

AN ESTIMATE OF RAMANUJAN RELATED TO THE
GREATEST INTEGER FUNCTION

S. BHARGAVA, CHANDRASHEKAR ADIGA AND D.D. SOMASHEKARA

If a and n are positive integers and if $[\]$ is the greatest integer function we obtain upper and lower estimates for $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} [n/a^k]$ stated by Ramanujan in his notebooks.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $[x]$ denote the greatest integer not exceeding the real number x . If p is a prime it is well known [3, p.80] that the sum e of the series $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} [n/p^k]$ is the largest exponent such that $n!$ is divisible by p^e . In this note we prove a proposition stated in the third notebook of Ramanujan [4, p.378] which, along with its predecessors are being edited by Berndt [1].

PROPOSITION 1. (Ramanujan). *If a and n are positive integers, then $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} [n/a^k]$ lies between $(n-1)/(a-1)$ and $\{n/(a-1)\} - \{\log(n+1)/\log a\}$.*

We observe that we may assume in the proposition $a \geq 2$ and $n \geq 2$. Further, on using the binomial theorem, we have

$$(1+n)^{a-1} - a \geq 1 + (a-1)n - a = (n-1)(a-1) > 0.$$

Hence $(1+n)^{a-1} > a$ and this gives, on taking logarithms,

$$\{n/(a-1)\} - \{\log(n+1)/\log a\} < (n-1)/(a-1).$$

In order to establish Proposition 1 we therefore prove the following theorem.

THEOREM 1. (Ramanujan). *Let $a \geq 2$ and $n \geq 2$ be integers. Then*

$$(1) \quad \{n/(a-1)\} - \{\log(n+1)/\log a\} \leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} [n/a^k] \leq (n-1)/(a-1).$$

In the next section we first obtain two lemmas which enable us to prove Theorem 1.

Received 13 September 1990

The authors are thankful to Professor Bruce C. Berndt for helpful suggestions.

Copyright Clearance Centre, Inc. Serial-fee code: 0004-9729/91 \$A2.00+0.00.

2. PROOF OF THEOREM 1

LEMMA 1. If $n \geq 2$ and $k \geq 2$, then

$$(2) \quad (n + k)^n < (n + 1)^{n+k-1}.$$

Further, (2) becomes an equality in the cases

$$(i) \ n = 0, k \geq 1, \quad (ii) \ n = 1, k = 0, 1, \quad (iii) \ n = 2, k = 1.$$

PROOF: In the case $n = 2$, we have for each $k \geq 2$,

$$\begin{aligned} &(n + 1)^{n+k-1} - (n + k)^n \\ &= (1 + 2)^{k+1} - (2 + k)^2 \\ &= \left\{1 + \frac{k+1}{1} \cdot 2 + \frac{(k+1)k}{1 \cdot 2} 2^2 + \dots + 2^{k+1}\right\} - (4 + 4k + k^2) \\ &= (k^2 - 1) + \frac{(k+1)k(k-1)}{1 \cdot 2 \cdot 3} 2^3 + \frac{(k+1)k(k-1)(k-2)}{1 \cdot 2 \cdot 3 \cdot 4} 2^4 + \dots \\ &= (k^2 - 1)(1 + \epsilon), \quad (\epsilon > 0), \\ &> 0, \end{aligned}$$

since by hypothesis $k \geq 2$. Thus (2) is true for $n = 2$ and each $k \geq 2$.

Let us assume that (2) holds for each $k \geq 2$ and some $m (= n) \geq 2$. That is

$$(2') \quad (m + k)^m < (m + 1)^{m+k-1}.$$

Now, for each $k \geq 2$,

$$\begin{aligned} (m + 1 + k)^{m+1} &= (m + k)^m \left(1 + \frac{1}{m + k}\right)^m (m + 1 + k) \\ &< (m + 1)^{m+k-1} \left(1 + \frac{1}{m + k}\right)^m (m + 1 + k) \quad (\text{by } (2')) \\ &= (m + 2)^{m+k} \left(\frac{m+1}{m+2}\right)^{m+k} \left(1 + \frac{1}{m + k}\right)^m \left(1 + \frac{k}{m + 1}\right) \\ &= (m + 2)^{m+k} \left(\frac{1}{1 + \frac{1}{m+1}}\right)^k \left(\frac{1 + \frac{1}{m+k}}{1 + \frac{1}{m+1}}\right)^m \left(1 + \frac{k}{m + 1}\right) \\ &< (m + 2)^{m+k} \left(\frac{1}{1 + \frac{1}{m+1}}\right)^k \left(1 + \frac{k}{m + 1}\right) \\ &\leq (m + 2)^{m+k}. \end{aligned}$$

In writing the last inequality we have used the Bernoulli inequality:

$$(3) \quad (1 + x)^\alpha \geq 1 + \alpha x \quad (\alpha > 1, x \geq -1)$$

with $x = 1/(m + 1)$ and $\alpha = k$. We have thus shown that (2) is true with $n = m + 1$. Thus we have proved Lemma 1 by induction on n . □

LEMMA 2. *If a, b_0, b_1, \dots, b_k are integers such that $a \geq 2$ and $0 \leq b_i < a$, $i = 0, 1, 2, \dots, k$, then*

$$(4) \quad a^{b_0+b_1+\dots+b_k} \leq [b_0 a^k + b_1 a^{k-1} + \dots + b_k + 1]^{a-1}.$$

PROOF: Consider

$$\begin{aligned} \prod_{i=0}^k (1 + b_i) &\leq 1 + \sum_{m=1}^k \left[\sum_{0 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_j \leq m} b_{i_1} b_{i_2} \dots b_{i_j} \right] \\ &= 1 + b_0 \left[1 + \sum_{m=1}^k \left(\sum_{1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_j \leq m} b_{i_1} b_{i_2} \dots b_{i_j} \right) \right] \\ &\quad + b_1 \left[1 + \sum_{m=1}^k \left(\sum_{2 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_j \leq m} b_{i_1} b_{i_2} \dots b_{i_j} \right) \right] \\ &\quad + \dots \\ &\quad + b_{k-1} (1 + b_k) + b_k \\ &\leq 1 + \sum_{m=0}^k b_m \left(1 + \sum_{j=1}^{k-m} \binom{k-m}{j} (a-1)^j \right) \\ &= 1 + \sum_{i=0}^k b_i a^{k-i}. \end{aligned}$$

Taking the $(a - 1)$ -th power on both sides and using Lemma 1, we have (4). □

PROOF OF THEOREM 1: It is convenient to consider the cases $n = a, n < a$ and $n > a$ separately.

CASE (1). $n = a \geq 2$: We then have $n < a^k$ for each $k \geq 2$ and so $\sum_{k=1}^\infty [n/a^k] = 1$ and the second half of (1) is trivially true with equality prevailing. The first inequality

of (1) is true in the strict sense, since

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} [n/a^k] - \{n/(a-1)\} + \{\log(n+1)/\log a\} \\ &= \{\log(n+1)/\log n\} - \{1/(n-1)\} \\ &= \log\{(n+1)^{n-1}/n\}/(n-1)\log n > 0. \end{aligned}$$

CASE (II). $(2 \leq) n < a$: We then have $n < a^k$ for each $k \geq 1$ and so $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} [n/a^k] = 0$ and the second half of (1) holds trivially in the strict sense. The first half of (1) is also true since

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} [n/a^k] + \{\log(n+1)/\log a\} - \{n/(a-1)\} \\ &= \{\log(n+1)/\log a\} - \{n/(a-1)\} > 0, \end{aligned}$$

on using (2) with $k = a - n$ there, provided $a \geq n + 2$. In the other subcase $a = n + 1$, the left and the middle expressions of (1) both being 0, we indeed have equality in the first half of (1).

CASE (III). $n > a (\geq 2)$: In this case there exists an integer $k \geq 2$ such that

$$k - 1 \leq \log n / \log a < k,$$

or

$$a^{k-1} \leq n < a^k.$$

In the subcase $n = a^{k-1}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} [n/a^m] &= \sum_{m=1}^{k-1} [n/a^m] \\ &= a^{k-2} + a^{k-3} + \dots + 1 \\ &= \frac{a^{k-1} - 1}{a - 1} \\ &= \frac{n - 1}{a - 1} \end{aligned}$$

and thus the second half of (1) holds with equality. The first half of (1) also holds since

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} [n/a^m] - \{n/(a-1)\} + \{\log(n+1)/\log a\} \\ &= \{-1/(a-1)\} + \{\log(n+1)/\log a\} \\ &> \{\log(a+1)/\log a\} - \{1/(a-1)\} \\ &> 0 \end{aligned}$$

as shown at the end of the concluding step of case (I).

We are thus left with the subcase $a^{k-1} < n < a^k$. In this case we can write

$$(5) \quad n = b_0 a^{k-1} + b_1 a^{k-2} + \cdots + b_{k-2} a + b_{k-1}$$

($0 \leq b_i < a$, $i = 0, 1, \dots, k-1$, $b_0 \geq 1$) and

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \{n/a^m\} &= \sum_{m=1}^{k-1} \{n/a^m\} \\ &= \sum_{m=1}^{k-1} (b_0 a^{k-m-1} + \cdots + b_{k-m-1}) \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{k-2} b_j \left(\frac{a^{k-j-1} - 1}{a - 1} \right) \\ &= \{(b_0 a^{k-1} + \cdots + b_{k-1})/(a - 1)\} - \{(b_0 + \cdots + b_{k-1})/(a - 1)\} \\ &= \{n/(a - 1)\} - \{(b_0 + b_1 + \cdots + b_{k-1})/(a - 1)\}. \end{aligned}$$

The second half of (1) follows immediately since $b_0 \geq 1$. The first half is also true since

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \{n/a^m\} - \{n/(a - 1)\} + \{\log(n + 1)/\log a\} \\ = \{\log(n + 1)/\log a\} - \left\{ \left(\sum_{i=0}^{k-1} b_i \right) / (a - 1) \right\} \geq 0, \end{aligned}$$

on using (4) and (5).

This completes the proof of Theorem 1 and hence Proposition 1 is established. \square

An alternate proof of Proposition 1 due to Berndt [2] is being incorporated in one of the forthcoming volumes of his editions of Ramanujan's Notebooks [1].

REFERENCES

- [1] B.C. Berndt, *Ramanujan's notebooks Parts I-III* (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, 1985, 1988).
- [2] B.C. Berndt (Private communication).
- [3] I. Niven and H.S. Zuckerman, *An introduction to the theory of numbers*, (third edition) (Wiley, New York, 1972).
- [4] S. Ramanujan, *Notebooks (2 volumes)* (Tata Institute of Fundamental Research, Bombay, 1957).

Department of Mathematics
Manasa Gangotri
University of Mysore
Mysore 570006
India

Department of Mathematics
Yuvaraja's College
University of Mysore
Mysore 570005
India

Department of Mathematics
Yovaraja's College
University of Mysore
Mysore 570005
India