

## STRICT TOPOLOGY ON PARACOMPACT LOCALLY COMPACT SPACES: CORRIGENDUM

SURJIT SINGH KHURANA

We have found some errors in our paper [1]. We shall use the notation and terminology of [1].

First the statement of Lemma 3 needs some changes. The corrected form is:

LEMMA 3. *A subset  $A \subset M_t(X, E')$  is equicontinuous if and only if there exists a  $p \in P$  such that  $A \subset M_{t,p}(X, E')$  and has the properties:*

- (i)  $\sup \{|\mu|_p(X) : \mu \in A\} < \infty$ ;
- (ii) *given  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists a compact  $K \subset X$  such that  $\sup \{|\mu|_p(X \setminus K) : \mu \in A\} \leq \epsilon$ .*

The proof given in [1] holds for this form.

In Lemma 4, it does not follow from the hypothesis that  $g_M$  is bounded. We change and restate the lemma.

LEMMA 4. *Assume  $E$  to be normed, and put  $F = C_b(X, E)$ ,  $F' = M_t(X, E')$ . Let  $A$  be a relatively countably compact subset of  $(F', \sigma(F', F))$  and assume  $A$  to be equicontinuous on  $(C_b(X, E), u)$ . Then  $A$  is equicontinuous on  $(F, \beta_0)$ .*

The proof given in [1, Lemma 4] holds in this case.

Finally, Theorem 5 needs to be put in the following form:

THEOREM 5. *If  $E$  is normed, then  $(C_b(X, E), \beta_0)$  is Mackey; if, in addition,  $E$  is complete then  $(C_b(X, E), \beta_0)$  is strongly Mackey.*

Using the revised Lemma 4, the proof given in [1] holds when  $E$  is normed.

### REFERENCES

1. S. S. Khurana, *Strict topology on paracompact locally compact spaces*, Can. J. Math. 29 (1977), 216-219.

*The University of Iowa,  
Iowa City, Iowa*