |\nabla f(z)|^2 dm(z) \\ &= \int_B |\nabla f(z)|^2 \left[\int_{|z|}^1 \phi(\rho) d\rho \right] dm(z) \\ &\approx \int_U dU \int_{U\Omega} |\nabla f(z)|^2 dm(z). \end{aligned}$$

The equivalence of (3.2) and (3.3) follows from (3.5). □

PROOF OF THEOREM. The first part follows directly from Lemmas 1 and 2. For the converse, suppose $2 \leq p < \infty$ and f is holomorphic in B with $\|f\|_\phi < \infty$. It suffices to prove that (1.6) implies (1.5). We may assume $f(0) = 0$. Recall $(f_\zeta)(p, \lambda)$ in (2.2). Since

$$(f_\zeta)(p, \lambda) \leq [\phi(\lambda)^{-1} \|f\|_\phi]^{p-2} |f_\zeta(\lambda)|^2, \zeta \in S, \lambda \in U,$$

it follows by (2.5) that

$$\begin{aligned} (3.6) \quad \int_0^{2\pi} |f_\zeta(re^{i\theta})|^p d\theta &= p^2 \int_0^r \rho^{-1} d\rho \int_{\rho U} (f_\zeta)(p, \lambda) dm_2(\lambda) \\ &\leq p^2 \|f\|_\phi^{p-2} \int_0^r \rho^{-1} \phi(\rho)^{2-p} d\rho \int_{\rho U} |(d/d\lambda)f_\zeta(\lambda)|^2 dm_2(\lambda). \end{aligned}$$

Now, it is not difficult to see that

$$\int_S d\sigma(\zeta) \int_{\rho U} |(d/d\lambda)f_\zeta(\lambda)|^2 dm_2(\lambda) = \frac{(n-1)!}{\pi^{n-1}} \frac{A(\rho, f)}{\rho^{2n-2}},$$

so that slice integration makes (3.6) into

$$\|f\|_p^p \leq C(p, n) \|f\|_\phi^{p-2} \int_0^1 \rho^{1-2n} \phi(\rho)^{2-p} A(\rho, f) d\rho,$$

which completes the proof. □

PROOF OF COROLLARY. Let $\phi(r) = (1-r)^\tau$, $\tau > 0$. Since $A(\rho, f)$ is nondecreasing function of ρ ,

$$(3.7) \quad (1-\rho)^{1+\tau} A(\rho, f) \leq (1+\tau) \int_\rho^1 (1-r)^\tau A(r, f) dr.$$

If $\tau = n/p$ then $H^{p,\phi}(B_n) = H^p(B_n)$ [7. Theorem 7.2.5], so that all $f \in H^p(B_n)$ satisfy, by Theorem and (3.7), that $A(\rho, f) = o(1-\rho)^{-1-n(2-p)/p}$. This proves (4.1).

For the converse, suppose $A(\rho, f) = O(1-\rho)^{-\gamma}$ for some γ , $0 < \gamma < 1$. Then obviously,

$$(3.8) \quad \int_0^1 (1-\rho)^{-\delta} A(\rho, f) d\rho < \infty \quad \text{for } \delta < 1-\gamma.$$

In particular, by (3.4), $f \in H^2(B_n)$, so that

$$(3.9) \quad f(z) = O(1-|z|)^{-n/2}.$$

Hence it follows from (3.8), (3.9), and Theorem that f is a member of $H^{q_1}(B_n)$, $q_1 = 2+2\delta/n$, and this in turn gives $f(z) = O(1-|z|)^{-n/q_1}$. Continuing this way using (3.8), we conclude by induction that $f \in H^q(B_n)$ for all $q < 2n/(n-1+\gamma)$. Now, (1.9) follows. □

4. Remarks.

(1) We present the following example as for the sharpness of Theorem 1; If $n = 2$, $\phi(r) = 1-r$, and $(3/2) < p < 2$, then there exists $f \in H^{p,\phi}$ such that

$$(4.1) \quad \int_0^1 \phi(\rho)^\alpha A(\rho, f) d\rho = \infty \quad \text{for } \alpha > 2-p.$$

(So that $f \in LP(\phi^\alpha)$ for $\alpha > 2-p$).

Fix a p , $3/2 < p < 2$. Let

$$d_k = [k^{1-p}(\log k)^{1/(p-1)}]^{1/(2-p)}, \quad k = 2, 3, \dots$$

Then $\sum d_k < \infty$, and $\sum (d_k/k)^s < \infty$ if and only if $s \geq 2 - p$. Let $g(z)$ be the Blaschke product formed by $\{1 - d_k\}$. Then it follows from [1. Theorem 6.2] that

$$(4.2) \quad g' \in H^{p-1}(U) \text{ and } g' \in H^q(U) \text{ for } q > p - 1.$$

Since $g'(z) = O(1 - |z|)^{-1}$, g' is a member of $H^{p-1, \phi}(U)$, and so by Lemma 1,

$$(4.3) \quad g' \in L^p(U),$$

Now, let $f(z, w) = g'(z)$, $(z, w) \in B_2$. Then, by [7. p. 15] and (4.3),

$$\|f\|_p^p = \int_U |g'(z)|^p d\nu_1(z) < \infty.$$

Hence $f \in H^{p, \phi}(B_2)$. On the other hand, since

$$\begin{aligned} \|f\|_{2, \phi^\alpha}^2 &= \alpha \int_0^1 (1-r)^{\alpha-1} dr \int_U |g'(rz)|^2 d\nu_1(z) \\ &= \alpha \int_U \left[\int_{|z|}^1 (1-r)^{\alpha-1} r^{-2} dr \right] |g'(z)|^2 d\nu_1(z) \\ &\approx \int_U (1-|z|)^\alpha |g'(z)|^2 d\nu_1(z) \end{aligned}$$

for $\alpha > 0$, by (4.2) and [1. Theorem 6.2 a) \leftrightarrow c)], the last integral is finite if and only if $\alpha \leq 2 - p$. Therefore we conclude (4.1) by Lemma 2.

(2) $f(z) = (1 - z_1)^{-\tau}$ for appropriate positive constants τ show that the exponents of Corollary are best possible.

(3) If $f \in (LH)^p(B_n)$ i.e. $|f|^p$ has pluriharmonic majorants [5. p. 145] then $f(z) = O(1 - |z|)^{-1/p}$, so that by Theorem A(ρ, f) = $o(1 - \rho)^{-2/p}$.

REFERENCES

1. P. R. Ahern, *The mean modulus and derivatives of an inner function*, Indiana University Math. J., **28** No. 2 (1979), 311–347.
2. P. L. Duren, *Theory of H^p spaces*, Academic Press, New York, NY 1970.
3. F. Holland and J. B. Twomey, *On Hardy classes and the area function*, J. London Math. Soc., (2) **17** (1978), 275–283.
4. H. O. Kim, *Derivatives of Blaschke products*, Pacific J. Math., **114** (1984), 175–191.
5. H. O. Kim, S. M. Kim and E. G. Kwon, *A note on the space $H^{p, \alpha}$* , Communications of the Korean Math. Soc., Vol. 2 No. 1 (1981), 47–51.
6. G. Piranian and W. Rudin, *Lusin's theorem on areas of conformal maps*, Michigan Math. J., **3** (1955–1956), 191–199.
7. W. Rudin, *Function theory in the unit ball of C^n* , Springer Verlag, New York, 1980.

8. S. Yamashita, *Criteria for functions to be Hardy class H^p* , Proceedings of A.M.S., Vol. 75 No. 1 (1979), 69–72.
9. ———, *Holomorphic functions and area integrals*, Bollettino U.M.I. (6) 1-A (1982), 115–120.

Department of Mathematics Education
Andong National University
Andong 760-749,
(South) Korea