## **Information for Contributors**

The policies and procedures presented here are reproduced in greater detail on the publisher's website: https://www.cambridge.org/core/journals/philosophy-of-science

Submission Method: All submissions must be made through the Philosophy of Science Editorial Manager website: https://www.editorialmanager.com/phos. All electronic files should be readable in Microsoft Word or formatted as TeX or LaTeX. (Special restrictions apply to TeX and LaTeX documents; please see the website for details.) Other correspondence with the editorial office may be sent to: journal@philsci.org or Philosophy of Science, Department of Philosophy, University of Washington, Box 353350, Seattle, WA 98195, USA.

*Manuscripts:* Submissions must not exceed 9,000 words, including abstract and footnotes, but not references; a word count must be submitted with each essay. Manuscripts should be submitted in a form suitable for blind review and should include an abstract of approximately 100 words. Authors are strongly encouraged to employ a clear, reader-friendly writing style.

Discursive footnotes (consecutively numbered) should be kept to a minimum. Simple citations can be made in the text, by citing author and year of publication (with page number if necessary); a bibliography should appear on a separate page at the end. A detailed guide to the reference style appears on the website.

*Copyright:* Manuscripts should be submitted to *Philosophy of Science* with the understanding that upon acceptance for publication an exclusive license to publish will be granted to the Philosophy of Science Association.

*Book Reviews:* Books intended for review should be sent to the address given above. Persons wishing to review a book should contact the editorial office.

Correspondence concerning PSA business matters should be addressed to Max Cormendy, Executive Director, Philosophy of Science Association, University of Cincinnati, Cincinnati, OH 45221 (e-mail: office@philsci.org). The Philosophy of Science Association website is at http://philsci.org.

# Philosophy of Science

## Contents

AI	rt11	cles	Ċ

- 511 Gauge Theory Without Principal Fiber Bundles
  HENRIOUE GOMES
- 528 What Do Privileged Coordinates Tell Us about Structure? THOMAS WILLIAM BARRETT AND J. B. MANCHAK
- 548 Closure of Constraints as a Theoretical Model CAMPBELL RIDER
- 566 Construct Validity in Automated Counterterrorism Analysis Adrian K, Yee
- 584 Rediscovering Bernard and Cannon: Restoring the Broader Vision of Homeostasis Eclipsed by the Cyberneticists
  WILLIAM BECHTEL AND LEONARDO BICH
- 606 Bias and Debiasing Strategies in Qualitative Data Collection
  JULIE ZAHLE
- 624 Moderate Physical Perspectivalism Emily Adlam
- 646 Psychiatry's New Validity Crisis: The Problem of Disparate Validation Nicholas Zautra
- 666 Industrial Distraction
  Cailin O'Connor and David Peter Wallis Freeborn
- 688 On Relativistic Thermodynamics
  DAVID WALLACE
- 708 Changing Working Environments in Philosophy: Reflections from a Case Study Alison K. McConwell, Magdalena T. Bogacz, Char Brecevic, Matthew H. Haber, Jingyi Wu and Sarah M. Roe
- 732 The Attractions of "Object Colors" WILLIAM A. SHARP
- 750 The Elastic Ruler: Placebo Responses, Clinical Trials, and Medical Knowledge Shane N. Glackin

### Book Reviews

- 768 Review of Rasmus Grønfeldt Winther's *Our Genes* MARINA DIMARCO AND MIRIAM MIYAGI
- 772 Review of Nina Emery's Naturalism Beyond the Limits of Science TRUE GIBSON
- 775 Review of Ann Johnson and Johannes Lenhard's *Cultures of Prediction:*How Engineering and Science Evolve with Mathematical Tools
  Brandon Boesch
- 778 Review of Norwood Russell Hanson's What I Do Not Believe, and Other Essays
  JORDI CAT

#### Freatum

783 Review of Nina Emery's Naturalism Beyond the Limits of Science – ERRATUM



