#### Letters to the Editor

threat to survival, or death) was 1 in 200 000 injections.<sup>15</sup> To put this statement into perspective, a person living 80 years has a 1 in 10 000 chance of being struck by lightning.<sup>16</sup> This forces the question, why not use short-dated anthrax vaccine to protect US civilian emergency responders?

The goal is community resilience. The threat is nothing short of antibiotic-resistant anthrax. The solution is to properly equip indispensable emergency responders with voluntary, preventive vaccination before exposure.

Thomas K. Zink, MD Institute for Biosecurity, Saint Louis University

#### **REFERENCES**

- World Health Organization. Health Aspects of Chemical and Biological Weapons. Geneva: World Health Organization; 1970:98-99.
- Office of Technology Assessment. US Congress. Proliferation of Weapons of Mass Destruction. Publication OTA-ISC-559. Washington, DC: US Government Printing Office; 1993:53-55.
- 3. Inglesby TV, Henderson DA, Bartlett JG, et al; Working Group on Civilian Biodefense. Anthrax as a biological weapon: medical and public health management. *JAMA*. 1999;281(18):1735-1745.
- Simon JD. Biological terrorism. Preparing to meet the threat. JAMA. 1997; 278(5):428-430.
- Cristy GA, Chester CV. Emergency Protection Against Aerosols. Publication ORNL-5519. Oak Ridge, TN: Oak Ridge National Laboratory; 1981.
- Hatch TF. Distribution and deposition of inhaled particles in respiratory tract. Bacteriol Rev. 1961;25:237-240.
- Doolan DL, Freilich DA, Brice GT, et al. The US capitol bioterrorism anthrax exposures: clinical epidemiological and immunological characteristics. J Infect Dis. 2007;195(2):174-184.
- 8. Webb GF. A silent bomb: the risk of anthrax as a weapon of mass destruction. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 2003;100(8):4355-4356.
- Athamna A, Athamna M, Abu-Rashed N, Medlej B, Bast DJ, Rubinstein E. Selection of *Bacillus anthracis* isolates resistant to antibiotics. *J Antimicrob Chemother*. 2004;54(2):424-428.
- US Department of Health and Human Services, Office of the Assistant Secretary of Preparedness and Response. Aerosolized anthrax response playbook. http://www.phe.gov/Preparedness/planning/playbooks/anthrax /Pages/default.aspx. Published March 14, 2011. Accessed August 12, 2011.
- 11. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Anthrax Q & A: signs and symptoms. www.bt.cdc.gov/agent/anthrax/faq/signs.asp. Accessed June 1, 2011
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Advisory Committee on Immunization Practices. Vaccines and immunizations: ACIP provisional recommendations. www.cdc.gov/vaccines/recs/provisional/default.htm. Accessed September 7, 2011.
- 13. Food and Drug Administration, 2005, Biological Products; Bacterial Vaccines and Toxoids; Implementation of Efficacy Review; Anthrax Vaccine Adsorbed; Final Order. Fed Regist. 2005;70:75180-75198.
- 14. Grabenstein JD. Vaccines: countering anthrax: vaccines and immunoglobulins. Clin Infect Dis. 2008;46(1):129-136.
- Sever JL, Brenner AI, Gale AD, Lyle JM, Moulton LH, West DJ; Anthrax Vaccine Export Committee. Safety of anthrax vaccine: a review by the Anthrax Vaccine Expert Committee (AVEC) of adverse events reported to the Vaccine Adverse Event Reporting System (VAERS). Pharmacoepidemiol Drug Saf. 2002;11(3):189-202.
- 16. National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA). National Weather Service. Office of Climate, Water, and Weather Services. Medical aspects of lightning. http://www.lightningsafety.noaa.gov/medical.htm. Accessed June 1, 2011.

## A COMMENT ON MANAGEMENT OF SPINAL INJURIES IN THE OCTOBER 2005 PAKISTAN EARTHOUAKE

#### To the Editor

We read with interest the letter by Butt et al about the experience of a makeshift spinal cord injury (SCI) rehabilitation centere established after the 2005 Pakistani earthquake. We were with 1 of the teams that supervised the management and rehabilitation of hundreds of patients with SCI in the earthquake and have described our experiences in several articles. As residents, we regularly visited the makeshift spinal centers to facilitate their management. We make the following observations:

- The team of Butt and colleagues was a mix of senior and junior consultants, registrars, residents, and house officers, all from the Department of Internal Medicine. Their dedication was commendable and their team spirit unsurpassed. To the best of our knowledge, there is no report in the biomedical literature in which physicians in internal medicine successfully supervised the management and rehabilitation of such a large number of patients with SCI in postdisaster scenarios.
- At the time of the 2005 disaster, SCI rehabilitation in Pakistan was literally nonexistent, with only a few centers. The majority of patients with SCI were received in the hospitals in Rawalpindi and Islamabad; however, apart from the Armed Forces Institute of Rehabilitation Medicine, initially, no rehabilitation specialists were available to facilitate SCI rehabilitation.<sup>2</sup> Ours was the only equipped spinal rehabilitation unit in Islamabad and Rawalpindi. We expanded our indoor bed capacity from 100 to 140 in 2 weeks and dedicated approximately 70 beds to patients with SCI. Nevertheless, reportedly 650 to 750 more patients with SCI could not be accommodated at this single center, hence the need for makeshift spinal centers.
- Three makeshift spinal centers were established and admitted more than 300 patients. Only 1 of the centers, at the National Institute of Rehabilitation Medicine, was upgraded to a permanent facility; the rest were closed. These centers helped to save hundreds of paralyzed patients who otherwise were "the most neglected of all patients injured in the earthquake."<sup>7</sup>
- Good intentions can never replace medical expertise. This was the case with the makeshift spinal centers, which were managed by medical physicians and even gynecologists.<sup>5</sup> Although they saved lives in the acute postdisaster phase, adequate SCI rehabilitation could not be provided to all of the patients. The rate of complications, notably pressure ulcers, urinary tract infections, and deep vein thrombosis, was high,<sup>2,4,6,8</sup> and there were concerns about inadequate and inaccurate assessments of these patients.<sup>5</sup>
- Patients with SCI under primary physiatrist care had a reduced incidence of complications, better functional outcomes, and community reintegration as compared with patients under nonphysiatrist care, including in the makeshift spinal centers.<sup>2,5</sup>

- Some important aspects of SCI rehabilitation were avoided or missed in the makeshift spinal centers, including sexual rehabilitation, realistic counseling about patients' prognosis regarding complete lesions, and vocational counseling and job placement. Moreover, unregulated philanthropic monetary support hampered and unnecessarily delayed the discharge of many patients who did not want to part with a ready source of income.<sup>5</sup>
- Once the makeshift spinal centers were closed, there was no adequate facility that could accommodate such a large number of patients with SCI. Most of them were sent home to the mountainous terrain of Kashmir. At 18 months' follow-up, our team could not find a single quadriplegic survivor of the earthquake.¹ Patients developed pressure ulcers in large numbers, and there were cases of surgical wound infections and implant failures. Four years after the disaster, we confirmed 15 deaths, mostly from large, dirty wounds (probably pressure ulcers) and malodorous urine and highgrade fevers (likely urosepsis). Results such as these clearly highlight the inadequacy of our health care system regarding long-term follow-up of patients with SCI.
- The Pakistani earthquake and other global disasters have demonstrated the effectiveness of a dedicated team of physiatrists offering early rehabilitation services in serious disabilities like SCI,<sup>9</sup> and have confirmed that medical rehabilitation is an urgent emergency service, not just a later part of the recovery process. Early physiatrist involvement in complex orthopedic and neurological trauma has shown to be of benefit in times of peace, but it is likely to be more effective in disasters.
- Experience with this earthquake has shown that SCIs in large numbers can occur. Earthquakes often happen in underdeveloped regions of the world that have little expertise to manage SCI in the best of times. Leading SCI organizations in the world such as the International Spinal Cord Society, American Spinal Injury Association, AOSpine, and the American Paraplegia Society can take the lead in improving the treatment of SCI in these regions in consultation with local governments and nongovernmental organizations.
- It is important that experiences from previous disasters be shared, valuable lessons be learned, and shortcomings that are noticed be improved,<sup>5,10,11</sup> so that we are better prepared for the next disaster.

Farooq A. Rathore, MBBS, FCPS Zaheer A. Gill, MBBS, FCPS Sohail Muzammil, FRCS(Edin), FCPS, Ortho

### REFERENCES

- Butt BA, Bhatti JA, Manzoor MS, Malik KS, Shafi MS. Experience of makeshift spinal cord injury rehabilitation center established after the 2005 earthquake in Pakistan. Disaster Med Public Health Prep. 2010;4(1):8-9.
- Rathore MFA, Rashid P, Butt AW, Malik AA, Gill ZA, Haig AJ. Epidemiology of spinal cord injuries in the 2005 Pakistan earthquake. Spinal Cord. 2007;45(10):658-663.
- Rathore MF, Farooq F, Butt AW, Gill ZA. An update on spinal cord injuries in October 2005 earthquake in Pakistan. Spinal Cord. 2008;46 (6):461-462.

- Rathore MF, Hanif S, New PW, Butt AW, Aasi MH, Khan SU. The prevalence of deep vein thrombosis in a cohort of patients with spinal cord injury following the Pakistan earthquake of October 2005. Spinal Cord. 2008;46(7):523-526.
- Rathore FA, Farooq F, Muzammil S, New PW, Ahmad N, Haig AJ. Spinal cord injury management and rehabilitation: highlights and shortcomings from the 2005 earthquake in Pakistan. Arch Phys Med Rehabil. 2008; 89(3):579-585.
- Rathore MF, Butt AW, Aasi MH, Farooq F. Re: complications in patients with spinal cord injuries sustained in an earthquake in northern Pakistan. J Spinal Cord Med. 2008;31(1):118.
- Umer M, Rashid H, Zafar H, Majeed K. Earthquake relief experience of Aga Khan University trauma team. J Pak Med Assoc. 2006;56(8):370-374.
- Tauqir SF, Mirza S, Gul S, Ghaffar H, Zafar A. Complications in patients with spinal cord injuries sustained in an earthquake in Northern Pakistan. J Spinal Cord Med. 2007;30(4):373-377.
- 9. Gosney JE Jr. Physical medicine and rehabilitation: critical role in disaster response. Disaster Med Public Health Prep. 2010;4(2):110-112.
- Motamedi MH, Saghafinia M, Bararani AH, Panahi F. A reassessment and review of the Bam earthquake five years onward: what was done wrong? Prehosp Disaster Med. 2009;24(5):453-460.
- 11. de Ville de Goyet C. Health lessons learned from the recent earthquakes and Tsunami in Asia. *Prehosp Disaster Med.* 2007;22(1):15-21.

# OVERESTIMATING CHERNOBYL'S CONSEQUENCES: MOTIVES AND TOOLS

#### To the Editor

The article by Davis et al, "The Impact of Disasters on Populations With Health and Health Care Disparities,"1 concludes that the present literature does not capture the health care disparities in medically underserved communities before and after a disaster. The 1986 Chernobyl nuclear accident provides an example of the considerable difference in the diagnostic quality of many diseases, especially thyroid cancer, before and after the disaster. Improvements in screening and early detection of thyroid nodules after the accident were accompanied by overestimation of the incidence of thyroid cancer, which could contribute to an overestimation of radioiodine carcinogenicity.<sup>2</sup> Some publications have contributed to the misconception. I noted recently<sup>3</sup> that in some articles<sup>4-6</sup> dedicated to the Chernobyl accident, references to nonprofessional publications (eg, newspapers, Web sites of unclear affiliations often with nonworking URLs, commercial editions) were used widely to support scientific views and conclusions, thus overestimating the medical consequences of the Chernobyl accident. Yablokov and Nesterenko acknowledged that "sometimes references in the text do not correspond with those used in the list of references." They provided a quotation from the Ministry for Emergency Situations of the Republic of Belarus Web site (http: //www.chernobyl.gov.by/index.php?option=com\_content &task=view&id=665&Itemid=1, accessed on April 6, 2011): "A certain fraction of mushrooms, berries, wild flesh, and fish consumed by inhabitants was highly contaminated, ie, during the last three years about 30% of mushrooms, 15% of berries, and 40% of wild flesh." This was a misquotation. The actual quotation from the Web site, translated verbatim from Russian was "A fraction of mushrooms, berries, wild and fish, taken from