S628 e-Poster Viewing

traumatic stress, underscoring the enduring emotional impact of the pandemic on healthcare workers. As demonstrated by the Quality of Professional Life Scale, a divergence in outcomes was observed. While a subset of nurses reported elevated levels of compassion satisfaction, a considerable proportion continued to exhibit high levels of burnout and secondary traumatic stress. This finding suggests that the adverse impact of the pandemic on professional well-being persists. The PTGI results revealed that some HCW experienced post-traumatic growth, suggesting the potential for positive psychological development despite the challenges encountered. Analysis of the Brief-COPE Scale depicted a diverse range of coping strategies, with evidence of both adaptive coping mechanisms, such as problem-focused strategies, and less adaptive responses, including avoidance behaviors.

Conclusions: In conclusion, the results emphasize the persistent psychological impact of the ongoing pandemic on healthcare professionals, even in the post-pandemic era. These findings underscore the necessity for the provision of sustained psychological assistance and interventions that are specifically designed to address post-pandemic stressors, with the aim of ensuring the long-term well-being of healthcare workers.

Disclosure of Interest: None Declared

EPV0551

Fear of COVID-19 and Its Relationship with eHealth Literacy: Impact on Mental Health and the Role of **Personal Infection Experience**

M. Theodoratou^{1,2}*, K. Deligianni³, K. Flora⁴, K. Megari⁵ and G. Tsitsas⁶

¹Social Sciences, Hellenic Open University, Patras, Greece; ²Psychology, Neapolis University of Pafos, Pafos, Cyprus; ³Hellenic Open University, Patras; ⁴Psychology, University of West Macedonia, Florina; ⁵Psychology, Aristotelian University of Thessaloniki, Thessaloniki and ⁶Psychology, Harokopeion University, Athens, Greece

doi: 10.1192/j.eurpsy.2025.1279

*Corresponding author.

Introduction: The advent of the Coronavirus Disease 2019 (Covid-19) pandemic in late 2019 precipitated a profound transformation in the daily lives of individuals across the world, with notable implications for global mental health. The fear of the virus became a significant source of anxiety and stress, compounded by the rapid dissemination of information, both accurate and inaccurate, through digital media. The growing reliance on digital platforms for health information during the pandemic underscored the significance of eHealth literacy, which pertains to the capacity to locate, comprehend, and utilize health data from digital sources. As reliance on digital platforms for health information increased, it became imperative to examine the potential impact of digital health literacy on fear and anxiety levels related to the novel coronavirus disease (2019-nCoV), also known as Coronavirus Disease 2019 (Covid-19).

Objectives: The objective of this study is to examine the relationship between fear of the Coronavirus disease 2019 (Covid-19) pandemic and mental health, while investigating the influence of eHealth literacy on these fears.

Methods: The study was conducted on a sample of 158 individuals from the general population, representing a diverse range of demographic characteristics. The data were collected using a structured questionnaire comprising three sections: demographic information, the Fear of Coronavirus Scale (FCV-19S), and the eHealth Literacy Scale (eHeals). A statistical analysis was conducted to investigate the relationships between demographic factors, levels of fear, and eHealth literacy. Additionally, a comparative analysis was performed to assess the differences between individuals who had and had not contracted the virus.

Results: The analysis revealed no statistically significant differences in the levels of fear regarding the novel coronavirus disease (Covid-19) or eHealth literacy between different demographic groups, including gender, age, education, and health status. Nevertheless, a statistically significant discrepancy was observed in the level of fear between individuals who had contracted the virus and those who had not. Specifically, those who had not been infected exhibited higher levels of fear compared to those who had previously contracted the virus. No significant differences were identified in eHealth literacy based on infection status.

Conclusions: In conclusion, the findings of this study indicate that while demographic factors do not appear to influence the level of fear or digital health literacy, personal experience with a confirmed case of infection does. Those who have not contracted the virus tend to experience greater fear, suggesting that familiarity with the disease may reduce anxiety. These findings underscore the necessity for interventions.

Disclosure of Interest: None Declared

Cultural Psychiatry

EPV0552

Determinants of Deliberate COVID-19 Spread: **Exploring Psychological, Social, and Environmental** Factors Behind Virus-Spreading Behaviors During a Pandemic in Saudi Arabia

S. Alsubaie¹* and H. Alshahrani²

¹Psychiatry, AFHSR, Khamees Mushait and ²Psychiatry, SCHS, Abha, Saudi Arabia

*Corresponding author. doi: 10.1192/j.eurpsy.2025.1280

Introduction: While most individuals adhere to preventive measures during pandemics, a subset engages in behaviors that may intentionally spread COVID-19, presenting unique challenges to public health efforts.

Objectives: This study explores the psychological, social, and environmental factors influencing deliberate virus-spreading behavior in the Saudi Arabian population during the initial phase of the COVID-19 pandemic.

Methods: A cross-sectional online survey was conducted between April 3 and May 5, 2020, with 1,817 participants. The survey collected demographic information and variables related to virusspreading inclinations. Descriptive statistics and Pearson's chisquared tests were used to analyze associations between deliberate virus-spreading behavior and various characteristics, with statistical significance set at p < 0.05.

Results: Individuals who intentionally spread the virus (2% of the sample) were younger (mean age 22.9 vs. 29.3 years, p < 0.001),