

# On Absolute Summability for any Positive Order

By C. E. WINN (Egyptian University).

(Received 24th May, 1932. Read 4th June, 1932.)

§ 1. Absolute summability according to Cesàro's method has been defined by Fekete<sup>1</sup> for positive integral orders, as follows:—

Denoting the  $r$ th partial sum of a series  $\sum u_n$  by  $S_n^r$  and its  $r$ th mean, namely<sup>2</sup>  $S_n^r/A_n^r$ , by  $s_n^r$ , we can regard  $s_n^r$  as the sum of the series

$$\sum_{\nu=0}^n u_\nu^r \equiv \sum_{\nu=0}^n (s_\nu^r - s_{\nu-1}^r) \quad (s_{-1}^r = 0).$$

Thus the convergence of  $\sum u_n^r$  is equivalent to summability  $(C, r)$ . If now this series is *absolutely convergent*, we say that  $\sum u_n$  is *absolutely summable*  $(C, r)$ , or *summable*  $|C, r|$ .

The above definition can be adapted without change for non-integral orders; it is the object of the present paper to extend to all positive orders the consistency and product results given by Fekete. As a preliminary investigation bearing on the question appears an analogue of Toeplitz's theorem for sequences of bounded variation, the sufficiency only, and not the necessity, being taken into consideration.

§ 2. If  $s_n$  is a sequence of bounded variation, i.e. for which

$$\sum u_n = \sum (s_n - s_{n-1})$$

is *absolutely convergent*, then

$$t_n = \sum_{\nu=0}^n a_{n,\nu} s_\nu \quad (a_{n,\nu} = 0, \text{ if } \nu > n)$$

is also of bounded variation, provided that the double sum

$$(1) \quad \sum_{0 \leq \nu \leq n \leq N} |a_{n,\nu} - a_{n-1,\nu}| < K$$

for all values of  $N$ .

<sup>1</sup> *Math. ès Term. Ert.* (1911), pp. 719-726.

<sup>2</sup>  $A_n^r$  stands for  $\binom{n+r}{n}$ .

For we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 v_n &= t_n - t_{n-1} = \sum_{\nu=0}^n (a_{n,\nu} - a_{n-1,\nu}) (u_0 + u_1 + \dots + u_\nu) \\
 &= \sum_{i=0}^n u_i \sum_{\nu=i}^n (a_{n,\nu} - a_{n-1,\nu});
 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 \sum_{n=0}^N |v_n| &\leq \sum_{n=0}^N \sum_{i=0}^n |u_i| \sum_{\nu=i}^n |a_{n,\nu} - a_{n-1,\nu}| \\
 &= \sum_{i=0}^N |u_i| \sum_{i \leq \nu \leq n \leq N} |a_{n,\nu} - a_{n-1,\nu}| \\
 &< K \sum_{i=0}^N |u_i|.
 \end{aligned}$$

A simple case presents itself, when

$$\sum_{\nu=i}^n (a_{n,\nu} - a_{n-1,\nu}) \geq 0 \quad (0 \leq i \leq n).$$

For then

$$\begin{aligned}
 |v_n| &\leq \sum_{i=0}^n |u_i| \sum_{\nu=i}^n (a_{n,\nu} - a_{n-1,\nu}) \\
 &= \sum_{\nu=0}^n (a_{n,\nu} - a_{n-1,\nu}) \sigma_\nu,
 \end{aligned}$$

where  $\sigma_\nu = \sum_{i=0}^\nu |u_i|$ . It follows that

$$\sum_{n=0}^N |v_n| \leq \sum_{\nu=0}^N a_{N,\nu} \sigma_\nu.$$

Consequently, if the ordinary Toeplitz conditions hold, we can deduce, without assuming (1), that  $\sum v_n$  converges absolutely.

§ 3. We next prove two lemmas.

LEMMA I.

$$\frac{1}{A_n^{p+q+1}} \sum_{\nu=i}^{n-j} A_\nu^p A_{n-\nu}^q$$

does not increase as  $n$  increases ( $p > -1$ ,  $q > -1$ ,  $p+q > -1$ ;  $i, j, \geq 0$ ).

We have

$$0 < a_\nu = \frac{A_\nu^p A_{n-\nu}^q}{A_n^{p+q+1}} \leq \frac{A_\nu^p A_{n-\nu-1}^q}{A_{n-1}^{p+q+1}} = \beta_\nu,$$

according as

$$\nu \begin{cases} \leq \\ > \end{cases} \frac{p+1}{p+q+1} n = \nu_1, \text{ say.}$$

Hence, for  $0 \leq \nu \leq \nu_1$ ,

$$\alpha_0 + \alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_\nu < \beta_0 + \beta_1 + \dots + \beta_\nu;$$

and for  $\nu_1 < \nu < n_j$  noting that

$$\sum_{\nu=0}^n \alpha_\nu = \sum_{\nu=0}^n \beta_\nu = 1,$$

we get

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_\nu &= 1 - (\alpha_{\nu+1} + \alpha_{\nu+2} + \dots + \alpha_n) \\ &< 1 - (\beta_{\nu+1} + \beta_{\nu+2} + \dots + \beta_{n-1}) \\ &= \beta_0 + \beta_1 + \dots + \beta_\nu. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\frac{1}{A_n^{p+q+1}} \sum_{\nu=0}^{i-1} A_\nu^p A_{n-\nu}^q$$

decreases, as  $n$  increases ( $i > 0$ ). And in the same way

$$\frac{1}{A_n^{p+q+1}} \sum_{\nu=0}^{j-1} A_\nu^q A_{n-\nu}^p$$

decreases, as  $n$  increases ( $j > 0$ ). Our result thus follows, since<sup>1</sup>

$$\frac{1}{A_n^{p+q+1}} \sum_{\nu=i}^{n-j} A_\nu^p A_{n-\nu}^q = \frac{1}{A_n^{p+q+1}} \left\{ \sum_{\nu=0}^n - \sum_{\nu=0}^{i-1} - \sum_{\nu=n-j-1}^n \right\} A_\nu^p A_{n-\nu}^q.$$

LEMMA II.

$$A_j^l \sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} \frac{A_\nu^{k-1}}{A_{j+\nu}^{k+l}}$$

is finite for all  $j \geq 0, k \geq 0, l > 0$ .

When  $k = 0$ , the sum reduces to unity. Otherwise we have

$\sum_{\nu=0}^j A_\nu^{k-1} = A_j^k$ , and as  $i$  becomes great,

$$A_i^r \approx \frac{i^r}{\Gamma(r+1)} \quad (r > -1),$$

so that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} \frac{A_\nu^{k-1}}{A_{j+\nu}^{k+l}} &= \left( \sum_{\nu=0}^j + \sum_{\nu=j+1}^{\infty} \right) \frac{A_\nu^{k-1}}{A_{j+\nu}^{k+l}} \\ &> \frac{A_j^k}{A_j^{k+l}} + O \sum_{\nu=j+1}^{\infty} \left( \frac{\nu}{j+\nu} \right)^{k-1} \frac{1}{(j+\nu)^{l+1}} = O \left( \frac{1}{j^l} \right), \end{aligned}$$

<sup>1</sup> If  $i$  or  $j$  is zero, we omit the corresponding sum.

which yields the required result. We conclude with our two theorems.

§ 4. THEOREM I. *If  $\Sigma u_n$  is summable  $|C, k|$ , then it is also summable  $|C, k + l|$ , ( $k \geq 0, l > 0$ ).*

We have

$$s_n^{k+l} = \frac{S_n^{k+l}}{A_n^{k+l}} = \sum_{\nu=0}^n \frac{A_{n-\nu}^{l-1} A_\nu^k}{A_n^{k+l}} s_\nu^k$$

$$= \sum_{\nu=0}^n a_{n\nu} s_\nu^k, \text{ say;}$$

and from Lemma I, with  $j = 0$ , it appears that<sup>1</sup>

$$\sum_{\nu=i}^n (a_{n,\nu} - a_{n-1,\nu}) \geq 0.$$

Consequently, in view of our earlier remarks, the series  $\Sigma u_n^{k+l}$  converges absolutely.

THEOREM II. *If  $\Sigma u_n$  is summable  $|C, k|$  and  $\Sigma v_n$  is summable  $|C, l|$ , then the product series*

$$\Sigma w_n \equiv \Sigma (u_0 v_n + u_1 v_{n-1} + \dots + u_n v_0)$$

*is summable  $|C, k + l|$ , ( $k \geq 0, l \geq 0$ ).*

When  $k$  and  $l$  are both zero, this reduces to the well-known theorem on the multiplication of two absolutely convergent series. We may suppose then that  $l > 0$ . Denoting the partial sums of order  $r$  for  $\Sigma u_n$  and  $\Sigma v_n$  by  $S_n^r$  and  $T_n^r$  respectively, we have, when  $|x| < 1$ ,

$$(1-x)^{-k-l-1} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} u_n x^n \cdot \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} v_n x^n = (1-x)^{-k} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} u_n x^n \cdot (1-x)^{-l-1} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} v_n x^n$$

$$= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} S_n^{k-1} x^n \cdot \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} T_n^l x^n.$$

Hence the partial sum of order  $k + l$  for the product series is

$$P_n^{k+l} = \sum_{\nu=0}^n (S_\nu^k - S_{\nu-1}^k) T_{n-\nu}^l$$

$$= \sum_{\nu=0}^n (A_\nu^k s_\nu^k - A_{\nu-1}^k s_{\nu-1}^k) A_{n-\nu}^l t_{n-\nu}^l$$

<sup>1</sup>  $a_{n-1, n}$  vanishes, if we take  $A_{-1}^r = 0$  ( $r = 0, -1, -2 \dots$ ). This follows, if we suppose  $A_{n-1}^r = A_n^r \frac{n}{n+r}$  true for  $n = 0$ .

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 w_n^{k+l} &= \frac{P_n^{k+l}}{A_n^{k+l}} - \frac{P_{n-1}^{k+l}}{A_{n-1}^{k+l}} \\
 &= \sum_{\nu=0}^n (A_\nu^k s_\nu^k - A_{\nu-1}^k s_{\nu-1}^k) \left( \frac{A_{n-\nu}^l t_{n-\nu}^l}{A_n^{k+l}} - \frac{A_{n-\nu-1}^l t_{n-\nu-1}^l}{A_{n-1}^{k+l}} \right) \\
 &= \sum_{\nu=0}^n (A_\nu^{k-1} s_\nu^k + A_{\nu-1}^k u_\nu^k) \left\{ \left( \frac{A_{n-\nu}^l}{A_n^{k+l}} - \frac{A_{n-\nu-1}^l}{A_{n-1}^{k+l}} \right) t_{n-\nu}^l + \frac{A_{n-\nu-1}^l}{A_{n-1}^{k+l}} v_{n-\nu}^l \right\} \\
 &= \sum_{\nu=0}^n A_\nu^{k-1} s_\nu^k \left( \frac{A_{n-\nu}^l}{A_n^{k+l}} - \frac{A_{n-\nu-1}^l}{A_{n-1}^{k+l}} \right) t_{n-\nu}^l + \sum_{\nu=0}^{n-1} A_\nu^{k-1} s_\nu^k \frac{A_{n-\nu-1}^l}{A_{n-1}^{k+l}} v_{n-\nu}^l \\
 &+ \sum_{\nu=1}^n A_{\nu-1}^k u_\nu^k \left( \frac{A_{n-\nu}^l}{A_n^{k+l}} - \frac{A_{n-\nu-1}^l}{A_{n-1}^{k+l}} \right) t_{n-\nu}^l + \sum_{\nu=0}^{n-1} A_{\nu-1}^k u_\nu^k \frac{A_{n-\nu-1}^l}{A_{n-1}^{k+l}} v_{n-\nu}^l \\
 &= \Sigma_1 + \Sigma_2 + \Sigma_3 + \Sigma_4, \quad \text{say.}^1
 \end{aligned}$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned}
 \Sigma_1 &= \sum_{\nu=0}^n A_\nu^{k-1} \sum_{i=0}^\nu u_i^k \sum_{j=0}^{n-\nu} v_j^l \left( \frac{A_{n-\nu}^l}{A_n^{k+l}} - \frac{A_{n-\nu-1}^l}{A_{n-1}^{k+l}} \right) \\
 &= \sum_{0 \leq i+j \leq n} u_i^k v_j^l \left\{ \sum_{\nu=i}^{n-j} \frac{A_\nu^{k-1} A_{n-\nu}^l}{A_n^{k+l}} - \sum_{\nu=i}^{n-j-1} \frac{A_\nu^{k-1} A_{n-\nu-1}^l}{A_{n-1}^{k+l}} \right\}.
 \end{aligned}$$

But the expression in brackets is  $\geq 0$  by Lemma I. Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
 |\Sigma_1| &\leq \sum_{0 \leq i+j \leq n} |u_i^k| |v_j^l| \left\{ \sum_{\nu=i}^{n-j} \frac{A_\nu^{k-1} A_{n-\nu}^l}{A_n^{k+l}} - \sum_{\nu=i}^{n-j-1} \frac{A_\nu^{k-1} A_{n-\nu-1}^l}{A_{n-1}^{k+l}} \right\}, \\
 \sum_{n=1}^N |\Sigma_1| &\leq \sum_{0 \leq i+j \leq N} |u_i^k| |v_j^l| \sum_{\nu=i}^{N-j} \frac{A_\nu^{k-1} A_{N-\nu}^l}{A_N^{k+l}} \\
 &\leq \sum_{0 \leq i+j \leq N} |u_i^k| |v_j^l| \leq \sum_{i=0}^\infty |u_i^k| \sum_{j=0}^\infty |v_j^l| = O(1).
 \end{aligned}$$

Next, using Lemma II, we get, when  $\bar{s}$  is the upper bound of  $|s_\nu|$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \sum_{n=1}^N |\Sigma_2| &\leq \bar{s} \sum_{n=1}^N \frac{1}{A_n^{k+l}} \sum_{\nu=0}^{n-1} A_\nu^{k-1} A_{n-\nu-1}^l |v_{n-\nu}^l| \\
 &= \bar{s} \sum_{j=1}^N |v_j^l| O(1) = O(1).
 \end{aligned}$$

In  $\Sigma_3$  we notice that, for  $k > 0$ ,

$$\left| \frac{A_{n-\nu}^l}{A_n^{k+l}} - \frac{A_{n-\nu-1}^l}{A_{n-1}^{k+l}} \right| = \left| \frac{A_{n-\nu}^{l-1}}{A_n^{k+l}} - \frac{k+l}{n} \frac{A_{n-\nu-1}^l}{A_n^{k+l}} \right| < K \frac{A_{n-\nu}^{l-1}}{A_n^{k+l}}$$

<sup>1</sup> It should be observed that  $\Sigma_1$  and  $\Sigma_2$  do not occur when  $k = 0$ .

and, for  $k = 0$ , the expression is equal to

$$\frac{\nu A_{n-\nu}^{l-1}}{n A_n^l} < K' \frac{A_{\nu-1}^1 A_{n-\nu}^{l-1}}{A_n^{l+1}}$$

$\Sigma_3$  can therefore be treated like  $\Sigma_2$ , so that  $\sum_{n=1}^N |\Sigma_3| = O(1)$ .

Finally

$$\begin{aligned} |\Sigma_4| &\leq \sum_{\nu=0}^{n-1} |u_\nu^k| |v_{n-\nu}^l|, \\ \sum_{n=1}^N |\Sigma_4| &\leq \sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} |u_\nu^k| \sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} |v_\nu^l| = O(1). \end{aligned}$$

Combining results we see that  $\sum_{n=1}^N |w_n^{k+l}|$  is finite for all  $N$ , i.e. that the product series is summable  $|C, k+l|$ .

