

## CORRIGENDUM

# A spectral refinement of the Bergelson–Host–Kra decomposition and new multiple ergodic theorems – CORRIGENDUM

JOEL MOREIRA and FLORIAN K. RICHTER

(Received 28 June 2023 and accepted in revised form 19 July 2023)

doi:10.1017/etds.2017.61, Published by Cambridge University Press, 7 September 2017

*Abstract.* This is a corrigendum to the paper ‘A spectral refinement of the Bergelson–Host–Kra decomposition and new multiple ergodic theorems’ [3]. Theorem 7.1 in that paper is incorrect as stated, and the error originates with Proposition 7.5, part (iii), which was incorrectly quoted from a paper by Bergelson, Host, and Kra [1]. Consequently, this invalidates the proof of Theorem 4.2, which was used in the proofs of the main results in [3]. In this corrigendum we fix the problem by establishing a slightly weaker version of Theorem 7.1 (see §2 below) and use it to give a new proof of Theorem 4.2 (see §3 below). This ensures that all main results in [3] remain correct. We thank Zhengxing Lian and Jiahao Qiu for bringing this mistake to our attention.

### 1. A counterexample to [3, Theorem 7.1]

We begin by presenting the counterexample to [3, Theorem 7.1] provided to us by Zhengxing Lian and Jiahao Qiu. We will use common terminology about nilmanifolds and nilsystems as reviewed in [3, §3].

**THEOREM 7.1.** (From [3]) *Let  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , let  $X$  be a connected nilmanifold and let  $R : X \rightarrow X$  be an ergodic nilrotation. Define  $S := R \times R^2 \times \cdots \times R^k$  and*

$$Y_x := \overline{\{S^n(x, x, \dots, x) : n \in \mathbb{Z}\}} \subseteq X^k. \quad (1.1)$$

*For almost every  $x \in X$ ,  $\sigma(Y_x, S) = \sigma(X, R)$ .*

*Counterexample.* Let  $k = 2$  and let  $(X, R)$  be the skew-product system given by  $R : (x, y) \mapsto (x + \alpha, y + x)$  on  $\mathbb{T}^2$  for some irrational  $\alpha$ . This system can be realized as an



ergodic nilsystem (see [3, Example 7.2]). For any point  $(x, y) \in X$  let  $Y_{(x,y)}$  be the orbit closure of the diagonal point  $(x, y, x, y) \in X^2$  under the map  $S = R \times R^2$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 Y_{(x,y)} &= \overline{\left\{ \left( x + n\alpha, y + nx + \binom{n}{2}\alpha, x + 2n\alpha, y + 2nx + \binom{2n}{2}\alpha \right) : n \in \mathbb{N} \right\}} \\
 &= (x, y, x, y) + \overline{\left\{ \left( n\alpha, nx + \binom{n}{2}\alpha, 2n\alpha, 2nx + 4\binom{n}{2}\alpha - n\alpha \right) : n \in \mathbb{N} \right\}}.
 \end{aligned}$$

If  $x, \alpha, 1$  are linearly independent over  $\mathbb{Q}$  (which happens almost surely) then it follows that

$$Y_{(x,y)} = (x, y, x, y) + \{(z, w, 2z, \tilde{w}) : z, w, \tilde{w} \in \mathbb{T}\}. \tag{1.2}$$

Therefore the nilsystem  $(Y_{(x,y)}, S)$  is isomorphic to the nilsystem  $(\mathbb{T}^3, \tau_x)$ , where  $\tau_x(z, w, \tilde{w}) = (z + \alpha, w + z + x, \tilde{w} + 4z + 2x + \alpha)$ . Consider the function  $f : \mathbb{T}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  described by  $f(z, w, \tilde{w}) = e(\tilde{w} - 4w)$ , where  $e(z) := e^{2\pi iz}$ . Then

$$f(\tau_x(z, w, \tilde{w})) = e((\tilde{w} + 4z + 2x + \alpha) - 4(w + z + x)) = e(\alpha - 2x)f(z, w, \tilde{w}).$$

This shows that  $\alpha - 2x$  is an eigenvalue of the system  $(Y_{(x,y)}, S)$ , but not of the system  $(X, R)$ , so  $\sigma(Y_{(x,y)}, R \times T^2) \not\subseteq \sigma(X, S)$  for almost every  $(x, y) \in X$ .

2. Revised version of [3, Theorem 7.1]

The above example shows that [3, Theorem 7.1] is not correct as stated. Here is a corrected version.

REVISED THEOREM 7.1. *Let  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , let  $X$  be a connected nilmanifold and let  $R : X \rightarrow X$  be an ergodic nilrotation. Define  $S := R \times R^2 \times \dots \times R^k$  and*

$$Y_x := \overline{\{S^n(x, x, \dots, x) : n \in \mathbb{Z}\}} \subseteq X^k. \tag{2.1}$$

*For any  $\theta \in [0, 1)$ , if  $\theta \notin \sigma(X, R)$  then for almost every  $x \in X$  we have  $\theta \notin \sigma(Y_x, S)$ .*

Remark 2.1. The difference between the (incorrect) statement of Theorem 7.1 in [3] and the (correct) statement of Revised Theorem 7.1 above is that

‘for almost every  $x \in X$  and all  $\theta \notin \sigma(X, R)$  one has  $\theta \notin \sigma(Y_x, S)$ ’

has been replaced with

‘for all  $\theta \notin \sigma(X, R)$  and almost all  $x \in X$  one has  $\theta \notin \sigma(Y_x, S)$ ’.

In other words, the full measure set of  $x$  is now allowed to depend on  $\theta$ .

*Proof of Revised Theorem 7.1.* Given a nilpotent Lie group  $G$ , denote by  $G = G_1 \supseteq G_2 \supseteq \dots \supseteq G_s \supseteq \{1_G\}$  its lower central series. For  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , define  $H^{(1)}(G), \dots, H^{(k-1)}(G)$  as

$$H^{(i)}(G) := \{(g^{(i)}, g^{(i)}, \dots, g^{(i)}) : g \in G_i\} \subseteq G^k, \tag{2.2}$$

where  $\binom{j}{i} = 0$  for  $j < i$ , and let  $H(G)$  be given by

$$H(G) := H^{(1)}(G)H^{(2)}(G) \dots H^{(k-1)}(G)G_k^k. \tag{2.3}$$

Also, for a co-compact lattice  $\Gamma \subset G$  define  $\Delta(G, \Gamma) := H(G) \cap \Gamma^k$ . Since  $H(G)$  is a rational subgroup of  $G^k$ , it follows from [2, Lemma 1.11] that  $\Delta(G, \Gamma)$  is a uniform and discrete subgroup of  $H(G)$ . Define the nilmanifold  $Y(G, \Gamma) := H(G)/\Delta(G, \Gamma)$ . Note that we can naturally identify  $Y(G, \Gamma)$  with a subnilmanifold of  $(G/\Gamma)^k$ .

For  $b \in G$ , define  $R_b : G/\Gamma \rightarrow G/\Gamma$  to be the map  $R_b(g\Gamma) = (bg)\Gamma$  and let

$$S_b := R_b \times R_b^2 \times \dots \times R_b^k. \tag{2.4}$$

For  $x = g\Gamma \in G/\Gamma$  define

$$Y_x := \overline{\{S_b^n(x, x, \dots, x) : n \in \mathbb{Z}\}} \subseteq (G/\Gamma)^k. \tag{2.5}$$

It was shown in [3, Proposition 7.5, part (iv)] that for almost every  $x = g\Gamma \in G/\Gamma$  the map  $R_{g^{-1}} \times \dots \times R_{g^{-1}} : (G/\Gamma)^k \rightarrow (G/\Gamma)^k$  is an isomorphism from the nilsystem  $(Y_x, S_a)$  to the nilsystem  $(Y(G, \Gamma), S_{g^{-1}ag})$ .

Suppose now that  $X = G/\Gamma$  is the system in the statement of the theorem and let  $a \in G$  be such that  $R = R_a$ . Take  $\theta \in [0, 1)$ . Our goal is to show that if  $\theta \notin \sigma(X, R)$  then  $\theta \notin \sigma(Y_x, S_a)$  for almost every  $x \in X$ . Let us first deal with the case when  $\theta$  is irrational.

Observe that  $\theta$  is not an eigenvalue of  $(X, R_a)$  if and only if the product system  $(X, R_a) \times (\mathbb{T}, R_\theta)$  is ergodic, where  $R_\theta : t \mapsto t + \theta$  is rotation by  $\theta$ . Notice that  $X \times \mathbb{T} = (G \times \mathbb{R})/(\Gamma \times \mathbb{Z})$  is a nilmanifold too, and hence  $(X, R_a) \times (\mathbb{T}, R_\theta)$  is a nilsystem. In accordance with (2.4) and (2.5) let

$$S_{(a,\theta)} = (R_a \times R_\theta) \times (R_a^2 \times R_{2\theta}) \times \dots \times (R_a^k \times R_{k\theta})$$

and

$$Y_{(x,t)} := \overline{\{S_{(a,\theta)}^n((x, t), \dots, (x, t)) : n \in \mathbb{Z}\}} \subseteq (X \times \mathbb{T})^k.$$

As was mentioned above, for almost every  $(x, t) = (g\Gamma, t) \in X \times \mathbb{T}$ , the nilsystem  $(Y_{(x,t)}, S_{(a,\alpha)})$  is isomorphic to  $(Y(G \times \mathbb{R}, \Gamma \times \mathbb{Z}), S_{(g^{-1}ag,\theta)})$ .

We claim that  $Y(G \times \mathbb{R}, \Gamma \times \mathbb{Z}) \cong Y(G, \Gamma) \times Y(\mathbb{R}, \Gamma)$ . Assuming this claim for now, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} (Y_{(x,t)}, S_{(a,\theta)}) &\cong (Y(G \times \mathbb{R}, \Gamma \times \mathbb{Z}), S_{(g^{-1}ag,\theta)}) \\ &\cong (Y(G, \Gamma), S_{g^{-1}ag}) \times (Y(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{Z}), S_\theta) \\ &\cong (Y(G, \Gamma), S_{g^{-1}ag}) \times (\mathbb{T}, R_\theta) \\ &\cong (Y_x, S_a) \times (\mathbb{T}, R_\theta). \end{aligned}$$

Recall that any transitive nilsystem is ergodic. Since  $(Y_{(x,t)}, S_{(a,\theta)})$  is transitive by definition, it follows that it is ergodic, which implies that  $(Y_x, S_a) \times (\mathbb{T}, R_\theta)$  is ergodic for almost every  $x \in X$ . However,  $(Y_x, S_a) \times (\mathbb{T}, R_\theta)$  can only be ergodic if  $\theta$  is not in the discrete spectrum of  $(Y_x, S_a)$ , which finishes the proof that  $\theta \notin \sigma(Y_x, S_a)$  for almost every  $x \in X$ .

It remains to show that  $Y(G \times \mathbb{R}, \Gamma \times \mathbb{Z}) \cong Y(G, \Gamma) \times Y(\mathbb{R}, \Gamma)$ . Note that  $H^{(i)}(\mathbb{R}) = \{0\}^k$  for all  $i \geq 2$ , so that  $H(\mathbb{R}) = \{(t, 2t, \dots, kt) : t \in \mathbb{R}\}$ . More generally, for any  $G$  we have  $H^{(i)}(G \times \mathbb{R}) = H^{(i)}(G) \times \{0\}^k$  whenever  $i \geq 2$ . This implies that

$$H(G \times \mathbb{R}) = H(G) \times H(\mathbb{R}).$$

Finally, since

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta(G \times \mathbb{R}, \Gamma \times \mathbb{Z}) &= (H(G) \times H(\mathbb{R})) \cap (\Gamma^k \times \mathbb{Z}^k) \\ &= H(G) \cap \Gamma^k \times H(\mathbb{R}) \cap \mathbb{Z}^k \\ &= \Delta(G, \Gamma) \times \Delta(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{Z}), \end{aligned}$$

the claim  $Y(G \times \mathbb{R}, \Gamma \times \mathbb{Z}) \cong Y(G, \Gamma) \times Y(\mathbb{R}, \Gamma)$  follows.

Lastly, we deal with the case when  $\theta = p/q \in (0, 1)$  is rational. Recall that  $S_a = R_a \times R_a^2 \times \dots \times R_a^k$  and  $Y_x := \overline{\{S_a^n(x, x, \dots, x) : n \in \mathbb{Z}\}}$  and that

$$(Y_x, S_a) \cong (Y(G, \Gamma), S_{g^{-1}ag}) \tag{2.6}$$

for all  $x = g\Gamma \in X'$ , where  $X'$  is some full measure subset of  $X$ . Observe that (2.6) implies

$$(Y_x, S_a^q) \cong (Y(G, \Gamma), S_{g^{-1}ag}^q), \tag{2.7}$$

for all  $x = g\Gamma \in X'$ . Then define

$$Y_x^{(q)} := \overline{\{S_a^{qn}(x, x, \dots, x) : n \in \mathbb{Z}\}} = \overline{\{S_{a^q}^n(x, x, \dots, x) : n \in \mathbb{Z}\}}.$$

Since  $X$  is connected and  $(X, R_a)$  is ergodic, the nilsystem  $(X, R_a^q)$  is ergodic. This implies that there exists a full measure set  $X'' \subset X$  such that for all  $x = g\Gamma \in X''$  we have

$$(Y_x^{(q)}, S_a^q) \cong (Y(G, \Gamma), S_{g^{-1}ag}^q). \tag{2.8}$$

Combining (2.7) and (2.8), we see that for any  $x \in X' \cap X''$  we have

$$(Y_x, S_a^q) \cong (Y_x^{(q)}, S_a^q).$$

Since  $(Y_x^{(q)}, S_a^q)$  is transitive by definition, it must be ergodic, and thus it follows that for all  $x \in X' \cap X''$  the system  $(Y_x, S_a^q)$  is ergodic. We conclude that  $\theta = p/q$  is not an eigenvalue of  $(Y_x, S_a^q)$  and this finishes the proof. □

### 3. Revised proof of [3, Theorem 4.2]

In light of the fact that [3, Theorem 7.1] is incorrect, we need to provide a new proof for [3, Theorem 4.2] to ensure that all the main results presented in [3] are still correct. With the same notation as in [3], let us recall the statement of [3, Theorem 4.2].

**THEOREM 4.2.** *Let  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , let  $G$  be an  $s$ -step nilpotent Lie group, and let  $\Gamma$  be a uniform and discrete subgroup of  $G$  such that  $X = G/\Gamma$  is a connected nilmanifold. Let  $R : X \rightarrow X$  be an ergodic niltranslation on  $X$ . Define  $S := R \times R^2 \times \cdots \times R^k$  and*

$$Y_{X^\Delta} := \overline{\{S^n(x, x, \dots, x) : x \in X, n \in \mathbb{Z}\}} \subseteq X^k.$$

*Then  $\sigma(X, R) = \sigma(Y_{X^\Delta}, S)$ , where  $\sigma(X, R)$  denotes the spectrum of the nilsystem  $(X, R)$  and  $\sigma(Y_{X^\Delta}, S)$  denotes the spectrum of the nilsystem  $(Y_{X^\Delta}, S)$ .*

*Proof.* Given  $\theta \in \sigma(X, R)$ , let  $f \in L^2(X)$  be an eigenfunction of the system  $(X, R)$  with eigenvalue  $\theta$ . Since the function  $\tilde{f} \in L^2(Y_{X^\Delta})$  defined by  $\tilde{f}(x_1, \dots, x_k) = f(x_1)$  is an eigenfunction for the system  $(Y_{X^\Delta}, S)$  with eigenvalue  $\theta$ , it follows that  $\sigma(X, R) \subseteq \sigma(Y_{X^\Delta}, S)$ .

Next we prove the converse inclusion. Let  $\nu$  be the Haar measure of the nilmanifold  $Y_{X^\Delta}$  and let  $\nu_x$  be the Haar measure of the nilmanifold  $Y_x$  defined by (2.1). Observe that the sets  $Y_x$  are precisely the atoms of the invariant  $\sigma$ -algebra of the system  $(Y_{X^\Delta}, S)$ . Therefore, the measures  $\nu_x$  form the ergodic decomposition of  $\nu$ .

Let  $\theta \in \sigma(Y_{X^\Delta}, S)$  and let  $f \in L^2(Y_{X^\Delta}, \nu)$  be an eigenfunction with eigenvalue  $\theta$ , that is, for almost every  $y \in Y_{X^\Delta}$  we have  $Sf(y) = e(\theta)f(y)$ . Since  $f$  cannot be 0 $\nu$ -almost everywhere, there exists a positive measure set of  $x \in X$  for which the restriction of  $f$  to the system  $(Y_x, \nu_x, S)$  is not the zero function. But for any such  $x$ , the restriction of  $f$  to the system  $(Y_x, \nu_x, S)$  is an eigenfunction with eigenvalue  $\theta$ . This implies that  $\theta \in \sigma(Y_{X^\Delta}, S)$  for all such  $x$ . Finally, by invoking Revised Theorem 7.1, we conclude that  $\theta \in \sigma(X, R)$ , finishing the proof.  $\square$

## REFERENCES

- [1] V. Bergelson, B. Host and B. Kra. Multiple recurrence and nilsequences. *Invent. Math.* **160** (2005), 261–303. With an appendix by I. Ruzsa.
- [2] A. Leibman. Rational sub-nilmanifolds of a compact nilmanifold. *Ergod. Th. & Dynam. Sys.* **26** (2006), 787–7098.
- [3] J. Moreira and F. K. Richter. A spectral refinement of the Bergelson–Host–Kra decomposition and new multiple ergodic theorems. *Ergod. Th. & Dynam. Sys.* **39** (2019), 1042–1070.